# of the ACME AREA

M. D. Scheelar ALBERTA RESEARCH COUNCIL 1978

Alberta Institute of Pedology M-78-6

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

# ABSTRACT

SECTION I	Pag
ntroduction	1 1
Jse of the Report	1
he Soils	2
Soil Formation	2
Soil Classification	4
Soil Mapping	4
Soil Texture	5
Soil Drainage Classes	7
oil and Land Use	8
ECTION II	121
ocation and Extent of Study Area	11
hysiography of Area	ון יי
he Soils	11
Soil Unit Descriptions	19
Engineering Properties of the Soils	-
	26
oil Interpretations	29
cknowledgements	58 50
eferences	59
Blossary	61
LLUSTRATIONS	
igure 1. Diagram of a soil profile	3
igure 2. Soil textural classes	6

# LIST OF TABLES

		Page
1.	Key to the Soils of the Acme Area	. 12
2.	Canadian Soil Classification System	. 15
<sup>.</sup> 3.	Definition of Soil Horizon Symbols	. 16
4.	Engineering & Chemical Soil Data of Representative Soil Samples	. 28
5.	Guides for Assessing Soil Limitations for Road Location	. 30
6.	Frost Design Soil Classification	. 31
7.	Limitations of Soils for Road Location	. 32
8.	Guides for Assessing Soil Limitations for Permanent Buildings	. 33
9.	Limitations of Soils for Permanent Buildings	. 34
10.	Guides for Assessing Soil Limitations for Sewage Lagoons	• 35
11.	Limitations of Soils for Sewage Lagoons	. 36
12.	Guides for Assessing Soil Limitations for Septic Tank Absorption Field	ds 37
13.	Limitations of Soils for Septic Tank Absorption Fields	. 39
14.	Guides for Assessing Soil Limitations for Trench-Type Sanitary Landfill	s 40
15.	Limitations of Soils for Trench-Type Sanitary Landfills	. 41
16.	Guides for Assessing Soil Limitations for Shallow Excavations	. 42
17.	Limitations of Soils for Shallow Excavations	. 43
18.	Guides for Assessing Soil Limitations for Camp Areas	. 44
19.	Limitations of Soils for Camp Areas	
20.	Guides for Assessing Soil Limitations for Picnic Areas	. 46
21.	Limitations of Soils for Picnic Areas	
22.	Guides for Assessing Soil Limitations for Playing Fields	. 48
23.	Limitations of Soils for Playing Fields	. 49
24.	Suitability Ratings of Soils as a Source of Gravel	. 50
25.	Suitability of Soils as a Source of Gravel	. 51
26.	Suitability Ratings of Soils as Sources of Roadfill	. 52
27.		. 53
28.	Suitability Ratings of Soils as a Source of Topsoil	. 54
29.	Suitability of Soils as a Source of Topsoil	. 55
30.	Soil Capability for Agriculture	

#### **ABSTRACT**

As an aid to urban planning, a detailed soil survey was carried out in 1976 on approximately 780 hectares (1,920 acres) in the vicinity of Acme, Alberta. These surveys and reports indicate the geographic location of the soils, describe pertinent chemical, morphological and physical properties of the soils and present soil interpretations for selected uses.

Fourteen soil units were mapped on six different parent materials. The soils belong to three soil orders: Chernozemic, Solonetzic and Gleysolic.

Chernozemic soils have slight limitations for most urban uses except for those developed on coarse textured materials which may have severe limitations for sewage or refuse disposal. These soils have been rated as Class 1 and 2 for agriculture.

Solonetzic soils have moderate to severe limitations for most urban uses. For agriculture, they have been rated as Class 3.

Gleysolic soils have severe limitations for all urban uses and have been rated as Class 5 for agriculture.

SECTION I

#### INTRODUCTION

Soils are one of our most important natural resources. Man bases his activities on soils and depends on their productivity. They are the natural medium for the growth of plants; their properties and life serve to stabilize waste and purify water; and they serve as foundations for buildings, roads and all other man-made, land based structures. Mounting pressures upon land are constantly making soils more and more valuable.

Soils have been subject to grave abuse and misuse through improper land use development. Serious health, safety and pollution problems have been created by failure to consider the capabilities and limitations of soils during the planning and design stages of rural or urban development projects (Bauer, K.W. 1973). Such problems include malfunctioning septic tank sewage disposal systems, surface and groundwater pollution, flood damage, soil erosion, soil slumping, and footing and foundation failures. Knowledge of the soils and their ability to sustain development not only helps to avoid such problems but can also contribute to reducing development costs.

A need exists, therefore, in any planning program for a detailed soil survey which delineates the geographical location of various kinds of soils; identifies their chemical and engineering properties; and interprets their properties for the uses which are planned for the area.

#### USE OF THE REPORT

This report consists of a written text and a map. The written part includes introductory and background information on soils, soil mapping, and soil interpretations in the first section and descriptions of the soils, analytical results, and interpretations for various uses in the second section.

The soils map is presented on an aerial photo-mosaic base. The photo base aids in identification and location of areas, however the linear and spatial

distortion inherent in a photo mosaic must be appreciated. The soil-landscape units delineated on the map are described briefly in the map legend and in greater detail in the written report. The map and the report should be used together.

#### THE SOILS


#### Soil Formation

Soil is a three dimensional natural body of unconsolidated matter on the immediate surface of the earth that has been subjected to, and influenced by, genetic and environmental factors of parent material, climate, biotic influences and topography all acting over a period of time to produce a product that differs in physical, chemical, biological and morphological properties and characteristics from the material from which it was derived and is capable of supporting the growth of land plants (Lavkulich, L.M. 1969).

Soil formation is defined in terms of degree of expression of a given set of properties; it is considered to be composed of two overlapping steps, (I) the accumulation of parent materials, and (2) the differentiation of horizons within the profile. The latter is attributed to additions, removals, transfers and transformations within the soil system.

These horizons differ from one another in such properties as color, texture, structure, consistence and chemical and biological activity. The major horizons are designated O for organic layers developed mainly from mosses, rushes and woody materials; L, F and H for organic layers developed mainly from leaves, twigs, woody materials and a minor component of mosses; and A, B and C for mineral horizons. Subdivisions of the master horizons are denoted by suffix letters appended to the master horizon symbol (see Figure 1, Table 3 and glossary).

#### FIGURE 1. DIAGRAM OF A SOIL PROFILE



- --Organic layer which may be subdivided into L, F, H or Of, Om, and Oh.
- --A mineral horizon at or near the surface. It may be a dark colored horizon in which there is an accumulation of humus (Ah), or a light colored horizon from which clay, iron, and humus have been leached (Ae).
- --Mineral horizon that (I) may be altered to give a change in color or structure (Bm) or (2) may have an enrichment of clay (Bt) or (3) may have significant amounts of exchangeable Na and exhibit a columnar structure with pronounced stainings (Bn).
- --Mineral horizon comparatively unaffected by the soil forming process operative in the A and B horizons except for the process of gleying (Cg) or the accumulation of carbonates and soluble salts (Cca, Csa, Ck, Cs, Csk).

#### Soil Classification

Through observation of differences in soil characteristics in soil horizons it is possible to classify soils into taxonomic units. In this report the Canadian System of Soil Classification (Canada Soil Survey Committee. 1976) is used (see the Soil Key, Table 2 and the Soil Unit Descriptions).

This system classifies the soils in their natural state and thus indicates relationships between soils and their environment. These relationships are often important for assessing limitations of soils for various uses. However, the soil classification system does not use soil interpretations for uses as a basis for soil classification.

Soil classification systems are not truths that can be discovered but contrivances to organize information and ideas in ways that seem logical and useful (Soil Survey Manual. 1960). The general purpose of soil classification in Canada may be stated as follows: To organize the knowledge of soils so that it can be recalled systematically and communicated, and so that relationships may be seen; among kinds of soils; among soil properties and environmental factors; among soil properties and suitabilities of soils for various uses.

A taxonomic unit in the classification has specified limits of variation and should be thought of as consisting of (1) a single modal profile representing the most usual condition of each property (2) many other closely related profiles that vary from the modal profile within precisely defined limits.

# Soil Mapping

Since soil is a continuum, and adjacent soils seldom have sharp boundaries, soil units are often defined in terms of two or more taxonomic units that are geographically associated on a landscape. These taxonomic units must be included in soil units because of the limitations imposed by the scale of mapping and the number of points that can be examined.

The notations on the soil map consist of numbers and letters: for example

The first digit in the number represents a geological parent material and landform; the second digit denotes the taxonomic unit or units (and sometimes textural

differences); and the letters denote the range in topography classes. The topographical classes are as follows:

Simple topography Single slopes (regular surface)	Slope %	Complex topography Mültiple slopes (irregular surface)
A depressional to level B very gently sloping C gently sloping D moderately sloping E strongly sloping	0 to 0.5 0.5+ to 2 2+ to 5 5+ to 9 9+ to 15	a nearly level b gently undulating c undulating d gently rolling
F steeply sloping G very steeply sloping H extremely sloping	9+ to 15 15+ to 30 30 to 60 over 60	e moderately rolling f strongly rolling g hilly h very hilly

The soils were mapped in the field by making observations at selected sites using a shovel or auger. These point observations were extrapolated to an area basis through the use of aerial photograph interpretation and field checking. The principal soils were sampled to depths of 1 metre for chemical and engineering properties.

#### Soil Texture

Throughout the report reference is made to soil texture and to soil drainage classes. Soil texture is according to the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) textural classification which is described below. The soil drainage classes, according to the Canada Soil Survey Committee (1976) are outlined following the textural classification.

Soil Separates (Particles Size) on Which Textural Classes Are Based:

Separates	Diameter in Millimeters
Very Coarse Sand (VCS)	2.0 to 1.0
Coarse Sand (CS)	1.0 to 0.5
Medium Sand (MS) Sand (S)	0.5 to 0.25
Fine Sand (FS)	0.25 to 0.10
Very Fine Sand (VFS)	0.10 to 0.05
Silt (Si)	0.05 to 0.002
Clay (C)	less than 0.002




Figure 2. Soil textural classes. Percentages of clay and sand in the main textural classes of soils; the remainder of each class is silt.

The soil textural classes are grouped according to the Canada Soil Survey Committee as follows:

Very coarse textured: sands, loamy sands
Moderately coarse textured: sandy loam, fine sandy loam
Medium textured: very fine sandy loam, loam, silt loam, silt
Moderately fine textured: sandy clay loam, clay loam, silty clay loam
Fine textured: sandy clay, silty clay, clay (40 - 60% clay)
Very fine textured: heavy clay (more than 60% clay).

The gravelly class names are added to the textural class names according to the following rule:

## % gravel by volume

less than 20
20 to 50
50 - 90
more than 90
in surface 20 cm
- use textural class only
- gravelly and texture
- very gravelly and texture
- cobble land type.

## Soil Drainage Classes

Soil drainage classes are defined in terms of (a) actual moisture content in excess of field moisture capacity, and (b) the extent of the period during which such excess water is present in the plant root zone.

Rapidly drained - soil moisture content seldom exceeds field capacity in any horizon except immediately after water additions.

Well drained - soil moisture content does not normally exceed field capacity in any horizon except possibly the C, for a significant part of the year.

Moderately well drained - soil moisture in excess of field capacity remains for a small but significant period of the year.

Imperfectly drained - soil moisture in excess of field capacity remains in subsurface horizons for moderately long periods during the year.

Poorly drained - soil moisture in excess of field capacity remains in all horizons for a large part of the year.

Very poorly drained - free water remains at or within 12 inches of the surface most of the year.

Specific reference to surface drainage may be designated in terms of run-off and described as high, medium, low or ponded. Similarly, specific reference to the characteristics of horizons within the profile may be designated in terms of permeability or percolation and described as rapid, moderate, slow, very slow, and none.

#### SOIL AND LAND USE

The soils of the area have been interpreted for limitations to roads, buildings with basements, sewage lagoons, septic tank absorption fields, trench-type sanitary landfills, shallow excavations, camp areas, picnic areas and playing fields and for suitability as a source of gravel, roadfill and topsoil. The soils have also been assigned capability ratings for agriculture in order to evaluate the area in terms of potential agricultural production.

These interpretations not only consider such soil properties as texture, depth to water table and depth to consolidated bedrock which affect many of the designated uses but also topography and flooding hazard.

Soil interpretations are included so that soils information may be more easily understood. These interpretations should be treated as evaluations of performance of soils not as recommendations for the use of soils. Many other factors are involved in the recommended use of soils. They are, however, valuable tools that can be used to assist the planner. Through their use, the planner can predict the type and degree of problem likely to be encountered, and plan the kind and amount of on-site investigations needed to determine corrective measures. Also, because soil boundaries are not precise, soil interpretations do not eliminate on-site investigations. They are, however, intended as an aid in planning these investigations, to reduce the amount of investigations and minimize the cost. For each use, the soils are rated in terms of degree of - slight, moderate or severe, or in terms of suitability as a source of material - good, fair or poor.

A slight soil limitation is the rating given soils that have properties favourable for the use. Good performance and low maintenance can be expected.

A moderate soil limitation is the rating given soils that have properties moderately favourable for the use. This limitation can be overcome or modified by - planning, adesign, or maintenance.

A severe soil limitation is the rating given soils that have one or more properties that are seriously unfavourable for the use. This limitation generally requires major soil reclamation, special design or intensive maintenance. In most situations, it is difficult and costly to alter the soil or to design a structure so as to compensate for the severe degree of limitation but using these soils without employing corrective measures could result in failure.

The decision as to whether or not a soil will be utilized for a specific use, regardless of the soil limitation is beyond the scope of this report.

SECTION II

#### ACME

#### Location and Extent of Area

The area described in this report covers approximately 780 hectares (1,920 acres) in the vicinity of Acme, Alberta. Acme is located in Section 19, Township 29, Range 25, west of the 4th meridian. It is approximately 50 miles northeast of Calgary and can be reached by way of highways 1, 9 and 806 (north from Beiseker).

#### Physiography of Area

The terrain in the Acme area is composed of gently undulating morainal uplands interspersed with depressions; undulating to rolling glaciofluvial-eolian and eolian veneer areas; and gently undulating to depressional glaciolacustrine lowlands.

The Paskapoo Formation outcrops in the northwest corner of the area. It is Early Tertiary in age, of freshwater origin, and consists chiefly of soft, gray clayey sandstones and soft shales (R. Green 1972).

An ice sheet from the vicinity of Hudson's Bay mixed material from the underlying bedrock and debris from some distance and deposited till on the uplands in the form of ground moraine. As the ice melted, glaciolacustrine and glaciofluvial materials were deposited in glacial lakes and streams. The sandy materials in this area have been deposited by streams that fed Glacial Lake Drumheller, the boundary of which occurs about ten miles east of Acme (Wyatt et al. 1942). Some of these sandy deposits were later reworked by wind.

Drainage of the area is by the Red Deer River drainage system.

# The Soils

The dominance of one or more soil forming processes results in the formation of soil horizons that may differ from one another in many properties. These processes reflect the influence of the soil forming factors - parent material, climate, drainage,

Table 1. Key to the Soils of the Acme Area.

Parent	Soil	Surface Soil	Topographic	Drainage	Dominant Soil	Significant Soil
Material	Unit	Texture (1)	Classes (2)	Classes (3)	Subgroup	Subgroup
	1-1	L	b,c	well to poorly	thin Orthic Black	thin Rego Black, thin Gleyed Rego Black, Orthic Humic Gleysol
Medium to moderately	1-2	L	b,c	well to imperfectly	thin Rego Black	thin Gleyed Rego Black
fine textured till	1-3	L	a,b	moderately well to imperfectly		thin Gleyed Black Solodized Solonetz
	1-4	L	Α	poorly	Orthic Humic Gleysol	Orthic Humic Gleysol- peaty (4)
	1-5	L	Α	poorly	Rego Humic Gleysol – saline	
Coarse to medium textured	2-1	FSL-L	b,c,e	well to imperfectly	Orthic Dark Brown	Gleyed Orthic Dark Brown
glaciofluvial- eolian	2-2	FSL-L	a	imperfectly	Gleyed Orthic Dark Brown	
materials	2-3	FSL-L	Α	poorly	Orthic Humic Gleysol	
Glaciofluvial gravels	3–1	GSL	b,c	rapidly	thin Orthic Black	thin Rego Black
Glaciofluvial- eolian materials	4-1	FSL-L	a,b	imperfectly	thin Gleyed Rego Black	
over glaciolac- ustrine	4-2	FSL-L	Ь	moderately well to imperfectly	Dark Brown Solod	Gleyed Dark Brown Solod
Fine textured	5-1	SiL	a,b	moderately well to imperfectly	Dark Brown Solodized Solonetz	Gleyed Dark Brown Solodized Solonetz
glaciolacustrine	5-2	SiL	Α	poorly	Orthic Humic Gleysol-saline (4)	Rego Humic Gleysol - saline (4)
Sandstone	6-1	LS	d,e	rapidly	thin Orthic Black	8

<sup>(1)</sup> Refer to pages 5, 6 and 7 of report(2) Refer to page 4 of report(3) Refer to page 7 of report

LS - loamy sand. SiL - silt loam

L - loam, FSL - fine sandy loam

GSL - gravelly sandy loam, SL - sandy loam,

vegetation and biotic agents and are the primary basis of the Canadian classification of soils at the higher levels of categorization (Canada Soil Survey Committee, 1976).

Chernozemic soils are rapidly to imperfectly drained soils that are characterized by surface horizons that are darker in color than the subsurface horizons due to the accumulation of organic matter from the decomposition of xerophytic and mesophytic grasses and forbs. The soils of Alberta are divided into broad soil zones (Alberta Institute of Pedology, Soil Group Map of Alberta). The zonal divisions are based on color differences of the soil surface that have developed as a result of different climatic and vegetation conditions over time. The soils in the Acme area are in the Dark Brown and Black soil zones. The Dark Brown Chernozemic soil zone, in general, has a slightly more arid climate and slightly less organic matter in the surface horizons than the Black Chernozemic soil zone. The Black Chernozems have thinner Ah horizons than in areas further north and have been classified as thin Black Chernozems.

Solonetzic soils are moderately well to imperfectly drained soils that are characterized by solonetzic B horizons and saline C horizons. These soils are developed from parent materials salinized with sodium salts in groundwater discharge areas. A solonetzic B horizon is characterized by dark colored staining on the ped surfaces, columnar or prismatic structure, hard to extremely hard consistency when dry, low permeability and a ratio of exchangeable calcium to sodium of 10 or less. In Solodized Solonetz soils the top of the B horizon is intact from the A horizon, and in Solods the top is broken into small aggregates to form a transitional AB horizon.

Gleysolic soils are associated with either a high groundwater table during some period of the year or temporary saturation above a relatively impermeable layer. They occur in depressions throughout the area. They are characterized by very dull colors and prominent mottles indicative of localized oxidation of ferrous iron. The native vegetation usually consists of rushes, reeds and sedges. Humic Gleysols that have

10 cm or more of an Ah horizon are the most common in the area.

Fourteen soil units were mapped – four on till; three on glaciofluvial-eolian sands; two on each of fine textured lacustrine materials and glaciofluvial-eolian sands over lacustrine materials; one on glaciofluvial gravels; and one on residual sandstone.

In the following soil unit descriptions soil colors are indicated as "d" and "m" for dry and moist conditions. Comments refer to the dominant soil subgroup unless otherwise indicated and where the dominant soil subgroup is representative of an established soil series, the series name is indicated in brackets. The letters L, M and H used for low, medium and high lime content and salinity have the following limits:

Lime content: L - low (0-1%); M - medium (1-15%; H - high (> 15%)

Salinity: L - low (electrical conductivity 0 to 1 mmhos/cm);

M - medium (1 to 4 mmhos/cm);

H - high (greater than 4 mmhos/cm).

TABLE 2. CANADIAN SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

	ORDER	GREAT GROUP DIS	TINGUISHING CHARACTERISTICS
1.	Chernozemic (Developed under grassland and transitional grassland-forest communities)	Brown Dark Brown Black Dark Gray	Light Brown Ah horizon Light Brown Ah horizon Black Ah horizon Have L-H surface horizons typical of forest vegetation
2.	Solonetzic (Columnar or prismatic B horizon and a saline C horizon; Ca/Na ratio of B horizon is less than 10)	Solonetz Solodized Solonetz Solod	Ah horizon Bnt horizon Ah Ae Bnt Ah Ae Bnt
3.	Luvisolic (Developed in forest areas; accumulation of clay in	Gray Brown Luvisol	(L-H) Ah Ae Bt; Mull-like Ah horizon
	the B horizon)	Gray Luvisol	L-H (Ah) Ae Bt
4.	Podzolic (Accumulation of Fe+Al	Humic Podzol	Bh > 10 cm which contains > 1% O.C. < 0.3%Fe
	and/or organic matter in the B horizon)	Ferro-Humic Podzol	Bhf > 10 cm which contains > 5%O.C. > 0.6%Fe+Al
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Humo-Ferric Podzol	Bhf > 5 cm which contains < 5%O.C. > 0.6% Fe+A
5.	Brunisolic	Melanic Brunisol	Ah > 5 cm, Bm > 5 cm; pH > 5.5
	(Generally weakly	Eutric Brunisol	Ah $< 5 \text{ cm}, \text{ Bm} > 5 \text{ cm}; \text{ pH} > 5.5$
	developed B horizons)	Sombric Brunisol	Ah > 5 cm, Bm > 5 cm; pH < 5.5
		Dystric Brunisol	Ah < 5 cm, Bm > 5 cm; pH < 5.5
6.	Regosolic (Weakly developed or young soils; no B horizon)	Regoso <b>l</b>	(L-H) Ah C; no B horizon
7.	Gleysolic	Humic Gleysol	Ah > 8 cm
	(Poorly drained and	Gleysol	Ah < 8 cm
	show mottling and gleying)	Luvic Gleysol	Have Aeg and Btg horizons
8.	Organic (Contains > 17% organic carbon are > 60 cm in depth if dominantly fibric or > 40 cm if dominantly mesic or humic)	Fibrisol Mesisol Humisol Folisol	Large amount of well preserved fiber Partially decomposed fiber Well decomposed fiber (Black)

# TABLE 3. DEFINITION OF SOIL HORIZON SYMBOLS (after Canada Soil Survey Committee, 1976)

#### Organic Layers

Organic layers are found at the surface of some mineral soils, and may occur at any depth beneath the surface in buried soils, or overlying geologic deposits. They contain more than 17% organic carbon by weight. Two groups of these layers are recognized.

- O -This is an organic layer developed mainly from mosses, rushes, and woody materials.
- Of -The fibric layer is the least decomposed of all the organic soil materials.

  It has large amounts of well-preserved fibre that are readily identifiable as to botanical origin.
- Om

  -The mesic layer is the intermediate stage of decomposition with intermediate are amounts of fibre, bulk density and water-holding capacity. The material is partly altered both physically and biochemically. A mesic layer is one that fails to meet the requirements of fibric or of humic.
- Oh -The humic layer is the most highly decomposed of the organic soil materials. It has the least amount of fibre, the highest bulk density, and the lowest saturated water-holding capacity. It is very stable and changes very little physically or chemically with time unless it is drained.
- L-F-H These organic layers develop primarily from leaves, twigs, woody materials, and a minor component of mosses.
- L This is an organic layer characterized by an accumulation of organic matter in which the original structures are easily discernible.
- This is an organic layer characterized by an accumulation of partly decomposed organic matter. The original structures in part are difficult to recognize. The layer may be partly comminuted by soil fauna as in moder, or it may be partly decomposed mat permeated by fungal hyphae, as in mor.
- This is an organic layer characterized by an accumulation of decomposed organic matter in which the original structures are indiscernible. This material differs from the F layer by its greater humification chiefly through the action of organisms. This layer is a zoogenous humus form consisting mainly of spherical or cylindrical droppings of microarthropods. It is frequently intermixed with mineral grains, especially near the junction with a mineral layer.

# Master Mineral Horizons and Layers

Mineral horizons are those that contain less organic matter than that specified for organic layers.

Bernier, B. 1968. Soils under forest. Proceedings of the Seventh Meeting of the National Soil Survey Committee of Canada. p. 145 and 147.

#### (TABLE 3 - cont'd)

- A -This is a mineral horizon or horizons formed at or near the surface in the zone of removal of materials in solution and suspension, or of maximum in situ accumulation of organic matter or both. Included are:
  - (1) horizons in which organic matter has accumulated as a result of biological activity (Ah);
  - (2) horizons that have been eluviated of clay, iron, aluminum, or organic matter, or all of these (Ae).
- B -This is a mineral horizon or horizons characterized by one or more of the following:
  - (I) an enrichment in silicate clay (Bt).
  - (2) an alteration of hydrolysis, reduction, or oxidation to give a change in color or structure from horizons above or below (Bm and Bg).
  - (3) a prismatic or columnar structure that exhibits pronounced coatings or stainings or significant amounts of Na (Bn).
- C -This is a mineral horizon or horizons comparatively unaffected by the pedogenic processes operative in A and B, excepting the process of gleying or the accumulation of carbonates and soluble salts.
- This is consolidated bedrock that is too hard to break with the hands or dig with a spade when moist, and that does not meet the requirements of a C horizon. The boundary between the R layer and any overlying unconsolidated material is called a lithic contact.

#### Lowercase Suffixes

- b -A buried soil horizon.
- e —A horizon characterized by the removal of clay, iron, aluminum, or organic matter alone, or in combination. When dry, it is higher in color value by 1 or more units than an underlying B horizon. It is used with A (Ae, Ahe).
- A horizon characterized by gray colors, or prominent mottling, or both, indicative of permanent or periodic intense reduction. Chromas of the matrix are generally 1 or less.
- h -A horizon enriched with organic matter. When used with A it must show one Munsell unit of value darker than the horizon below, or have 0.5% more organic matter than the IC. It contains less than 17% organic carbon by weight.

#### (TABLE 3 - cont'd)

- k -Denotes the presence of carbonate as indicated by visible effervescence when dilute HCl is added.
- m -A horizon slightly altered by hydrolysis, oxidation, or solution, or all three, to give a change in color or structure, or both.
- n —A horizon in which the ratio of exchangeable Ca to exchangeable Na is 10 or less. When used with B it must also have the following properties; prismatic or columnar structure, dark coatings on ped surfaces, and hard to very hard consistence when dry.
- -A horizon with salts which may be detected as crystals or veins, as surface crusts, by distressed crop growth or by presence of salt-tolerant plants. It is most commonly used with C and k.
- t -A horizon enriched with silicate clay. It is used with B (Bt, Btg).

#### SOIL UNIT DESCRIPTIONS

1. Soils Developed on Medium Textured Till.

Soil Unit:

1-1 (Airdrie Series)

Soil Classification:

thin Orthic Black, thin Rego Black, thin Gleyed Rego

Black, Orthic Humic Gleysol

Parent Material:

medium textured till

Topography:

gently undulating to undulating

Drainage:

well to poorly drained

Profile description of dominant soil subgroup: (Airdrie) thin Orthic Black

Horizon	Color	Thickness (cm)	рН	Consistence when dry	USDA texture	Lime content	Salinity
Ap	very dark gray (d)	15	6.5-7.0	soft	L	L	L
Bm	dark brown (d)	15	6.0-7.0	slightly hard	d CL-L	L	L
Ck	light gray brown (d)	30+	7.0-8.0	-	Н	Н	L

Comments: There may or may not be a pronounced Cca horizon above the Ck horizon.

Soil Unit:

1-2

Soil Classification:

thin Rego Black, thin Gleyed Rego Black

Parent Material:

medium textured till

Topography:

gently undulating to undulating

Drainage:

well to imperfectly drained

Profile description of dominant soil subgroup: thin Rego Black

Horizon	Color	Thickness (cm)	рН	Consistence when dry	USDA texture	Lime content	Salinity
Ap	very dark gray (d)	15	7.0-8.0	soft	L	М	L
Ck	light gray brown (d)	15+	7.5-8.0	-	L	Н	L

Comments: same as for Unit 1-1.

, 1-3 (Killam Series)

Soil Classification:

thin Black Solodized Solonetz, thin Gleyed Black

Solodized Solonetz

Parent Material:

medium textured till

Topography:

nearly level to gently undulating

Drainage:

moderately well to imperfectly drained

Profile description of dominant soil subgroup: (Killam) thin Black Solodized Solonetz

Horizon	Color	Thickness (cm)	рΗ	Consistence when dry	USDA texture	Lime content	Salinity
Ap	very dark gray (d)	15	5.5-6.5	slightly hard	L	L	L
Ae	light gray brown (d)	8	5.5-6.5	slightly hard	L	L	L
Bnt	very dark brown (d)	20	5.5-6.5	very hard	CL	L	L
Csk	gray brown (d)	43+	7.0-8.0		L-CL	H	<u> </u>

Comments: Solodized Solonetz soils are characterized by a well developed Ae horizon and a very hard columnar Bnt horizon which is intact from the Ae horizon.

Soil Unit:

1-4

Soil Classification:

Orthic Humic Gleysol, Orthic Humic Gleysol-peaty

Parent Material:

medium textured till

Topography:

depressional

Drainage:

poorly drained

Profile description of dominant soil subgroup: Orthic Humic Gleysol

Horizon	Color	Thickness (cm)	рН	Consistence when dry	USDA texture	Lime content	Salinity
Om	dark brown (m)	10	5.5-6.5	_	-	L	L
Ah	black (m)	3	6.0-7.0	soft	L	L	L
Bg	dark gray brown (m)	20	5.5-6.5	hard	CL	L a	L
Ckg	gray brown (m)	23+	7.0-8.0		L	<u>H</u>	L

Comments: A, B and C horizons are strongly gleyed and mottled. These soils may have peaty organic horizons up to 30 cm thick at the surface.

1-5

Soil Classification:

Rego Humic Gleysol - saline

Parent Material:

medium textured till over bedrock

Topography:

depressional

Drainage:

poorly drained

Profile description of dominant soil subgroup: Rego Humic Gleysol - saline

Horizon	Color	Thickness (cm)	рН	Consistence when dry	USDA texture	Lime content	Salinity
Apkg	black (m)	15	7.0-7.5	soft	L	М	M
Ahsag	black (m)	15	7.0-7.5	soft	Ĺ	Н	Н
Csag	gray brown (m)	25	7.5-8.5	-	CL	Н	Н
11Cskg	brown (m)	<i>5</i> 5+	7.5-8.5	_	CL	Н	Н

Comments: A and C horizons strongly gleyed and mottled

# 2. Soils Developed on Coarse to Medium Textured Glaciofluvial-Eolian Materials.

Soil Unit:

2-1

Soil Classification:

Orthic Dark Brown, Gleyed Orthic Dark Brown

Parent Material:

glaciofluvial-eolian

Topography:

gently undulating to gently rolling

Drainage:

well to imperfectly drained

Profile description of dominant soil subgroup: Orthic Dark Brown

Horizon	Color	Thickness (cm)	рН	Consistence when dry	USDA texture	Lime content	Salinity
Ah	dark brown (d)	8	6.5-7.0	soft	FSL	L	L
Bm	brown (d)	20	6.5-7.5	soft	LFS	L	L
Ck	gray brown (d)	28+	7.0-8.0	_	FSL	Н	L

Comments: The texture of these soils ranged from LFS to L but in general, moderately coarse textured materials predominate.

2-2

Soil Classification:

Gleyed Orthic Dark Brown

Parent Material:

glacio fluvial-eolian material

Topography:

nearly level

Drainage:

imperfectly drained

Profile description of dominant soil subgroup: Gleyed Orthic Dark Brown

Horizon	Color	Thickness (cm)	рΗ	Consistence when dry	USDA texture	Lime content	Salinity	
Ah	dark brown (d)	10	6.5-7.0	soft	FSL	L	L	
Bg	dark gray brown (m)	15	6.5-7.5	soft	LFS	Ĺ	L	
Ckg	dark gray (m)	25+	7.0-8.0	soft	LFS	Н	L	

Comments: B and C horizons are moderately gleyed and mottled

Soil Unit:

2-3

Soil Classification:

Orthic Humic Gleysol

Parent Material:

glaciofluvial-eolian material

Topography:

depressional

Drainage:

poorly drained

Profile description of dominant soil subgroup: Orthic Humic Gleysol

Horizon	Color	Thickness (cm)	рН	Consistence when dry	USDA texture	Lime content	Salinity
Om	brown (m)	15	5.5-6.5	<u>.</u>	-	_	-
Ahg	black (m)	10	6.5-7.0	soft	LFS	L	L
Bg	yellowish brown	(m)20	6.5-7.0	slightly hard	LFS	L	L
Ckg	gray brown (m)		7.0-7.5	-	FSL	Н	L

Comments: A, B and C horizons are strongly gleyed and mottled.

3. Soils Developed on Glaciofluvial Gravels.

Soil Unit:

3-1

Soil Classification:

thin Orthic Black, thin Rego Black

Parent Material:

glaciofluvial gravels

Topography:

gently undulating to undulating

Drainage:

rapidly drained

Profile description of dominant soil subgroup: thin Orthic Black

Horizon	Color	Thickness (cm)	рН	Consistence when dry	USDA texture	Lime content	Salinity
Ap	very dark gray (d)	10	6.0-6.5	soft	LS	L	L
Bm	dark brown (d)	20	5.5-6.5	soft	GLS	L	L
Ck	gray brown (d)	30+	7.0-8.0	_	GLCS	M	L

Comments: Effervescence on under side of coarse fragments.

4. Soils Developed on Glaciofluvial-eolian Materials Overlying Lacustrine Material.

Soil Unit:

4-1

Soil Classification:

thin Gleyed Rego Black

Parent Material:

glaciofluvial-eolian materials overlying glaciolacustrine

Topography:

nearly level to gently undulating

Drainage:

imperfectly drained

Profile description of dominant soil subgroup: thin Gleyed Rego Black

Horizon	Color	Thickness (cm)	рΗ	Consistence when dry	USDA texture	Lime content	Salinity
Ap	very dark gray (d)	10	6.0-6.5	soft	FSL	L	L
Bg	dark brown (d)	30	5.5-6.5	slightly hard	FSL	L	L
IICkg	dark gray brown	(d) 40+	7.0-8.0	_	SiCL	Н	L

Comments: B and C horizons moderately gleyed and mottled.

4-2

Soil Classification:

Dark Brown Solod, Gleyed Dark Brown Solod

Parent Material:

glaciofluvial-eolian material overlying glaciolacustrine

Topography:

gently undulating

Drainage:

moderately well to imperfectly drained

Profile description of dominant soil subgroup: Dark Brown Solod

Horizon	Color	Thickness (cm)	рН		USDA texture	Lime content	Salinity
Ap	dark brown (d)	10	6.0-6.5	slightly hard	FSL	L	L
Ae & AB	light gray (d)	5	5.5-6.5	soft	VFSL	L	L
Bnt	very dark brown (d)	20	5.5-6.5	very hard	FSL	L	L
Csk	light gray brown (d)	20	7.5-8.5	-	LFS	Н	Н
11Csk	gray brown (d)	55+	7.5-8.5	-	SiCL	Н	Н

Comments: But horizon has dark stains on ped faces and breaks easily into blocky aggregates.

5. Soils Developed on Fine Textured Glaciolacustrine Materials.

Soil Unit:

5-1

Soil Classification:

Dark Brown Solodized Solonetz, Gleyed Dark Brown

Solodized Solonetz

Parent Material:

fine textured glaciolacustrine

Topography:

nearly level to gently undulating

Drainage:

moderately well to imperfectly drained

Profile description of dominant soil subgroup: Dark Brown Solodized Solonetz

Horizon	Color	hickness (cm)	рΗ		USDA texture	Lime content	Salinity
Ap	very dark brown(d)	15	6.0-6.5	soft	CL	L	L
Ae	light gray (d)	3	5.5-6.5	hard	SiL	L	L
Bnt	dark brown (d)	15	5.5-6.5	very hard	CL	L	L
Csk	gray brown (d) 3	33+	7.5-8.5	-	SiCL	Н	<u>H</u>

Comments: Solodized Solonetz soils are characterized by an Ae horizon and a columnar, very hard Bnt horizon which is intact from the Ae horizon.

: 5-2

Soil Classification:

Orthic Humic Gleysol-saline, Rego Humic Gleysol-saline

Parent Material:

fine textured glaciolacustrine

Topography:

depressional

Drainage:

poorly drained

Profile description of dominant soil subgroup: Orthic Humic Gleysol

Horizon	Color	Thickness (cm)	рΗ	Consistence when dry	USDA texture	Lime content	Salinity
Om	dark brown (m)	10	5.5-6.5	-	_	_	-
Ahg	black (m)	10	6.0-6.5	hard	CL	L	L
Bnsag	black (m)	8	7.5-8.0	very hard	С	M	М
Cskg	very dark gray brown (m)	28+	7.5-8.5	-	С	Н	Н

Comments: A, B and C horizons are strongly gleyed and mottled.

#### 6. Soils Developed on Sandstone.

Soil Unit:

6-1

Soil Classification:

thin Orthic Black

Parent Material:

sandstone

Topography:

gently rolling to rolling

Drainage:

rapidly drained

Profile description of dominant soil subgroup: thin Orthic Black

Horizon	Color	Thickness (cm)	рН	Consistence when dry	USDA texture	Lime content	Salinity
Ap	very dark gray (d)	10	6.0-6.5	soft	LFS	L	L
Bm	brown (d)	40	5.5-6.5	soft	LFS	L	L
Ck	light grayish brown (d)	50+	7.0-8.0	-	LFS	Н	L

Comments: Sandstone fragments throughout profile. Consolidated bedrock at about 75 cm.

#### Engineering Properties of the Soils

Engineering test data determined on representative soil samples are presented in Table 4. The samples analyzed were taken from subsoils of the soil units at representative sites. Depth of sampling generally ranged between 0.75 and 1.25 metres below the surface. A brief description of the significance of each engineering parameter follows:

## 1. Atterberg Limits

In soil mechanics, plasticity is defined as that property of a material which allows it to be deformed rapidly, without rupture, without rebound, and without volume change (Means and Parcher. 1964).

Tests have been devised to determine the moisture content of a soil at which it changes from one major physical condition to another (PCA Soil Primer, 1962). These tests conducted on the material passing the no. 40 sieve (0.42 mm) have been used as key factors in classifying soils for structural purposes.

The tests used for estimating plasticity are plastic limit, liquid limit, and plasticity index. The plastic limit is the moisture content at which the soil passes from a semisolid to a plastic state. The liquid limit is the moisture content at which the soil passes from a plastic to a liquid state. The plasticity index is the numerical difference between the liquid and plastic limits. These three are known as Atterberg limits.

The plasticity index gives the range in moisture content at which a soil is in a plastic condition. A small plasticity index, such as 5, indicates that a small change in moisture content will change the soil from a semisolid to a liquid condition. A large plasticity index, such as 20, shows that a considerable amount of water can be added before a soil changes to a liquid condition.

# 2. Textural Classification

(a) AASHO Classification System (PCA Soil Primer, 1962).

The American Association of State Highway Officials system is an engineering property classification based on field performance of highways. In the AASHO system, the sieve analyses and the Atterberg limits are used to separate the soil material into seven basic groups A-1 to A-7. The best soils for road subgrades are classified as

A-1, the poorest as A-7.

In recent years these seven basic groups have been divided into subgroups with a group index that was devised to approximate within group evaluations. Group indices range from 0 for the best subgrade material to 20 for the poorest.

(b) Unified Soil Classification System (PCA Soil Primer, 1962).

In this system, the soils are identified according to their sieve analyses and Atterberg limits, and are grouped according to their performance as engineering construction materials. Soil materials are divided into coarse grained soils, fine grained soils and highly organic soils. The coarse grained soils are subdivided into eight classes; the fine grained into six classes; and there is one class of highly organic soils.

Coarse grained soils are those that have 50% or less of material passing the number 200 sieve; fine grained soils have more than 50% of material passing the number 200 sieve. The letters G, S, C, M, W, P, L and H stand for gravel, sand, clay, silt, well graded, poorly graded, low liquid limit and high liquid limit, respectively.

The designation CL for example, indicates inorganic clays of low liquid limit; SW indicates well graded sand; and SC indicates clayey sands.

(c) United States Department of Agriculture Classification System

The system is defined on page 7 in section 1 of this report. A comparison of the different systems is given in the PCA Soil Primer.

Table 4. Engineering and Chemical Soils Data of Representative Soil Samples from the Acme Area.

		Depth		Elect.	,			Grain Size Analysis				Atterberg Limits		Textural Classification			
Soil Unit	Horizon	from surface	рΗ	cond. (mmhos	% sulfate	% C°CO3		% Passir	ng Sieve		% Small	er than	Liquid	Plast-			
		(cm)		/cm)			14	<b>/</b> 10	<b>1</b> 40	1200	.05mm	.002mm	Limit	icity index	AASHO	Unified	USDA
	Bm	15-45	7.0	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	97	94	91	56	54	21	27	8	A2-4(0)	CL-ML	L
1-1	Çca	45-65	8.5	1.0	0.00	33.3	94	94	92	66	65	27	29	7	A2-4(0)	CL-ML	L-CL
	Ck	65+	7.9	1.5	0.03	18.2	94	92	90	57	52	12	23	5	A2-4(0)	CL-ML	L
1-3	Csk1	40-75	8.4	11.1	0.56	20.2	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
	Csk2	75+	8.4	8.0	0.39	19.5	100	100	97	67	64	27	30	11	A2-6(0)	CL	L-CL
1-5	Csag	30-70	8.0	8.9	0.66	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
	Cskg	70+	8.5	9.2	0.45	n.d.	100	100	100	88	79	40	38	18	A6(3)	CL	CL-C
	Ар	0-15	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	100	100	97	40	32	8	30	6	A2-4(0)	ML	SL
2-1	Bm	15-75	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	100	100	96	25	25	18	27	9	A2-4(0)	CL	SL
	Ck	75+	8.0	0.5	0.00	5.4	100	100	95	26	25	21	21	5	A2-4(0)	CL-ML	SCL
5-1	Csk1	30-90	8.2	11.4	0.50	25.7	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	ň.d.	n.d.
	Csk2	90+	8.2	7.6	0.45	19.4	100	100	97	80	76	48	54	27	A7-6(16	СH	С
5-2	Cskg	25+	7.9	15.0	0.81	n.d.	100	100	100	82	74	46	57	28	A7-6(16	СН	С
L	- not	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>							ļ <u>.</u>					

n.d. - not determined

#### SOIL INTERPRETATIONS

Ratings of soil performance for certain selected uses accompanied by the guidelines used for determining the ratings are indicated in Tables 5 to 30 (United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, 1971).

In general, well drained soils on glacial till have slight limitations for most uses. Coarse textured soils such as those developed on glaciofluvial gravels and sandstone have severe limitations for sewage and refuse disposal due to a possible groundwater contamination hazard. Low permeability and a high sulfate content constitute severe limitations for most urban uses on Solonetzic soils. Gleysolic soils have severe limitations for all urban uses due to a seasonal high water table.

In these tables, if a severe limitation occurs, lesser limitations are not specified.

According to the Agro-Climatic Map of Alberta (Bowser, W.E. 1967) the Acme area is in climatic subregion 1. This subregion is characterized by adequate precipitation and a long enough frost-free period to permit the growing of all the dryland crops that are typical to the Prairie Region of Western Canada. The best soils in the area, therefore, would be classified as Class 1 soils. Soils with other moderate to very severe limitations that restrict the range of crops or require moderate to special conservation practices to overcome them are placed in Classes 2, 3 or 5 as indicated in Table 30.

#### TABLE 5. GUIDES FOR ASSESSING SOIL LIMITATIONS FOR ROAD LOCATION

Properties that affect design and construction of roads are (1) those that affect the load supporting capacity and stability of the subgrade; and (2) those that affect the workability and amount of cut and fill. The AASHO and Unified Classification, and the shrink-swell potential give an indication of the traffic supporting capacity. Wetness and flooding affect stability. Slope, depth of bedrock, stoniness, rockiness, and wetness affect the ease of excavation and the amount of cut and fill to reach an even grade.

Soil limitation ratings do not substitute for basic soil data or for onsite investigations.

Item Affecting	Degree	of Soil Limitation	
Use	NONE TO SLIGHT	MODERATE	SEVERE
Soil drainage class <sup>1</sup>	Rapidly <sup>1</sup> , well and mod- erately well drained	Imperfectly drained	Poorly and very poorly drained
Flooding	None	Once in 5 years	More than once <b>in</b> 5 years
Slope	0 to 9% (AD)	9 to 15% (E)	More than 15% (≻E)
Depth to Bedrock	More than 100 cm	50 to 100 cm	Less than 50 cm
Subgrade <sup>2</sup> a. AASHO Group Index <sup>3</sup>	0 to 4	5 to 8	more than 8
b. Unified soil classes	GW,GP,SW,GM,SM, and GC <sup>4</sup> and SC <sup>4</sup>	CL(with Pl <sup>5</sup> less than 1 <i>5</i> ), ML,SP	CL( with Pl <sup>5</sup> 15 or more), CH,MH,OH,OL,Pt
Shrink-swell 6 potential	Low (Pl <sup>5</sup> less than 15)	Moderate (Pl <sup>5</sup> 10 to 15)	High (P <sup>15</sup> greater than 20)
Susceptibility to frost heave <sup>7</sup>	Low (F1, F2)	Moderate (F3)	High (F4) (silty & peaty soi <b>ls)</b>
Stoniness	Stones greater than 1.5 m apart	Stones 7.5 to 1.5 m apart	Stones less than 0.5 m apart
Consolidated Bedrock exposures	Rock exposures greater than 90 m apart and cover less than 2% of the surface	Rock exposures 30 to 90 mapart and cover 2 to 10% of the surface	Rock exposures less than 30 m apart and cover greater than 10% surface

- 1. For an explanation of soil drainage classes see page
- This item estimates the strength of a soil as it applies to roadbeds. When available, AASHO Group Index values
  from laboratory tests were used; otherwise the estimated Unified classes were used. On unsurfaced roads, rapidly
  drained, very sandy poorly graded soils may cause washboard or rough roads.
- 3. Group Index values were estimated from information published by the Portland Cement Assn. 1962. pp 23 25.
- 4. Downgrade to moderate if content of fines (less than 200 mesh) is greater than about 30%.
- Pl means plasticity index.
- 6. Inherent swelling capacity is estimated as low when the plasticity index is less than 15, medium when the plasticity index is 10 to 15 and high when the plasticity index is greater than 20 (Terzaghi and Peck. 1967) Gravelly and stony soils may not exhibit shrink-swell as estimated by the plasticity index because of dilution of the fines with coarse fragments. In these situations decrease a severe limitation to moderate and a moderate limitation to slight.
- 7. Frost heave is important where frost penetrates below the hardened surface layer and moisture transportable by capillary movement is sufficient to form ice lenses at the freezing front. The susceptibility classes are taken from the United States Army Corps of Engineers (1962), pp. 5 8. (See table 6)

Table 6. Frost Design Soil Classification.

Frost	Kind of Soil	% by weight finer than 0.02 mm	Unified Soil Classification System Soil Texture Type
Fl	(a) Gravelly soils	3 – 10	GW, GP, GW-GM, GP-GM
<b>F2</b>	(a) Gravelly soils (b) Sands	10 - 20 3 - 15	GM, GW-GM, GP-GM SW, SP, SM, SW-SM, SP-SM
F3	(a) Gravelly soils (b) Sands (except very fine silty sands) (c) Clays PI >12	more than 20 more than 15	GM, GC SM, SC CL, CH
	<ul> <li>(a) All silts</li> <li>(b) Very fine silty sands</li> <li>(c) Clays PI &lt; 12</li> <li>(d) Varved clays and other fine grained banded sediments</li> </ul>	more than 15	ML, MH SM CL, CL-ML CL and ML; CL, ML and SM; CL, CH and ML; CL, CH, CL and SM

Potential frost action refers to the probable effects on structures, resulting from the freezing of soil material and its subsequent thawing. The action not only pertains to the heaving of soil as freezing progresses, but also to the excessive wetting and loss of soil strength during thaw. Damage to structures from frost action results not from the freezing of the soil itself but from the formation of ice lenses in the soil. In turn, the formation of ice lenses depends on the capacity of the soil to deliver water to a stationary or slowly moving freezing front. Thus poorly drained soils with a high water content are more subject to frost action than well drained soils.

Table 7: Limitations of Soils for Road Location

Mapping Unit	Degree of Limitation	Limiting Soil or Landscape Property from Table 5.	
1-1/bc	Moderate	Moderate texture limitation	
1-2/bc	Moderate	Moderate texture limitation	
1-3/ab	Moderate	Moderate texture limitation	
1-4/A	Severe	Very shallow depth to seasonal water table Potential frost action	
1-5/A	Severe	Same as for 1-4/A	
2-1/bc	Slight		
2-1/d	Slight		
2-2/a	Moderate	Moderately shallow depth to seasonal water table	
2-3/A	Severe	Very shallow depth to seasonal water table Potential frost action	
3-1/bc	Slight		
4-1/ab	Moderate	Moderately shallow depth to seasonal water table  Moderate texture limitation	
4-2/b	Moderate	Moderate texture limitation	
5-1/ab	Moderate	Moderate texture limitation	
5-2/A	Severe	Very shallow depth to seasonal water table	
6-1/de	Slight		

# TABLE 8. GUIDES FOR ASSESSING SOIL LIMITATIONS FOR PERMANENT BUILDINGS

This guide provides ratings for undisturbed soils evaluated for single storey buildings and other structures with similar foundation requirements. The emphasis for rating soils for buildings is on foundations; but soil slope, and susceptibility to flooding and other hydrologic conditions, such as seasonal wetness, that have effects beyond those related exclusively to foundations are considered. Also considered are soil properties, particularly depth to bedrock, which influence excavation and construction costs, both for the building itself and for the installation of utility lines. Excluded are limitations for soil corrosivity, landscaping and spetic tank absorption fields. On site investigations are needed for specific placement of buildings and utility lines, and for detailed design of foundations. All ratings are for undisturbed soils based on information gained from observations to a depth of 4 to 6 feet.

Item Affecting	Deg	ree of Soil Limitation	
Use	NONE TO SLIGHT	MODERATE	SEVERE
Wetness <sup>3</sup>	With basements: Rapidly drained and well drained. Without basements: Rapidly, well and moderately well drained.	With basements: Moderately well drained Without basements: Imperfectly drained.	With basements: Imperfectly, poorly & very poorly drained. Without basements: Poorly & very poorly drained.
Depth to seasonal water table (seasonal means 1 month or more)	With basements: Below 150 cm Without basements: Below 75 cm	With basements: Below 75 cm Without basements: Below 75 cm	With basements: Above 75 cm Without basements: Above 60 cm
Flooding	None	None	Subject to flooding
Slope	0 to 9% (AD).	9 to 15% (E).	More than 15% (>E).
Shrink—swe <b>ll</b> Potentia <b>l</b>	Low	Moderate	High
Unified soil group <sup>5</sup>	GW,GP,SW,SP,GM, GC,SM,SC	ML,CL	CH,MH,OL,OH,Pt.
Potential frost action	Low (F1, F2).	Moderate (F3).	High (F4).
Stoniness	Stones greater than 8 mapart	Stones 1.5 to 8 apart	Stones less than -1.5 m apart
Potential Concrete Corrosion	0.00 to 0.10% sulphate	0.10 - 0.50% sulphate	greater than 0.50% sulphate
Depth to 'Bedrock	With basements: More than 150 cm Without basements: More than 100 cm	With basements: 100 to 150 cm Without basements: 50 to 100 cm	With basements: Less than - 100 cm Without basements: Less than 50 cm

- 1. By reducing the slope limits by  $\frac{1}{2}$ , this table can be used for evaluating soil limitations for buildings with large floor areas but with foundation requirements not exceeding those of ordinary 3-storey dwellings.
- Some soils rated as having moderate or severe limitations may be good sites for an aesthetic or use standpoint but require more preparation or maintenance.
- 3. For an explanation of soil drainage classes see page
- 4. Reduce slope limits by  $\frac{1}{2}$  for those soils subject to hillside slippage.
- This item estimates the strength of the soil, that is its ability to withstand applied loads.
- The potential frost action classes are taken from the United States Army Corps of Engineers (1962), pp. 5 8.
   See Table 6.

Table 9: Limitation of Soils for Permanent Buildings

Mapping Unit	Degree of Limitation	Limiting Soil or Landscape Property from Table 8.
1-1/bc	Moderate	Moderate texture limitation
1-2/bc	Moderate	Moderate texture limitation
1-3/ab	Severe	High sulfate content (1)
1-4/A	Severe	Very shallow depth to seasonal water table
1-5/A	Severe	Very shallow depth to seasonal water table
2-1/bc	Slight	*
2-1/d	Slight	2
2-2/a	Moderat <b>e</b>	Moderately shallow depth to seasonal water table
2-3/A	Severe	Very shallow depth to seasonal water table
3-1/bc	Slight	
4-1/ab	Moderate	Moderately shallow depth to seasonal water table
4-2/b	Moderate	Moderate sulfate content (1)
5-1/ab	Severe	High sulfate content (1)
5-2/A	Severe	Very shallow depth to seasonal water table
6-1/de	Slight	

<sup>(1)</sup> The mechanisms of the destructive reaction of the sulfate ion on concrete is well documented by Swenson (1971). Suggested preventive measures include: use of sulfate-resisting cement, a low water/cement ratio, high cement content, air entrainment, waterproof coatings, drainage features, and special attention to reinforcing cover.

# TABLE 10. GUIDES FOR ASSESSING SOIL LIMITATIONS FOR SEWAGE LAGOONS

A sewage lagoon (aerobic) is a shallow lake used to hold sewage for the time required for bacterial decomposition. Soils have two functions, (1) as an impounding vessel and (2) as material for the impounding embankment. When the lagoon is properly constructed it must be capable of holding water with minimum seepage.

Item Affecting	Degree of Soil Limitation		
Use	SLIGHT	MODERATE	SEVERE
Depth to water table (seasonal or year round)	more than 150 cm	. 100–150 cm	less than 100 cm
Flooding <sup>2</sup>	None	None	Soils subject to flooding
Depth to Consolidated Bedrock	more than 150 cm	100-150 cm	less than 100 cm
Slope	less than 2%	2 - 9%	more than 9%
Organic Matter 4	less than 2%	2 - 15%	more than 15%
Unified Soil Group <sup>3</sup>	GC,SC,CL,CH	GM,ML,SM,MH	GP,GW,SW,SP, <sup>5</sup> OL,OH,Pt

- 1. If the floor of the lagoon is nearly impermeable material at least 60 cm thick, disregard depth to watertable.
- 2. Disregard flooding if it is not likely to enter to damage the lagoon (low velocity and depth less than five feet).
- 3. Rated mainly for the floor of the lagoon.
- 4. Organic matter promotes growth of aquatic plants which are detrimental to the proper functioning of the lagoon.
- 5. Coarse textures constitute a possibility of groundwater contamination if floor of lagoon is not lined with impermeable material.

Table 11: Limitations of Soils for Sewage Lagoons.

Mapping Unit	Degree of Limitation	Limiting Soil or Landscape Property from Table 12.
1-1/bc	Slight	
1-2/bc	Slight	=
1-3/ab	Slight	
1-4/A	Severe	Very shallow depth to seasonal water table
1-5/A	Sever <b>e</b>	Very shallow depth to seasonal water table
2-1/bc	Moderate	Moderate texture limitation – possible groundwater contamination hazard
2-1/d	Moderate	Moderate texture and slope limitations
2-2/a	Moderate	Moderately shallow depth to seasonal water table
2-3/A	Severe	Very shallow depth to seasonal water table
3-1/bc	Severe	Coarse texture – possible groundwater contamination hazard
4-1/ab	Moderate	Moderately shallow depth to seasonal water table
4-2/b	Slight	
5-1/ab	Slight	
5-2/A	Severe	Very shallow depth to seasonal water table
6-1/de	Severe	Coarse texture – possible groundwater contamination hazard
	<del></del>	

The guides on page 38 apply to soils to be used as absorption field for septic tank effluent. A rating of severe need not mean that a septic tank system should not be installed but rather it indicates the difficulty and cost to be expected in installation and maintenance.

Permeability ratings are for soil layers at and below the depth of the tile line. Soils having a permeability rate greater than about 12 cm/hr or percolation rate less than about 8 min/cm are likely to present a pollution hazard to adjacent waters (Alberta Department of Manpower and Labour. 1972). The degree of hazard must however, be assessed by examining the proximity of the proposed installation to water bodies or the water table.

A seasonal water table, i.e. one persisting for more than one month, should be at least 120 cm below the bottom of the trench for soils having a slight to moderate limitation (United States Department of Health, Education and Welfare. 1969). It may, with caution, be possible to make some adjustment for the severity of a water table limitation in those cases where seasonal use of the facility does not coincide with the period of high water table.

The typical homeowner regards a soil absorption system as satisfactory as long as it can receive all the wastewater being generated without overflowing in the house itself (hydraulic failure). Soil absorption systems which are adequate hydraulically may fail by: (a) delivering excessive numbers of potentially pathogenic bacteria and viruses to private or public water supplies; (b) causing increased nitrogen and phosphorus inputs in ground or surface water supplies, which may in turn enhance eutrophication of surface water or cause potentially toxic nitrate levels in drinking water supplies (Beatty, M.T. and J. Bouma. 1973).

Failures due to inadequate removal of pathogens, nitrogen and phosphorus occur when there is inadequate soil (due to lack of thickness or to coarse texture) between a soil absorption system and highly porous material such as creviced bedrock or gravel (Bouma et al. 1972). Failure due to excessive build up of nitrogen or phosphorus in ground and surface waters may occur when there are too many homesites per unit area, even though the individual systems may each be functioning adequately to remove pathogens and absorb the liquid waste (Walker et al. 1973a, 1973b).

٦ Q

Table 12. Guides for Assessing Soil Limitations for Septic Tank Absorption Fields.

Item Affecting	Degree of Soil Limitation			
Use	Slight	Moderate	Severe	
Permeability Class	Moderately rapid (approx.4–12 cm/hr)	Moderate (approx .2–4 cm/hr)	Slow (less than 2 cm/hr)	
Percolation Rate	Approx. 8-18 min/cm	18 to 24 min/cm	Slower than 24 min/cm	
Depth to seasonal water table	More than 180 cm	120 to 180 cm	Less than 120 cm	
Flooding Hazard	Not subject to flooding	Not subject to flooding	Subject to flooding	
Slope	0 to 9 percent	9 to 15 percent	15 to 30 percent	
Depth to Bedrock or Other Impervious Materials	More than 180 cm	120 to 180 cm	Less than 120 cm	

Field percolation test results are reliable only if the moisture is at or near field capacity when the test is run. In fact, nearly impermeable soils on which absorption fields have failed can give high percolation test results after periods of drought.

Table 13: Limitations of Soils for Septic Tank Absorption Fields

Mapping Unit	Degree of Limitation	Limiting Soil or Landscape Property from Table 10.
1-1/bc	Slight	
1-2/bc	Slight	
1-3/ab	Moderate	Moderately shallow depth to seasonal water table .
1-4/A	Severe	Very shallow depth to seasonal water table
1-5/A	Severe	Very shallow depth to seasonal water table
2-1/bc	Slight (1)	
2-1/d	Slight (1)	
2-2/a	Moderate	Moderately shallow depth to seasonal water table
2-3/A	Severe	Very shallow depth to seasonal water table
3-1/bc	Severe	Coarse texture - possible groundwater contamination hazard
4-1/ab	Moderate	Moderately shallow depth to seasonal water table
4-2/b	Moderate	Moderately shallow depth to seasonal water table
5-1/ab	Severe	Slow permeability and percolation rate
5-2/A	Severe	Very shallow depth to seasonal water table
6-1/de	Severe	Coarse texture – possible groundwater contamination hazard

<sup>(1)</sup> On-site investigations required for texture limitations.

Table 14. Guides for Assessing Soil Limitations for Trench-type Sanitary Landfills.

The trench-type sanitary landfill is a dug trench in which refuse is placed and covered daily with a layer of soil material. The daily depths of cover should be at least 15 cm and the final depth of top layer at least 60 cm. Because trenches are five meters or more deep, geological investigation is needed to determine the potential for pollution of groundwater as well as to ascertain the design. The presence of hard, non-rippable bedrock or gravelly strata in or immediately underlying the trench bottom is undesirable from the standpoints of excavation and potential pollution of groundwater.

Item Affecting Use	Degree of Soil Limitation			
	Slight	Moderate	Severe "	
Depth to Seasonal Water Table	(not class determining if	more than 180 cm)	Less than 180 cm	
Soil Drainage Class	Rapidly, well and moderately well drained	Imperfectly drained	Poorly and very poorly drained	
Flooding	None	Rare	Occasional	
Permeability	Less than 5 cm/hr	Less than 5 cm/hr	More than 5 cm/hr	
Slope	0 to 15 percent	15 to 25 percent	More than 25 percent	
Soil Texture (dominant to a depth of 150 cm)	Sandy loam, loam, silt loam, sandy clay loam	Silty clay loam, clay loam, sandy clay, loamy sand	Silty clay, clay, muck, peat, gravel, sand	
Depth to Hard Non-rippable Bedrock	More than 180 cm	More than 180 cm	Less than 180 cm	
Stoniness Class	Slightly stony	Moderately stony	Very to excessively stony	
Rockiness class	None	None	Slightly to extremely rocky	

Soil texture reflects ease of digging and moving and trafficability in the area.

Table 15: Limitation of Soils for Trench-Type Sanitary Landfills

Mapping Unit	Degree of Limitation	Limiting Soil or Landscape Property from Table 14.	
1-1/bc	Moderate	Moderate texture and stoniness limitations	
1-2/bc	Moderate	Moderate texture and stoniness limitations	
1-3/ab	Moderate	Moderate texture and stoniness limitations	
1-4/A	Severe	Very shallow depth to seasonal water table	
1-5/A	Severe	Very shallow depth to seasonal water table	
2-1/bc	Slight (1)	8	
2-1/d	Slight (1)	16	
2-2/a	Moderate	Imperfectly drained  Moderately shallow depth to seasonal water table	
2-3/A	Severe	Very shallow depth to seasonal water table	
3-1/bc	Severe	Severe texture limitation	
4-1/ab	Severe	Severe texture limitation	
4-2/b	Severe	Severe texture limitation	
5-1/ab	Severe	Severe texture limitation	
5-2/A	Severe	Severe texture limitation  Very shallow depth to seasonal water table	
6-1/de	Severe	Shallow depth to bedrock Severe texture limitation	

<sup>(1)</sup> Requires on-site investigations for texture limitations.

# TABLE 16. GUIDES FOR ASSESSING SOIL LIMITATIONS FOR SHALLOW EXCAVATIONS

Shallow excavations are those that require digging or trenching to a depth of less than 2 metres for pipelines, sewer lines, utility lines and basements. Desirable soil properties are good drainage, good workability, moderate resistance to sloughing, gentle slopes, absence of rock outcrops or large stones and freedom from flooding. Additional interpretations concerning shrink-swell potential and corrosivity are needed for ultimate uses of the excavation. Texture is used as an index to workability and sidewall stability. If the bedrock is soft enough so that it can be dug out with ordinary handtools or light equipment, reduce ratings from severe to moderate.

Item Affecting	Degree of Limitation		
Use	SLIGHT	MODERATE	SEVERE
Soil drainage class	Rapidly and well drained	Moderately well drained	Imperfectly to very poorly drained
Depth to seasonal water table	Below 150 cm	From 75 - 150 cm	Above 75 cm
Flooding	None ·	Rare	Occasional
Slope	0 - 8 percent	8 - 15 percent	More than 15 percent
Texture of soil to depth of excavation	Fine sandy loam, sandy loam, silt loam, silty clay loam, sandy clay loam	Silt, clay loam, sandy clay, all gravelly types	Clay, silty clay, loamy sand, organic soils, all very gravelly types
Depth to bedrock	More than 150 cm	100 to 150 cm	Less than 100 cm
Stoniness class	Slightly stony	Moderately stony	Very stony
Rockiness class	None	Slightly rocky	Moderately to very rocky

Table 17: Limitation of Soils for Shallow Excavations.

Mapping Unit	Degree of Limitation	Limiting Soil or Landscape Property from Table 16.	
1-1/bc	Moderate	Moderate stoniness limitation	
1-2/bc	Moderate	Moderate stoniness limitation	
1-3/ab	Moderate	Moderate stoniness and drainage limitations .	
1-4/A	Severe	Severe drainage limitation	
1-5/A	Severe	Severe drainage limitation	
2-1/bc	Slight (1)		
2-1/d	Slight (1)		
2-2/a	Severe	Severe drainage limitation	
2-3/A	Severe	Severe drainage limitation	
3-1/bc	Severe	Severe texture limitation	
4-1/ab ·	Severe	Severe texture and drainage limitations	
4-2/b	Severe	Severe texture limitation	
5-1/ab	Severe	Severe texture and drainage limitations	
5-2/A	Severe	Severe texture and drainage limitations	
6-1/de	Severe	Shallow depth to bedrock Severe texture limitation	

<sup>(1)</sup> Requires on-site investigations for texture limitations.

TABLE 18. GUIDES FOR ASSESSING SOIL LIMITATIONS FOR CAMP AREAS (Montgomery and Edminster. 1966)

This guide applies to soils to be used intensively for trailers and tents and the accompanying activities of outdoor living. It is assumed that little site preparation will be done other than shaping and levelling for campsites and parking areas. The soil should be suitable for heavy foot traffic and for limited vehicular traffic. Soil suitability for growing and maintaining vegetation is not part of this guide, except as influenced by moisture, but is an important item to consider in the final evaluation of site.

Item Affecting	Deg		
Use	NONE TO SLIGHT	MODERATE	SEVER <b>E</b>
Wetness	Rapidly, well and mod- erately well drained soils. Water table below 75 cm during season of use	Moderately well and imperfectly drained soils. Water table below 50 cm during season of use	Imperfectly, poorly, and very poorly drained soils. Water table above 50 cm during season of use
Flooding	None	None during season of use	Floods during season of use
Permeability	Very rapid to moderate	Moderately slow and slow	Very slow
Slope	0 to 9 percent (AD)	9 to 15 percent (E)	Greater than 15 percent (greater than E)
Surface soil texture	SL,FSL,VFSL,L	SiL,CL,SCL,SiCL,LS and sand other than loose sand	SC,SiC,C, loose sand subject to severe blow-ing, organic soils
Coarse fragments. <sup>3</sup> on surface	0 to 20 percent 4:	20 to 50 percent	Greater than 50 percent
Sioniness (stony)	Stones greater than 8 metres apart	Stones 8 to 1.5 metres apart	Stones less than 1.5 metres apart
Rockiness (rock)	No rock exposures	Rock exposures greater than 9 metres apart and cover less than 25 percent of the area	Rock exposures less than 9 metres apart and cover greater than 25 percent of the surface

For information specific to roads and parking lots see Table 5.

: .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Surface soil texture influences soil ratings as it affects foot trafficability, dust, soil permeability and erosion hazard.

<sup>-3</sup>Coarse fragments include both gravels and cobbles. Gravels 2 - 80 mm, Cobbles 80 - 250 mm, Stones >250 mm.

Some gravelly soils may be rated as slight if content of gravel exceeds 20 percent by only a small margin providing:

(a) the gravel is imbedded in the soil matrix or (b) the fragments are less than 20 mm in size.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Very shallow soils are rated as having a severe soil limitation for rockiness or stoniness. See also definitions of rockiness and stoniness in the Canadian System of Soil Classification (Canada Soil Survey Committee. 1976) pp. 139 – 140.

Table 19: Limitations of Soils for Camp Areas.

Mapping Unit	Degree of Limitation	Limiting Soil or Landscape Property from Table 18.	
1-1/bc	Moderate	Moderate stoniness limitation	
1-2/bc	Moderate	Moderate stoniness limitation	
1-3/ab	Sever <b>e</b>	Very slow permeability	
1-4/A	Severe	Very shallow depth to seasonal water table	
1-5/A	Severe	Very shallow depth to seasonal water table	
2-1/bc	Slight	<del>-</del>	
2-1/d	Moderate	Moderate slope limitation	
2-2/a	Moderate	Moderately shallow depth to seasonal water table	
2-3/A	Sever <b>e</b>	Very shallow depth to seasonal water table	
3-1/bc	Moderate	Moderate coarse fragments limitation	
4-1/ab	Moderate	Moderately shallow depth to seasonal water table	
4-2/b	Slight		
5-1/ab	Severe	Very slow permeability	
5-2/A	Sever <b>e</b>	Very shallow depth to seasonal water table	
6-1/de	Severe	Severe texture limitation	

TABLE 20. GUIDES FOR ASSESSING SOIL LIMITATIONS FOR PICNIC AREAS (Montgomery and Edminster. 1966)

This guide applies to soils considered for intensive use as park-type picnic areas. It is assumed that most vehicular traffic will be confined to access roads. Soil suitability for growing and maintaining vegetation is not part of this guide, except as influenced by moisture, but is an important item to consider in the final evaluation of site.

Items Affecting	De	gree of Soil Limitation	•
Use	NONE TO SLIGHT	MODERATE	SEVERE
Wetness	Rapidly, well and moderately well drained soils. Water table below 50 cm during season of use	Moderately well and imperfectly drained soils. Water table during season of use may be less than 50 cm for short periods	Poorly and very poorly drained soils. Water table above 50 cm and often near the surface for a month or more during season of use
Flooding	None during season of use	May flood once a year for short period during season of use	Floods more than once a year during season of use
Slope .	0 to 9 percent (AD)	9 to 15 percent (E)	Greater than 15 percent (greater than E)
Surface soil texture <sup>2</sup>	SL,FSL,VFSL,L	SiL,CL,SCL, SiCL,LS, and sand other than loose sand	SC, SiC, C, loose and subject to severe blowing, organic soils
Coarse fragments 3 on surface	0 to 20 percent 4	20 to 50 percent	More than 50 percent
Stoniness 3	Stones greater than 1.5 metres apart	Stones 0.5 to 1.5 metres apart	Stones less than 0.5 metres apart
Rockiness	Rock exposures roughly 30 to 90 or more metres apart and cover less than 10% of the surface	Rock exposures 10 to 30 metres apart and cover about 10 to 25 percent of the surface	Rock exposures less than 10 metres apart and cover greater than 25 percent of the surface

For information specific to roads or parking lots see Table 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Surface soil texture influences soil ratings as it affects foot trafficability, dust, soil permeability and erosion hazard.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See also definitions for gravel, rockiness and stoniness in the Canadian System of Soil Classification (Canada Soil Survey Committee. 1976) pp 139 – 140. Coarse fragments include both gravel and cobbles. Gravels 2 – 80 mm, Cobbles 80 – 250 mm, Stones more than 250 mm.

<sup>4</sup> Some gravelly soils may be rated as slight if the content of gravel exceeds 20 percent by only a small margin providing (a) the gravel is embedded in the soil matrix or (b) the fragments are less than 20 mm in size.

Table 21: Limitations of Soils for Picnic Areas.

	Limitation	Limiting Soil or Landscape Property from Table 20.
1-1/bc	Slight	
1-2/bc	Slight	
1-3/ab	Slight	
1-4/A	Severe	Very shallow depth to seasonal water table
1-5/A	Severe	Very shallow depth to seasonal water table
2-1/bc	Slight	
2-1/d	Slight	
2-2/a	Moderate	Moderately shallow depth to seasonal water table
2-3/A	Severe	Very shallow depth to seasonal water table
3-1/bc	Moderate	Moderate coarse fragments limitation
4-1/ab	Moderate	Moderately shallow depth to seasonal water table
4-2/b	Slight	•
5-1/ab	Moderate	Moderate texture limitation
5-2/A	Sever <b>e</b>	Very shallow depth to seasonal water table
6-1/de	Severe	Severe texture limitation

TABLE 22. GUIDES FOR ASSESSING SOIL LIMITATIONS FOR PLAYING FIELDS. (Montgomery and Edminster. 1966)

This guide applies to soils considered for intensive use as playing fields for organized games such as baseball or football. Soil suitability for growing and maintaining vegetation is not a direct consideration in this guide, but is an important item to consider.

Item Affecting	Degree	Degree of Soil Limitation		
Use	SLIGHT	MODERATE	SEVERE	
Flooding	none during season of use	subject to occasional flooding. Not more once in 3 years	subject to more than occasional flooding	
Wetness	rapidly to moderately well drained	imperfectly drained soils subject to occasional ponding	poorly and very poorly drained	
Depth to water table	more than 75 cm during season of use	50 to 75 cm during season of use	less than 50 cm during season of use	
Permeability	very rapid to moderate 50 cm/hr to 15 mm/hr	moderately slow 15 - 5 mm	slow and very slow (less than 5 mm/hr)	
Slope	0 - 2 percent	2 - 5 percent	more than 5 percent	
Surface Texture	SL,FSL,VFSL,L	CL,SCL,SiCL,SiL LS and S other than loose sand	SC, SiC, C, loose sand, organic	
Depth to Bedrock	more than 100 cm	60 to 100 cm	less than 50 cm	
Surface stoniness	slightly stony	moderately stony	very to excessively stony	

Table 23: Limitations of Soils for Playing Fields.

Mapping Unit	Degree of Limitation	Limiting Soil or Landscape Property from Table 22.	
1-1/bc	Moderate	Moderate slope and stoniness limitations	
1-2/bc	Moderate	Moderate slope and stoniness limitations	
1-3/ab	Severe	Very slow permeability	
1-4/A	Severe	Very shallow depth to seasonal water table Subject to more than occasional flooding	
1-5/A	Severe	Very shallow depth to seasonal water table Subject to more than occasional flooding	
2-1/bc	Moderate	Moderate slope limitation	
2-1/d	Severe	Severe slope limitation	
2-2/a	Moderate	Moderately shallow depth to seasonal water table	
2-3/A	Sever <b>e</b>	Very shallow depth to seasonal water table	
3-1/bc	Moderate	Moderate stoniness limitation	
4-1/ab	Moderate	Moderately shallow depth to seasonal water table	
4-2/b	Slight		
5-1/ab	Severe	Very slow permeability	
5-2/A	Severe	Very shallow depth to seasonal water table	
6-1/de	Severe	Severe slope and texture limitations	

-50

Table 24. Suitability Ratings of Soils as Sources of Gravel.

The main purpose of these ratings is to indicate local sources of gravel. The ratings are based on the probability that soils contain sizable quantities of gravel.

Item Affecting	Degree of Soil Suitability			
Use	Good	Fair	Poor	
Unified Soil Group	GW, GP	GP-GM, GW-GM	GM, GP-GC,GW-GC (all other groups unsuited)	
Flooding	none or occo	sional	frequent or constant	
Wetness	better than poo	orly drained <sup>1</sup>		
Depth of Overburden	less than 60 cm	60 to 150 cm	more than 150 cm	

See page 7 for an explanation of drainage classes.

Table 25: Suitability of Soils as Sources of Gravel.

Mapping Unit	Degree of Suitability	Limiting Soil or Landscape Property
1-1/bc	Unsuited	
1-2/bc	Unsuited	•
1-3/ab	Unsuited	
1-4/A	Unsuited	
1-5/A	Unsuited	
2-1/bc	Unsuited	
2-1/d	Unsuited	
2-2/a	Unsuited	CEE TABLE 04
2-3/A	Unsuited	SEE TABLE 24
3-1/bc	Good	
4-1/ab	Unsuited	
4-2/b	Unsuited	
5-1/ab	Unsuited	
5-2/A	Unsuited	•
6-1/A	Unsuited	

TABLE 26. SUITABILITY KATINGS OF SOILS AS SOURCES OF ROADFILL

The ratings in this table indicate the performance of a soil after it is placed in a road embankment and also the degree of difficulty in excavating the fill material. Ratings of the material are the same as for road location (Table 3) however ratings of factors governing excavation differ.

İtem	·		
Affecting	Degre	e of Suitability <sup>]</sup>	
Use	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
	Rapidly to moderately well drained <sup>2</sup>	Imperfectly drained	Poorly and very poorly drained
Engineering Groups Unified Group	GW,GP,GC,SW,SP, SM,SC	ML,CL with P.I. <sup>3</sup> less than 15	CH,MH,OL,OH,Pt, and CL with P.l. more than 15
AASHO Group Index	0 - 4	5 - 8	greater than 8
Stoniness	none to moderately stony	very stony	exceedingly stony
Depth to consolidated bedrock	more than 2 m	1 to 2 m	less than 1 m
Slope	0 - 15%	15 - 30%	more than 30%

A rating of unsuited (u) is applied to land units, such as bedrock (R), where no conventional fill material is present.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>See page 7 for an explanation of drainage classes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>p.1. means plasticity index.

Table 27: Suitability of Soils as Source of Roadfill.

Mapping Unit	Degree of Suitability	Limiting Soil or Landscape Property from Table
1-1/bc	Fair	Texture limitation
1-2/bc	Fair	Texture limitation
1-3/ab	Fair	Texture limitation
1-4/A	Poor	Drainage and texture limitations
1-5/A	Poor	Drainage and texture limitations
2-1/bc	Good	
2-1/d	Good	
2-2/a	Fair	Drainage limitation
2-3/A	Poor	Drainage limitation
3-1/bc	Good	
4-1/ab	Fair	Drainage limitation
4-2/b	Fair	Texture limitation
5-1/ab	Fair	Texture limitation
5-2/A	Poor	Drainage and texture limitation
6-1/de	Good	

# TABLE 28. SUITABILITY RATINGS OF SOILS AS SOURCES OF TOPSOIL

Topsoil, for these ratings, refers essentially to Ah horizon material. In some cases the B, and even C horizon materials could be used for dressing disturbed land. These ratings are intended for use by engineers, landscapers, planners and others who make decisions about selecting, stockpiling and using topsoil. These ratings are based on quality of topsoil and ease of excavation. In addition to the Good, Fair, and Poor ratings described below, an Unsuited (U) rating is used.

Item	Degree	of Suitability 1	
Affecting Use	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Texture	SL, FSL, VFSL, L, SiL	CL,SCL, SiCL	LS,S,SC,SiC,C, Organic
Depth of topsoil	more than 15 cm	8 - 15 cm	less than 8 cm
Flooding	none	may flood occasionally	frequently or constantly flooded
Wetness	Drainage class not determining if better than poorly drained		poorly and very poorly drained
Coarse fragments % by volume	less than 3%	3 - 15%	more than 15%
Slope	less than 9%	9 - 15%	more than 15%
\$toniness	none to slightly stony	moderately stony	very to excessively stony
Salinity of topsoil	$E.c.^2 0 - 1^3$	E.C. 1 - 3	E.C. more than 3
Permeability of upper subsoil	moderate	slow	very slow

A rating of unsuited (U) is used for soil and land units that do not have topsoil present.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>E.C. - electrical conductivity of a saturation extract in m mhos/cm.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>These are the limits suggested by the Alberta Soil and Feed Testing Laboratory when considering lawn growth.

Table 29: Suitability of Soils as Sources of Topsoil. .

Mapping Unit	Degree of Suitability	Limiting Soil or Landscape Property from Table 28.
1-1/bc	Fair	Moderate stoniness and depth limitation
1-2/bc	Fair	Moderate stoniness and depth limitation
1-3/ab	Poor	Very slow permeability
1-4/A	Poor	Poorly drained
1-5/A	Poor	Poorly drained
2-1/bc	Good	
2-1/d	Good	·
2-2/a	Good	
2-3/A	P∞r	Poorly drained
3-1/bc	Poor	High percentage of coarse fragments
4-1/ab	Good	
4-2/b	Good	
5-1/ab	Poor	Very slow permeability
5-2/A	Poor	Poor drainage
6-1/de	Poor	Severe texture limitation (loose sand)

# Agricultural Capability

These ratings are made using the Soil Capability for Agriculture (Canada Land Inventory, 1965). The CLI capability for agriculture is described using classes and subclasses. The classes indicate the relative degree of limitation, whereas the subclasses indicate the type of limitation. Seven classes are recognized in the system and are described as follows:

- Class 1 Soils in this class have no significant limitation in use for crops.
- Class 2 Soils in this class have moderate limitations that restrict the range of crops or require moderate conservation practices.
- Class 3 Soils in this class have moderately severe limitations that restrict the range of crops or require special conservation practices.
- Class 4 Soils in this class have severe limitations that restrict the range of crops.
- Class 5 Soils in this class have very severe limitations that restrict their capability to producing perennial forage crops, and improvement practices are feasible.
- Class 6 Soils in this class are capable of only producing perennial forage crops and improvement practices are not feasible.
- Class 7 Soils in this class have no capability for arable culture or permanent pasture.

The following subclasses are recognized in the system and are described as follows:

- A the length of the frost-free period is usually adequate but the amount of precipitation is a limiting factor to crop growth.
- D the depth of the rooting zone is restricted by soil conditions other than wetness or consolidated bedrock.
- F low fertility.
- H the amount of precipitation is usually adequate but the length of frost-free period is limiting to the growth of cereal crops.
- I inundation by streams or lakes.
- M low moisture-holding capacity.
- N presence of enough soluble salts to adversely affect crop growth or restrict the range of crops than can be grown.
- P stoniness.
- S used in a collective sense for one or more subclasses.
- T adverse topography
- W excess water other than from flooding.

Table 30: Soil Capability for Agriculture.

Mapping Unit	Class and Subclass	Limiting Soil or Landscape Property from page 56
1-1/bc	1	- 1
1-2/bc	1	
1-3/ab	3D	The depth of the rooting zone is restricted by soil conditions other than wetness or bedrock
1-4/A	5W	Excess water other than from flooding
1-5/A	5 N	Excess water. Presence of soluble salts
2-1/bc	2M	Low moisture holding capacity
2-1/d	3 <sup>T</sup> M	Low moisture holding capacity Adverse topography
2-2/a	1	
2-3/A	5W	Excess water other than from flooding
3-1/bc	3 <sup>M</sup> P	Stoniness and low moisture holding capacity
4-1/ab	2W	Excess water
4-2/b	2D	Restricted depth of rooting zone
5-1/ab	3D	Restricted depth of rooting zone
5-2/A	5W	Excess water
6-1/de	5 <sup>M</sup> T	Low moisture holding capacity and adverse topography

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Mr. Zdenek Widtman drafted the final soils map and Mr. J. Beres determined the engineering properties of the soils. Messrs. W. McKean and A. Schwarzer determined the chemical properties. Mrs. Pal Foster edited and typed the report. Able field assistance was given by Mr. H. van Waas and Mr. M. Oguztoreli. Special acknowledgement is given to the many property owners whose cooperation allowed soil investigations to be conducted on their land.

#### REFERENCES

- Alberta Department of Manpower and Labour. 1972. Private sewage disposal, 5th ed. Plumbing Inspection Branch, Provincial Dept. of Manpower and Labour, Edmonton, Alberta.
- Alberta Institute of Pedology. Soil Group Map of Alberta. Available from Alberta Soil Survey, 14605 118 Avenue, Edmonton, Alberta.
- Bauer, K.W. 1973. The use of soils data in regional planning. Geoderma 10. p. 1–26.
- Beatty, M.T. and J. Bouma. 1973. Application of soil surveys to selection of sites for on-site disposal of liquid household wastes. Geoderma 10. pp. 113-122.
- Bouma, J. et al. 1972. Soil Absorption of Septic Tank Effluent. Geological and Natural History Survey, University Extension, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin.
- Bowser, W.E. 1967. Agro-Climatic Areas of Alberta. Alberta Soil Survey, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta.
- Canada Land Inventory. 1965. Soil Capability Classification for Agriculture. Canada Land Inventory Report No. 2, Dept. of Forestry, Canada.
  - Canada Soil Survey Committee. 1976. The Canadian System of Soil Classification. Canada Dept. of Agriculture, Ottawa, Ontario.
  - Green, R. 1970. Geological Map of Alberta. Research Council of Alberta Map 35.
  - Lavkulich, L.M. 1969. Soil Dynamics in the Interpretation of Paleosols. Pedology and Quaternary Research. Symposium held at Edmonton, Alberta. May 1969.
- Means, R.E., and J.V. Parcher. 1964. Physical properties of soils. Charles E. Merrill Books Inc. 464 pp.
- Montgomery, P.H. and F.C. Edminster. 1966. Use of soil surveys in planning for recreation. In: Soil Surveys and Land Use Planning. Bartelli et al. (ed.) Soil Science Society of America and American Society of Agronomy, Madison, Wisconsin. pp. 104–112.
- Portland Cement Association. 1962. PCA Soil Primer, 33 West Grand Ave., Chicago, Illinois.

- Swenson, E.G. 1971. Concrete in sulfate environments; Canadian Building Digest, Division of Building Research, National Research Council of Canada.
- United States Army Corps of Engineers. 1962. Pavement design for frost conditions. E.M. 1110-1-306. pp.5-8.
- United States Department of Agriculture. 1951. Soil Survey Manual. For sale by Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.
- United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1971. Guide for Interpreting Engineering Uses of Soils.
- United States Department of Health, Education and Welfare. 1969. Manual of septic tank practice. Public Health Publication No. 526, United States Superintendent of Documents, Washington, D.C.
- Walker, W.G. et al. 1973. Nitrogen transformations during subsurface disposal of septic tank effluent in sands, Il Groundwater quality.
- Wyatt, F.A. et al. 1942. Soil Survey of Blackfoot and Calgary sheets. University of Alberta Bulletin No. 39.

#### **GLOSSARY**

This is included to define terms commonly used in the report; it is not a comprehensive soil glossary.

- -aeolian (eolian) deposit material deposited by wind, includes both loess and dune sand.
- aggregate a group of soil particles cohering so as to behave mechanically as a unit.
- alluvial deposit material deposited by moving water.
- aspect orientation of the land surface with respect to compass direction.
- Atterberg limits see plastic limit, liquid limit.
- available plant nutrients that portion of any element or compound in the soil that can be readily absorbed and assimilated by growing plants.
- bearing capacity the average load per unit area that is required to rupture a supporting soil mass.
- cation an ion carrying a positive charge of electricity. The common soil cations are calcium, magnesium, sodium, potassium and hydrogen.
- cation exchange capacity (C.E.C.) a measure of the total amount of exchangeable cations that can be held by the soil. It is expressed in terms of milliequivalents/100 grams of soil.
- coarse fragments rock or mineral particles greater than 2 mm in diameter.
- colluvium a heterogeneous mixture of material that has been deposited mainly by gravitational action.
- creep slow mass movement of soil material down rather steep slopes primarily under the influence of gravity, but aided by saturation with water and alternate freezing or thawing.
- edaphic (i) of or pertaining to the soil, (ii) resulting from, or influenced by, factors inherent in the soil or other substrate rather than by climatic factors.
- eluviation the removal of soil material in suspension or in solution from a layer or layers of the soil.
- erosion the wearing away of the land surface by running water, wind, or other erosive agents. It includes both normal and accelerated soil erosion. The latter is brought about by changes in the natural cover or ground conditions and includes those due to human activity.

- field capacity the percentage of water remaining in a soil after having been saturated and after free drainage has practically ceased.
- fluvial deposit accumulations of sediment (sand, gravel, silt, etc.) produced by the action of a stream or river.
- glacio-fluvial deposits material moved by glaciers and subsequently deposited by streams flowing from the melting ice.
- gley gleying is a reduction process that takes place in soils that are saturated with water for long periods of time. The horizon of most intense reduction is characterized by a gray, commonly mottled appearance, which on drying shows numerous rusty brown iron stains or streaks. Those horizons in which gleying is intense are designated with the subscript "g".
- grain-size analysis the determination of the various amounts of sand, silt, clay, gravel and cobbles in a soil sample.
- groundwater that portion of the total precipitation which at any particular time is either passing through or standing in the soil and the underlying strata and is free to move under the influence of gravity.
- horizon a layer in the soil profile approximately parallel to the land surface with more or less well-defined characteristics that have been produced through the operation of soil forming processes. Soil horizons may be organic or mineral.
- illuviation the process of deposition of soil material removed from one horizon to another in the soil; usually from an upper to a lower horizon in the soil profile. Illuviated compounds include silicate clay, iron and aluminum hydrous oxides and organic matter.
- infiltration the downward entry of water into the soil.
- lacustrine deposit material deposited in lake water and later exposed either by a lowering of the water or by uplift of the land.
- landforms the various shapes of the land surface resulting from a variety of actions, such as deposition, erosion, etc.
- liquid limit (upper plastic limit) the water content at which a pat of soil, cut by a groove of standard dimensions, will flow together for a distance of 12 mm under the impact of 25 blows in a standard liquid limit apparatus.
- lithic a soil subgroup modifier that indicates a bedrock contact within 50 cm (20 in.) of the soil surface.
- loamy intermediate in texture between fine-textured and coarse textured.
- loess material transported and deposited by wind and consisting of predominately silt-sized particles.
- morphology, soil the makeup of the soil, including the texture, structure, consistence, colour, and other physical, mineralogical and biological properties of the various horizons of the soil profile.

- miscellaneous land type d mapping unit for areas of land that have little or no natural soil e.g. rough mountainous land.
- morainal accumulations of unsorted, unstratified till deposited by direct action of glacier ice in a variety of topographic landforms.
- mottles spots or blotches of different colour or shades of colour interspersed with the dominant colour. Mottling in soils usually indicates poor aeration and drainage.
- organic matter the decomposition residues of plant material derived from: (i) plant materials deposited on the surface of the soil, and (ii) roots that decay beneath the surface of the soil.
- parent material unconsolidated mineral material or peat from which the soil profile develops.
- peat unconsolidated soil material consisting largely of undecomposed to partially decomposed organic matter accumulated under conditions of excessive moisture. -
- ped a unit of soil structure such as a prism, block or granule formed by natural processes (in contrast to a clod which is formed artifically).
- pedology those aspects of soil science involving the constitution, distribution, genesis and classification of soils.
- -percolation, soil water the downward movement of water through soil. Especially the downward flow of water in saturated or nearly saturated soil at hydraulic gradients of the order of 1.0 or less.
  - permeability the ease with which gases, liquids, or plant roots penetrate or pass through a bulk mass of soil or a layer of soil. Since different horizons of soil vary in permeability, the particular horizon under question should be designated.
- pH a notation used to designate the relative acidity or alkalinity of soils and other materials. A pH of 7.0 indicates neutrality, higher values indicate alkalinity, and lower values acidity.
  - phase, soil a subdivision of a taxonomic class based on soil characteristics or combinations thereof which are considered to be potentially significant to man's use or management of the land.
  - plastic limit water content at which a soil will just begin to crumble when rolled into a thread approximately 3 mm in diameter.
  - plasticity index the numerical difference between the liquid and the plastic limit.
  - profile a vertical section of the soil throughout all(in)horizons and extending into the parent material.
  - relief the elevations or inequalities of the land surface when considered collectively.

    Minor configurations are referred to as "microrelief".

- residual material unconsolidated and partly weathered mineral material accumulated by disintegration of consolidated rock in place.
- saline soil a soil containing enough soluble salts in such quantities that they interfere with the growth of most crop plants.
- seepage (groundwater) the emergence of water from the soil over an extensive area in contrast to a spring where it emerges from a local spot.
- soil consistence (i) the resistance of a soil material to deformation or rupture.

  (ii) the degree of cohesion or adhesion of the soil mass. Terms used for describing consistence at various soil moisture conditions are:

  wet soil non-plastic, slightly plastic, plastic, very plastic.

  moist soil loose, friable, firm, very firm, extremely firm.

  dry soil loose, soft, hard, very hard, extremely hard.
- soil structure the combination or arrangement of primary soil particles into secondary particles, units or peds, e.g. prismatic, columnar, blocky, platy.
- soil unit a defined aggregrate of soil bodies occurring together in an individual and characteristic pattern over the land surface.
- solum (plural sola) the part of the soil profile that is above the parent material and in which the processes of soil formation are active. It comprises the A and B horizons.
- \_terrace a nearly level, usually narrow, plain bordering a river, lake or sea:
- texture (soil) the relative proportions of the various sized soil separates in a soil as described by the textural class names.
- till unstratified glacial drift deposited directly by ice and consisting of non-sorted clay, silt, sand and boulders.
- topsoil (i) the layer of soil moved in cultivation, (ii) the A horizon, (iii) the Ah horizon, (iv) presumably fertile soil material used to topdress roadbanks, gardens and lawns.
- undifferentiated deposit accumulations of unconsolidated deposits where differentiation into one of the other classes of deposits is impractical.
- veneer surface form in areas of very thin surficial deposits (10 cm to 1 metre in thickness) which is strongly influenced by subsurface deposits.
- watertable the upper limit of the part of the soil or underlying rock material that is wholly saturated with water.

# SOILS MAP OF THE ACME AREA

Tp. 29; R. 25,26; W 4



PARENT MATERIAL	SOIL UNIT	SURFACE SOIL TEXTURE (1)	TOPOGRAPHY CLASSES	DRAINAGE CLASSES (2)	DOMINANT SOIL SUBGROUP	SIGNIFICANT SOIL SUBGROUP
medium to	1-1	L	b,c	well to poorly	thin Orthic Black	thin Rego Black, thin Gleyed Rego Black, Orthic Humic Gleysol
moderately	1-2	L	b,c	well to imperfectly	thin Rego Black	thin Gleyed Rego Black
fine textured	1-3	· L	a,b	moderately well to imperfectly	thin Black Solodized Solonetz	thin Gleyed Black Solodized Solonetz
	1-4	L L	A	poorly	Orthic Humic Gleysol	Orthic Humic Gleysol - peaty (3)
	1-5		Α	poorly	Rego Humic Gleysol - saline (3)	
coarse to medium	2-1	FSL-L	b,c,e	well to imperfectly	Orthic Dark Brown	Gleyed Orthic Dark Brown
textured glaciofluvial-	2-2	FSL-L	a	Imperfectly	Gleyed Orthic Dark Brown	
eolian materials	2-3	FSL-L	Α	poorly	Orthic Humic Gleysol	
glaciofluvial gravels	3-1	GSL	b,c	rapidly	thin Orthic Black	thin Rego Black
glaciofluvial-eolian materials over lacustrine	4-1	FSL-L	a,b	imperfectly	thin Gleyed Rego Black	
	4-2	FSL-L	Ь	moderately well to	Dark Brown Solod	Gleyed Dark Brown Solod
C	5–1	SiL	a,b.	moderately well	Dark Brown	Gleyed Dark Brown
fine textured				to imperfectly	Solodized Solonetz	Solodized Solonetz
lacustrine	5-2	SiL	A	poorly	Orthic Humic Gleysol - saline (3)	Rego Humic Gleysol – saline (3)
sandstone	6-1	LS	d,e	rapidly	thin Orthic Black	

(1) Refer to pages 5, 6 and 7 of report

(2) Refer to page 7 of report

(3) "Saline" and "peaty" are phases of soil subgroups

Symbols:

L - Loam,

W - Water

SL - Sandy Loam, LS - Loamy Sand

FSL - Fine Sandy Loam,

GSL - Gravelly Sandy Loam

SIL - SIIt Loam

1250


DL - Disturbed Land

soil line

--- boundary of mapped area

APPROXIMATE SCALE: 1:15,000 1250 2500 3750 Feet

Compiled on uncontrolled mosaic. Mapped and Compiled by: M. D. Scheelar Soils Division 1978



A - depressional to level 0 to 0.5% slope

- nearly level to 0.5% slope

- gently undulating 0.5+ to 2 % slope - undulating 2 + to 5 % slope

- gently rolling 5 + to 9 % slope

e - moderately rolling 9 + to 15 % slope