Alberta Geological Survey 402, Twin Atria Building 4999-98 Avenue Edmonton, AB aer.ags.ca

Introduction

1. The Geological Framework Program

The Geological Framework

Program is an ongoing modelling and data integration effort at the AER. Multiple AGS projects are associated with it, including this version of the three-dimensional (3D) Provincial Geological Framework (PGF) model: version 2 (v2). Table 1 lists its contributors.

able 1: List of PGF Program contributors (to date).		
Role	Contributors	
Project Director	K.E. MacCormack ¹	
Project .eaders	K. Haug ¹ (v3-in progress), P. Branscombe ¹ (v2-geological modelling) and T.E. Hauck ¹ (v2- subsurface geology) and K.E. MacCormack (v0)	
Subsurface Geology	B. Hathway ¹ , T.E. Hauck ¹ , T.L. Playter ¹ , J.T. Peterson ¹ , H.J. Corlett ² , D. Chen ¹ , C. Pana ¹ , C. Filewich ¹ , S.D.A. Anderson ¹ , F.J. Hein ¹ , M. Grobe ¹ , C.D. Rokosh ¹ , L.D. Andriashek ¹ , D.J. Utting ¹ , G. Hartman ¹ , E.R. Timmer ¹ , S. Botterhill ¹ , and previous Alberta Geological Survey / Alberta Energy Regulator staff	
Geological Aodelling	M. Babakhani ¹ (v2, v3), L. Jayawardane ¹ (v2, v3), M. Berhane ¹ (petrophysical analysis), S. Lyster ¹ (Montney property model), S. Mei ¹ (Cardium and Paskapoo property models), E. Galloway ¹ (v3-structure), L. Anderson ¹ (v3-bedrock), S. Pawley ¹ (v3-bedrock), F. Marshall ² (Duvernay property model), P. Branscombe ¹ (v1) and K.E. MacCormack ¹ (v0)	
Publication Process	S.D.A. Anderson ¹ , B.J. Fildes ¹ , J.E. Warren ¹ , E.J. Waters ¹ , M. Grobe ¹ , K. McKay ² , J. Laccetti ¹ and K. Nariwo ¹	
Project	J.E. Warren ¹ , R. Elgr ¹ and S. Qiao ¹	

¹Alberta Energy Regulator, Alberta Geological Survey Formerly of the Alberta Energy Regulator / Alberta Geological Survey

2. The 3D Model: Version 2

The 3D PGF v2 model is comprised of 210 million cells (Table 2), for a total of 62 model zones. It incorporates many updates, ncluding:

- changes to modelling methodology, - incorporation of vast amounts of new stratigraphic wellbore picks used as input (increase by 614,949 points), and further subdivision of the model to refine its vertical resolution (net change: 30 additional zones added).



The 3D PGF v2 Model: Modelling Workflow

The 3D PGF v2 model (Figure 1) is an evolution of its

predecessors The modelling 200 000 workflow for v2 includes these major changes t methodology

1. Creation "continuous elevation (m) surfaces" to serve as bounding elements of all zones (Figure 2), spanning the areal

extent of the entire model, regardless

-4.000

-6,000

of areal extent of zone modelled. The

lì	
	input filtered data
	interpolated surface
	discrete surface
\bigvee	continuous surface

Figure 2: Data cleanup and input surface creation workflow for a surfaces used as input to the 3D PGF v2 model.

2D surfaces used as input to the 3D model were built sequentially (Figure 2).

2. Creation of a "skeleton" model, delineating four main zones associated with major geological elements (Figure 3A):

stalline Precambrian basement, - cratonic platformal succession of

the Western Canada Sedimentary Basin (WCSB),

- foreland basin succession of the WCSB,

 Neogene-Quaternary surficial deposits.

3. Splitting of entire modelling process between two geomodellers. The surfaces of the

platformal succession (Figure 2B) were built in parallel to those of the Bedrock topography

foreland basin succession sub-Cretaceous unconformity (Figure 2C). Precambrian basement top

4. Introduction of automated workflows to aid in faster updates during 2D and 3D modelling.

A) Main Zones • ground surface bedrock topography Precambrian top sub-Cretaceous unconformity Foreland Basin Succession

💛 VE = 50X 📢



Figure 3: The "skeleton" of the 3D PGF v2 model and the parallel and sequential build of the two main sedimentary successions' input surfaces. A) Top: Sequential build order of the main "skeleton" surfaces. Bottom: The model's main zones. B) Sequential build order of the surfaces present within the platformal succession main zone. C) Sequential build order of the surfaces present within the foreland basin succession main zone.

Figure 1: 3D oblique view of the 3D PGF v2 model. For this figure and all subsequent figures: vertical exaggeration is 50 times, and the legend is on the right-hand-side of the poster.

C) Foreland Basin Succession

Colorado Group top

Milk River Formation top

• Lea Park and Pakowki formati

• Foremost Formation top

Oldman Formation top

Belly River Group top

lower Bearpaw interval top

• maximum flooding surface within

the Bearpaw Formation

on. St. Mary River.

Strathmore Member top

Bearpaw Formation top

Battle Formation top

Scollard Formation top

McMurray Formation top

Cardium and Carlile formations

The Three-Dimensional Provincial Geological Framework Model, Version 2: Updating a province-wide 3D geocellular model to incorporate 30 new model zones

Unconformities: Elevation Surfaces

1. Bedrock Topography

Many new zones subcrop at bedrock (Figure 4A). Main changes include: - use of Map 602 elevation grid as input to bedrock topography (effects in Peace River valley, Caribou and Birch Mountains, Figure 4B), and - local update in the McMurray Formation subcrop area (Figure 4B).



Figure 4: Updates to bedrock topography. A) Subcrop map at bedrock topography, showing zones of the PGF v2 model. B) Difference map between elevation grids for bedrock topography for v1 to v2 (red: the surface was raised, blue: surface was lowered). Contours: current bedrock topography elevation grid (100 m contour interval). Inset table: statistics of the Zv1-Zv2 calculation.

2. sub-Cretaceous Unconformity

Main changes to this surface include a 23% increase in the dataset (24,508 new points used as input), resulting in:

- an increase the detail of the structural grid (Figure 5A), and

- a localization of the standard deviation uncertainty around areas of low well control and of high structural relief (Figure 5B).



Figure 5: Updated sub-Cretaceous unconformity. A) Subcrop map at the unconformity, showing zones of the PGF v2 model. Contours show the elevation of the unconformity (contour interval: 250m). B) Standard deviation uncertainty map.

3. Precambrian Top

Major changes to the Precambrian top are noted, due to: - an updated wellbore pick dataset in the NW portion of the model (Figure 6A), changing the surface's elevation by up to 1.4 km in certain areas (Figure 6B), and - a change in the modelling approach, to now

incorporate isopach guides to trend the surface in areas of low well control.





Figure 6: Changes to Precambrian top (black contours: elevation; contour interval: 500 m). A) Precambrian zone displayed, with input stratigraphic picks shown as black dots. Around the model's Std. dev. 171.68

edges, the major unconformities used to delineate the main zones are shown in red. B) Difference map between the structural surfaces for v1 and v2, highlighting in red where the surface was raised, and in blue where it was lowered. Inset table: statistics of this calculation.

Foreland Basin Succession: Highlights

1. Edmonton Group & Equivalents

New zones were added (Figure 7): - the Wapiti and Brazeau formations (zone 5) were split from Horseshoe Canyon Formation and equivalents (zone 6), a new dataset was used for Bearpaw top (zones 7 and 8), and - the MFS dataset re-assigned to zone 9 top. 10 Strathmore Member zone 11 Figure 7: Some of the 3D PGF v2 zones within the Edmonton Group (zones 6-11).

Platformal Succession: Highlights

1. Diaber Group

Updates to the Diaber Group include new subdivisions in v2 from sub-Cretaceous unconformity to Banff top (zones 40-49), of which 7 new zones lie within the Diaber Group (Figure 12). /42 Doig Formation



(zones 42-48).



For Figures 7-13: A) Zones displayed within the context of the 3D PGF v2 model's main zones. B) Zoomed in oblique 3D view of the zones, displayed sequentially for visual context of their vertical relationships.

zones 46 & 48

4 middle and lower (undifferentiated) Montney Fo

2. Woodbend Group

Updates to the Woodbend Group include:

- onlap relationships refined around the Peace River Arch (Figure 13A),
- · Cooking Lake and Leduc formations extended northward (Figure 13B),
- Muskwa Formation added to zone 54, and
- Woodbend Group (undifferentiated portion) grouped into a single zone.







4. Mannville Group & Equivalents

The Mannville Group and its correlative equivalents, which was comprised of one zone in v1, is subdivided into 5 zones in v2 (zones 35-39).



the Mannville Group and its correlative equivalents (zones 35-39).



Summary

The Geological Framework Program is an ongoing effort at the AER, to support science-based decision making. The PGF v2 is an update of the associated 3D model, incorporating new data and new zones to this regional-scale geomodel (Figure 14). The model thus is more detailed at the zones' tops and bases, as well as vertically.



Figure 14: Schematic, generalized representation of the main zonal relationships for all PGF v2 zones (not to scale). These were split between the four 3D grids created for this model. The thick black outlines represent zones from the v1 model, when different from the v2 ones.

Way Forward

The ongoing PGF Program includes property modelling of individual formations and proof-of-concept projects related to incorporating new data types into the model (structural elements, mineral resources). Construction of the 3D PGF v3 model is already underway, and will feature additional zones and input data.



Getting in Touch

The 3D PGF v2 model is available online at *ags.aer.ca*. Email us at geological.framework@aer.ca.

References

Alberta Geological Survey (2015): Alberta Table of Formations; Alberta Energy Regulator, URL < http://ags.aer.ca/document/Table-of-Formations.pdf>

- Alberta Geological Survey (2019): 3D provincial geological framework model of Alberta, Version 2; Alberta Energy Regulator, AER/AGS Model 2018-02. URL <https://ags.aer.ca/data-maps-models/MOD_2018_02.html> [March 2019].
- Branscombe, P., MacCormack, K.E., Corlett, H., Hathway, B., Hauck, T.E. and Peterson, J.T. (2018): 3D Provincial Geological Framework Model of Alberta, Version 1 (dataset, multiple files); Alberta Energy Regulator, AER/AGS Digital Data 2017-03, URL https://ags.aer.ca/data-mapsmodels/3D_PGF_model_v1.html [November 2018].
- MacCormack, K.E., Atkinson, N. and Lyster, S. (2015): Bedrock topography of Alberta, Canada; Alberta Energy Regulator, AER/AGS Map 602, scale 1:1 000 000, URL <http://ags.aer.ca/publications/MAP_602.html> [November 2018].
- Mossop, G.D. and Shetsen, I., comp. (1994): Geological atlas of the Western Canada Sedimentary Basin; Canadian Society of Petroleum Geologists and Alberta Research Council, URL http://ags.aer.ca/reports/atlas-of-the-western-canada-sedimentary-basin.htm, [March 2019].