SOIL SURVEY

of

HILLIARD'S BAY AREA on the Northwest Shore of Lesser Slave Lake

 $\sigma$ nd

INTERPRETATION FOR RECREATIONAL USE

by

R. A. MacMillan and G. M. Greenlee, P. Ag.
Soils Division
ALBERTA RESEARCH COUNCIL

1977

Alberta Institute of Pedology Number M-77-2

## CONTENTS

		Page
Coni	tents .	1
Prefe	ace	3
Intro	duction	3
Ackr	nowledgments	4
Sumr	nary	5
Meth	nods	6
Gen	eral Discussion of Soil Map	7
Gen	eral Discussion of Landform Map	9
Soil	Characteristics and Interpretations for Recreational Use	20
Expl	anation of Soil Interpretations	27
Defi	nition of Selected Uses & Guides for Developing Soil Interpretations	28
Refe	rences	52
Glos	sary	55
Soil	Report	60
	LIST OF TABLES	
Table	e No.	-
1.	Topographic Classes & Symbols	8
2.	Surface Stoniness Ratings	9
3.	Frost Design Soil Classification	25
4.	Guides for Developing Soil Interpretations for Camp Areas	29
5.	Guides for Developing Soil Interpretations for Picnic Areas	31
6.	Guides for Developing Soil Interpretations for Playing Fields	33
7.	Guides for Developing Soil Interpretations for Paths and Trails	35
8.	Guides for Developing Soil Interpretations for Lawns and Landscaping	37
9.	Guides for Developing Soil Interpretations for Permanent Buildings	39
10.	Guides for Developing Soil Interpretations for Septic Tank Absorption Fields	41
11.	Guides for Developing Soil Interpretations for Sanitary Landfills – Trench Type	43

12.	Guides for Developing Soil Interpretations for Reservoir Sites	45
13.	Guides for Developing Soil Interpretations for Road Location and Sources of Roadfill	47
14.	Guides for Developing Suitability Ratings of Soils as Sources of Topsoil	49
15.	Guides for Developing Suitability Ratings of Soils as Sources of Sand and Gravel	51

Ÿ .

•

#### PREFACE

This report is one of a series describing detailed and semi-detailed soil surveys, which were conducted in the following Alberta Provincial Parks during the summer of 1976: Cypress Hills, Writing-on-Stone, Dry Island Buffalo Jump, Jarvis Bay, Wabamum Lake, Thunder Lake, Moose Lake and Moonshine Lake. Also included were the Blue Lake Centre in William A. Switzer Provincial Park; as well as areas in the vicinities of Carseland and Hilliard's Bay (on the northwestern shore of Lesser Slave Lake). The total area mapped was approximately 74,000 acres.

A separate report is written for each area; however a standard explanatory section which is pertinent to all areas is presented at the beginning of each. Specific results and interpretations for a particular area are presented in the second section of the report, entitled "Soil Report". A few additional references and an additional glossary of terms specific to a particular area are also included in this section

#### INTRODUCTION

The growth in population and per capita income has and will continue to exer: an unprecedented demand on the natural resources of Alberta. The nature of today's city living and working makes apparent the recreational value of Provincial Parks. Men and women often work in large factories and plants or in crowded offices, far removed from nature or a natural environment. The work week of forty hours or less, however, provides people with a relatively large amount of leisure time and prompts a constant search for off-time recreation to refresh the spirit. It is this trend in our civilization today that places high priority on comprehensive planning that will bring together the special interests in land use, watershed protection, wildlife, forestry, and parks and recreation based on carefully determined requirements.

Soil being one of the basic resources which man manipulates in his land use activities, requires prudent use, protection and proper management in order to realize its inherent potential on a sustained yield basis (Montgomery and Edminster 1966).

Recognition of natural phenomena and physical limitations is no less important in campground construction or septic tank location than it is in crop production. The kind of soil dictates to a large degree the type and location of recreational facilities.

Some soils are not desirable sites for campgrounds, play areas, picnic grounds, cabin sites or nature study areas; other soils are very desirable sites for recreational uses.

Knowledge of the soils of an area provides fundamental information needed in recreation planning. The soil information contained in the reports covering Provincial Parks and proposed park areas within Alberta is designed to be of assistance in planning future development.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The Alberta Research Council provided the staff and the Parks Planning Branch of Alberta Recreation, Parks and Wildlife contributed the operating costs of the 1976–77 Provincial Parks soil survey program. The Alberta Research Council published the report and compiled the soil map. The University of Alberta provided office and laboratory space.

Mrs. Pal Foster typed and assisted in compiling and proof reading the report. Mr. Z. Widtman drafted the soil and landform maps, while Mr. J. Beres determined the physical properties of the soils. The soil chemical analyses were determined by the Alberta Soil and Feed Testing Laboratory.

G.M. Greenlee provided considerable guidance and advice both in the field and in the preparation of this report.

Special acknowledgement is given to the Park Wardens, as well as other Park employees, who co-operated by allowing soil investigations to be conducted throughout the parks, and also invariably offered assistance.

#### SUMMARY

The mapped area is located along the northwestern shore of Lesser Slave Lake about 7 km (4 miles) east of Grouard. It covers an area of about 2,600 hectares (6,400 acres) stretching north from the lakeshore a maximum distance of 4 km (2.5 miles). The entire area is within the former lacustrine basin which slopes gently to the lake in the west but is steep and ridged by slumping in the east. The predominant surficial material consists of a lacustrine veneer, either fine or coarse textured, overlying slightly to moderately stony fine textured water sorted till. There is one very large and several smaller organic deposits as well as individual areas of glaciofluvial sand and gravel and recent alluvium. The climate is continental with warm summers and cold winters. The vegetation ranges from nearly pure stands of aspen to mixed stands of aspen and white spruce with lesser amounts of balsam poplar and white birch.

Thirteen Map Units were recognized in the area. The key profile types consist of Orthic and Gleyed Gray Luvisols, Orthic and peaty Orthic Gleysols, Orthic and Gleyed Regosols, Orthic and Eluviated Eutric Brunisols and Terric and Typic Mesisols. These are distributed over the landscape in relation to parent material, landform and drainage. Map Units consist of a single soil series or grouping of series, and their distribution is shown on the Soils Map.

Soil interpretations are made for each Map Unit for camp areas, picnic areas, intensive play areas, paths and trails, lawns and landscaping, permanent buildings, septic tank absortion fields, sanitary landfills – trench type, reservoir sites, road location and source of roadfill, source of topsoil and source of sand or gravel.

The majority of soils in the mapped area have moderate to severe limitations for recreational development. Map Unit 9 soils are generally the most suitable for recreational uses. The high clay content of their lower horizons may result in high shrink-swell and frost heave but these hazards are reduced by good drainage. Careful study of the Soils Map and Table 17 (interpretations table) will reveal areas suitable for particular uses.

A soil survey properly interpreted is a useful guide for general recreational planning and in site selection. However all soil differences which occur in the field cannot be shown on a soils map. Thus for design and construction of specific recreational facilities, an on-site investigation is often needed.

#### **METHODS**

#### Field Techniques

The areas surveyed were traversed by motor vehicle along all roads and negotiable trails, and on foot along cut lines and trails not suitable for vehicles. An outboard motor boat was utilized along lake shores and rivers in some areas of otherwise limited access, and foot traverses were made as necessary across areas lacking trails.

Soil pits were dug at frequent intervals to depths of 2 to 5 feet, to examine and describe soil horizons and to classify the soils. The usual procedure was to excavate the upper 2 feet of a soil pit with a shovel, and to examine the lower depths by sampling with a soil auger.

Soil areas were delineated on ozalid copies of photomosaics at a scale of 1:8000 (8 inches = 1 mile). Panchromatic black and white areal photographs of scales varying from 1:31,680 (2 inches = 1 mile) to 1:12,000 (5.25 inches = 1 mile) were also used with the aid of a pocket stereoscope, to facilitate the field mapping.

Representative surface and shallow subsurface soil samples were collected for chemical analyses, and subsurface samples were collected at depths of 3 to 6 feet for physical analyses.

## Chemical and Physical Analyses

Chemical analyses were carried out by the Alberta Soil and Feed Testing Laboratory (O.S. Longman Building, Edmonton). These involved the following determinations:

- 1) Available nutrients; Available nitrogen (N) and available potassium (K) (Jackson 1962), available phosphorus (P) (Dickman and Bray 1940), and available sulphur (S) (Carson et al. 1972).
- 2) Soil Reaction; pH was determined with a glass and calomel electrode, using a 2:1 water to soil ratio (Jackson 1962).
- 3) Electrical Conductivity was measured by a dip electrode procedure. The electrodes were placed in the supernatant liquid on the surface of a 2:1 water to soil mixture.

- Soluble Sulphates (SO<sub>4</sub>) were determined on soil samples having electrical conductivities of 1 or more. A saturated soil paste was prepared according to the procedure outlined in U.S.D.A. Handbook 60 (1954). A saturation extract was obtained by suction, and sulphates were precipitated with BaCl<sub>2</sub> crystals by the turbidimetric method and estimated by a visual inspection.
- 5) Exchangeable Sodium (Na) was determined by flame photometry (Jackson 1962).
- 6) Organic Matter was estimated by a visual inspection of the soil sample.
- 7) Free Lime was determined by a visual estimation of the degree of effervescence when a ten percent solution of dilute HCl was added to a soil sample.
- Available Aluminum (Al) and Manganese (Mn) were determined on soil samples having a pH of 5.5 or less. These nutrients were determined by atomic adsorption spectrophotometry (Hoyt and Nyborg 1971).

Physical analyses were carried out in the Alberta Institute of Pedology laboratories (ASTM 1970). These involved the following determinations: field moisture content, liquid limit, plastic limit, sieve analysis, and particle size analysis (hydrometer method). Values for optimum moisture content and maximum dry density were obtained from charts prepared by the Alberta Transportation Laboratory of Alberta Transportation (1955).

#### GENERAL DISCUSSION OF SOIL MAP

The soils were classified according to the System of Soil Classification for Canada (C.D.A. 1974). The areal extent of each different kind of soil is indicated on the soil map. An explanation of the map symbol follows:

The Map Units indicate single soil series, groupings of series, or soil associations. A soil series consists of soils that are essentially alike in all major profile characteristics except the texture of the surface (CDA 1972). Where a Map Unit consists of a grouping

of series, they occur together in a characteristic pattern within the landscape and it is not feasible to outline each separately because of the scale of the soil map. A soil association simply consists of a sequence of soils of about the same age, derived from similar parent materials, and occurring under similar climatic conditions, but having unlike characteristics because of variations in relief and in drainage (CDA 1972).

Where a Map Unit consists of a single series, other soil series may be found in close proximity. However, the dominant series constitutes roughly 70 to 90% of the Map Unit; the other series are present in such minor amounts that their presence is not considered significant enough to affect the use of a particular Map Unit for recreation.

Where a Map Unit consists of a grouping of series, the different series generally possess very similar properties. The approximate percentage of each series is indicated in the soil report. Minor insignificant inclusions of other series may be present but are not mentioned in the definition of the Map Unit.

Where a Map Unit consists of a soil association, the approximate percentages of only the dominant members (which may also be series) are indicated. Minor insignificant amounts of other members often occur, but are not mentioned. Soil interpretations are for the most dominant member of an association, since interpretations for the less dominant members may be very different.

Other miscellaneous symbols appearing on the soil map are defined or explained in the soil report.

The topographic classes and surface stoniness ratings are defined in Tables 1 and 2 respectively.

Table 1.	Topographic Classes and Symbols (CDA	1974)

	Simple topography Single slopes (regular surface)	Mi	plex topography ultiple slopes egular surface)	Slope . %
A B C D E	depressional to level very gently sloping gently sloping moderately sloping strongly sloping	a b c d e	nearly level gently undulating undulating gently rolling moderately rolling	0 to 0.5 0.5+ to 2 2+ to 5 5+ to 9 9+ to 15
F G H	steeply sloping very steeply sloping extremely sloping	f 9 h	strongly rolling hilly very hilly	15+ to 30 30+ to 60 over 60

Table 2.	Surface Stoniness Rat	ings <sup>1</sup> (Greenlee 1971)
Stony 0 -	(stone-free land)	too few stones to be of any hindrance to recreation
Stony 1 -	(slightly stony land)	some stones, only slight to no hindrance to recreation
Stony 2 -	(moderately stony land)	enough stones to cause some interference with recreation
Stony 3 -	(very stony land)	enough stones to constitute a serious handicap to recreation – some clearing is required
Stony 4 -	(exceedingly stony land)	enough stones to prevent recreational use unless considerable clearing is done
Stony 5 -	(excessively stony land)	too stony to permit any recreational use (boulder or stone pavement)

## GENERAL DISCUSSION OF LANDFORM MAP

The landform map is included simply to provide additional information about the mapped area. The landforms don't have any direct bearing on the soil interpretations which appear later in the report.

The symbols, which appear on the landform map, refer to local landforms. A local landform is considered to be comprised of a unique assemblage of slopes which are constantly repeated in nature, and which generally owe their unique form to the composition and mode of origin of a surficial deposit (Acton 1975). This repetitive landform pattern may be associated with different major geologic structures, the result being similar local landforms or repetitive landform patterns occurring in different regional landform units. An outwash fan of a valley glacier as contrasted to a similar local form associated with continental glaciation would serve as an example of one repetitive landform pattern in regionally different landform units.

Landforms, in this system, are considered to represent two basic attributes; materials and form. The material category recognizes four groups of materials; unconsolidated mineral, organic, consolidated mineral and ice. A number of classes of unconsolidated mineral and organic materials have been established but classes of consolidated materials (bedrock) and ice have not been recognized.

See also definitions in The System of Soil Classification for Canada (CDA 1974).

The landform classification system is outlined below. For a more complete description of the landform classification system, see "A Landform Mapping System for Canadian Soil Surveys" (Acton 1975); and the Canadian System of Soil Classification (CSSC 1976).

## Genetic Materials

Materials are classified according to their essential properties within a general framework of their mode of formation. Four groups (components) of materials have been recognized to facilitate further characterization of the texture and the surface expression of the materials. These groups and the classes established within these groups are presented below.

## Unconsolidated Group

The unconsolidated mineral component is comprised of clastic sediments that may or may not be stratified but whose particles are not cemented together. They are essentially of glacial or post glacial origin but also include poorly consolidated and weathered bedrock.

#### Classes:

A - Anthropogenic

C - Colluvial

E - Eolian

F - Fluvial

L - Lacustrine

M - Morainal

S - Saprolite

V - Volcanic

U - Unconsolidated, undifferentiated

#### **Definitions**

Anthropogenic: Man-made or man-modified materials; including those associated with mineral exploitation and waste disposal. They include materials constructed by man, or geological materials modified by man so that their physical properties (structure, cohesion, compaction) have been drastically altered. These materials will commonly possess a wide range of textures. The assumed process status is active. Examples: areas of landfill, spoil heaps and open-pit mines. On site symbols will be used for Anthropogenic sites where the zone of disturbance is too small to be mapped as an areal unit.

Colluvial: Massive to moderately well stratified, non-sorted to poorly sorted sediments with any range of particle sizes from clay to boulders and blocks that have reached their present position by direct, gravity-induced movements. They are restricted to products of mass-wasting whereby the debris is not carried within, on, or under another medium possessing contrasting properties. The assumed process status is active. Processes include slow displacements such as creep and solifluction and rapid movements such as earth flows, rockslides, avalanches, and falls. Where colluvial materials are derived from an unconsolidated deposit, but overlie a different unit or form a discrete surface expression, they will be mapped as colluvial. But colluvial material derived from unconsolidated Quaternary sediments, which overlies and resembles its parent unit, will be mapped as the parent unit. Colluvial materials exclude those materials deposited at the base of steep slopes by unconsolidated surface run-off or sheet erosion.

Eolian: Sediment generally consisting of medium to fine sand and coarse silt particle sizes that is well-sorted, poorly compacted, and may show internal structures such as cross bedding or ripple laminae, or may be massive. Individual grains may be rounded

and show signs of frosting. These materials have been transported and deposited by wind action. The assumed process status is inactive. Examples: dunes, veneers and blankets of sand and coarse silt, and loess but excludes volcanic tuffs.

Fluvial: Sediment generally consisting of gravel and sand with a minor fraction of silt and rarely clay. The gravels are typically rounded and contain interstitial sand. Fluvial sediments are commonly moderately to well-sorted and display stratification, although massive, non-sorted fluvial gravels do occur. These materials have been transported and deposited by streams and rivers. The assumed process status is inactive. Examples: channel deposits, overbank deposits, terraces, alluvial fans and deltas.

Lacustrine: Sediment generally consisting of either stratified fine sand, silt and clay deposited on the lake bed or moderately well-sorted and stratified sand and coarser materials that are beach and other near-shore sediments transported and deposited by wave action. These are materials that have either settled from suspension in bodies of standing fresh water or that have accumulated at their margins through wave action. The assumed process status is inactive. Examples: lake sediments and beaches.

Morainal: Sediment generally consisting of well-compacted material that is non-stratified and contains a heterogeneou: mixture of particle sizes, often in a mixture of sand, silt and clay that have been transported beneath, beside, on, within and in front of a glacier and not modified by any intermediate agent. Examples: basal till (ground moraine), lateral and terminal moraines, rubbly moraines of cirque glaciers, hummocky ice-disintegration moraines, and pre-existing, unconsolidated sediments re-worked by a glacier so that their original character is largely or completely destroyed.

Saprolite: Rock containing a high proportion of residual silts and clays formed by alteration, chiefly by chemical weathering. The rock remains in a coherent state, interstitial grain relationships are undisturbed, and no downhill movement due to gravity has occurred. Assumed process status is active. Examples: rotten rock containing corestones.

Volcanic: Unconsolidated pyroclastic sediments of volcanic origin. Assumed process status is inactive. Examples: volcanic dust, ash, cinders, and pumice.

Unconsolidated: A layered sequence of more than three types of genetic material outcropping on a steep erosional escarpment. This complex class is to be used where units relating to individual genetic-materials cannot be delimited separately at the scale of mapping. It may include colluvium derived from the various genetic materials and resting upon the scarp slope.

## Organic Component

The unconsolidated organic component consists of peat deposits containing >30% organic matter, by weight, that may be as thin as 10 cm if they overlie bedrock but are otherwise greater than 40 cm and generally greater than 60 cm thick.

#### Classes:

B - Bog (Sphagnum peat)

N - Fen (Fen or sedge peat)

O - Organic, undifferentiated

Bog: Sphagnum or forest peat materials formed under an ombrotrophic environment due to the slightly elevated nature of the bog tending to be disassociated from nutrient-rich groundwater of surrounding mineral soils. Near the surface it is usually undecomposed (fibric) yellowish to pale brown color, loose and spongy in consistence with entire Sphagnum plants being readily identified. At depths it becomes darker in color, compacted, and somewhat layered. These materials are extremely acid (pH <4.5), of low bulk density (<0.1 g/cc) and very high fibre content (>85% unrubbed and 50% rubbed). These materials are associated with slopes or depressions with a water table at or near the surface in the spring, and slightly below during the remainder of the year. Bogs are usually covered with Sphagnum although sedges may also grow on them, they may be treed or treeless, and they are frequently characterized by a layer of ericaceous shrubs.

Fen: Sedge peat materials derived primarily from sedges with inclusions of partially decayed stems of shrubs formed in an eutrophic environment due to the close association of the material with mineral-rich waters. It is usually moderately well to well decomposed, dark brown in color with fine to medium sized fibers but may be well decomposed, black with fine fibers; decomposition often becoming greater at lower depths. Fen materials are medium acid to neutral (pH 5.5 - 7.5), relatively low in fiber (20 - 80% unrubbed and 2 - 25% rubbed) and relatively dense (0.1 - 0.2 g/cc). These materials are associated with relatively open peatlands with a mineral-rich water table that persists seasonally at or very near the surface. They are covered with a dominant component of sedges, although grasses and reeds may be associated in local pools. Sphagnum is usually subordinate or absent, with the more exacting mosses being common. Often there is much low to medium height shrub cover and sometimes a sparse layer of trees.

## Consolidated Component

The consolidated component (bedrock) is comprised of clastic materials that are tightly packed or indurated. They include igneous, metamorphic, sedimentary and consolidated volcanic rocks (bedrock).

#### Classes:

R - Bedrock, undifferentiated

## Ice Component

The ice component includes areas of snow and ice where evidence of active glacier movement is present within the boundary of the defined unit area. This movement will be indicated by features such as crevasses, supraglacial moraines, icefalls, and ogives. The assumed process status is active. Examples: cirque glaciers, mountain icefields, valley and piedmont glaciers.

#### Classes:

I - Ice, undifferentiated

## Qualifying Descriptors

A number of descriptors have been introduced to qualify the Genetic Materials terms. The descriptors qualify:

- 1. The clastic genetic material terms, and are used to supply additional information about their mode of formation or depositional environment.
- 2. The status of the Genetic processes. Included in the definitions of the Genetic Materials categories are statements concerning the commonly assumed status of their processes. Where the process status is contrary to the common assumption, it will be indicated.

#### Classes:

Clastic: G - Glacial, E - Channelled

Process: A - Active, I - Inactive

### Definition

Glacial: Used to qualify non-glacial genetic materials where there is direct evidence that glacier ice exerted a strong (but secondary or direct) control upon the mode of origin of the materials. The use of this qualifying descriptor implies that glacier ice was close to the site of the deposition of a material.

Glaciofluvial: To be used only where fluvial materials show clear evidence of having been deposited either directly in front of, or in contact with, glacier ice. At least one of the following characteristics must be present:

- Kettles, or otherwise irregular (possibly hummocky or ridged) surface that resulted from the melting of buried or partially buried ice. e.g. pitted outwash, knob and kettle topography.
- 2. Slump structures and/or their equivalent topographic expression, indicating partial collapse of a depositional landform due to melting of supporting ice. e.g. kame terrace, delta kame.
- Ice-contact and moulded forms such as gravelly or sandy crevasse fillings and eskers.
- 4. Non-sorted and non-bedded gravel of an extreme range of particle sizes, such as results from very rapid aggradation at an ice front. e.g. ice-contact gravels.

#### 5. Flowtills.

Glaciolacustrine: To be used where there is evidence that the lacustrine materials were deposited in contact with glacial ice. One of the following characteristics must be present:

- 1. Kettles or an otherwise irregular surface that is not simply the result of normal settling and compaction in silt, nor the result of piping.
- 2. Slump structures resulting from loss of support due to melting of retaining ice.
- 3. Presence of numerous ice-rafted stones in the lacustrine silts.

Channelled: To be used to indicate the presence of glacial melt-water channels in a unit where they are too small and/or too numerous to show individually by an on-site symbol.

Active: To be used to indicate any evidence of the recurrent nature of a modifying process or of the contemporary nature of the process forming a genetic material.

Inactive: To be used to indicate no evidence that the modifying process is recurrent, and also that the processes of formation of the genetic materials have ceased.

## Surface Expression

The surface expression of genetic materials is their form (assemblage of slopes) and pattern of forms. Form, as applied to unconsolidated deposits refers specifically to the product of the initial mode of origin of the materials, and, as applied to consolidated materials, refers to the product of their modification by geological processes. Surface expression also expresses the manner in which unconsolidated genetic materials relate to the underlying unit.

## Classes for Unconsolidated and Consolidated Components

a - Apron m - Rolling
b - Blanket r - Ridged
f - Fan s - Steep
h - Hummocky t - Terraced
i - Inclined u - Undulating
I - Level v - Veneer

#### **Definitions**

Apron: A relatively gentle slope at the foot of a steeper slope, and formed by materials from the steeper, upper slope. Examples: two or more coalescing fans: a simple talus slope.

Blanket: A mantle of unconsolidated materials thick enough to mask minor irregularities in the underlying unit but which still conforms to the general underlying topography.

Examples: lacustrine blanket overlying hummocky moraine.

Fan: A fan-shaped form that can be likened to the segment of a cone, and possessing a perceptible gradient from the apex to the toe. Examples: alluvial fans, talus cones; some deltas.

Hummocky: A very complex sequence of slopes extending from somewhat rounded depressions or kettles of various size to irregular to conical knolls or knobs. There is a general lack of concordance between knolls or depressions. Slopes are generally between 5° and 35°. Examples: hummocky moraine, hummocky glaciofluvial.

Inclined: A sloping, unidirectional surface with a generally constant slope not broken by marked irregularities. Slopes are between 1° and 35°. The form of inclined slopes is not related to the initial mode of origin of the underlying material. Examples: terrace scarps, river banks.

Level: A flat or very gently sloping, unidirectional surface with a generally constant slope not broken by marked elevations and depressions. Slopes are generally less than 1°. Examples: floodplain, lake plain, some deltas.

Rolling: A very regular sequence of moderate slopes extending from rounded, sometimes confined concave depressions to broad, rounded convexities producing a wave-like pattern of moderate relief. Slope length is often one mile or greater and gradients greater than 5%. Examples: bedrock controlled ground moraine, some drumlins.

Ridged: A long, narrow elevation of the surface, usually sharp crested with steep sides. The ridges may be parallel, sub-parallel or intersecting. Examples: Eskers, crevasse fillings, washboard moraines, some drumlins.

Steep: Erosional slopes, greater than 35°, on both consolidated and unconsolidated materials. The form of a steep erosional slope on unconsolidated materials is not related to the initial mode of origin of the underlying material. Examples: escarpments, river banks and lakeshore bluffs.

Terraced: Scarp face and the horizontal or gently inclined surface (tread) above it. Examples: Alluvial terrace.

Undulating: A very regular sequence of gentle slopes that extend from rounded, sometimes confined concavities to broad rounded convexities producing a wave-like pattern of low local relief. Slope length is generally less than 0.5 miles and dominant gradient of slopes from 2 to 5%. Examples: Some drumlins, some ground moraine, lacustrine veneers and blanket over morainal deposits.

Veneer: Unconsolidated materials too thin to mask the minor irregularities of the underlying unit surface. A veneer will range between 10 cm and 1 m in thickness and will possess no form typical of the materials genesis. Examples: Shallow lacustrine deposits overlying glacial till, loess cap, etc.

## Classes for Organic Component

b - Blanket h - Horizontal

o - Bowl p - Plateau

d - Domed r - Ribbed

f - Floating s - Sloping

### Definitions:

Blanket: A mantle of organic materials thick enough to mask minor irregularities in the underlying unit, but which still conforms to the general underlying topography. Examples: blanket bog.

Bowl: A bog or fen occupying concave shaped depressions. Examples: bowl bog.

<u>Domed</u>: A bog or fen with an elevated, convex, central area much higher than the margin. Domes may be abrupt (with or without a frozen core) or gently sloping or with a stepped surface. Examples: palsa bog, peat mound, palsa fen.

Floating: A level or flat organic surface associated with very high water tables but without surface water. Example: floating fen.

Horizontal: A flat, unidirectional peat surface not broken by marked elevations and depressions. Examples: flat bog, horizontal fen.

<u>Plateau</u>: A bog with an elevated, flat, central area only slightly higher than the margin. Examples: peat plateau, bog plateau, polygonal peat plateau.

Ribbed: A pattern of parallel or reticulate low ridges associated with fens. Examples: string fen, net fen, water track fen.

Sloping: A unidirectional peat surface with a generally constant slope not broken by marked irregularities. Examples: sloping fen.

#### MAPPING CONVENTIONS

The following examples illustrate the mapping conventions that are being used:

1) Mh - indicates an area of hummocky morainal materials.

- 2)  $F_{u}^{G}$  indicates an area of undulating glaciofluvial materials.
- 3) L<sup>G</sup><sub>V</sub> indicates an area of glaciolacustrine veneer overlying undulating morainal materials.

## SOIL CHARACTERISTICS AND INTERPRETATIONS FOR RECREATIONAL USE

Soil surveys provide for classifying, defining and delineating each kind of soil and making predictions of soil behaviour under specific management (Montgomery and Edminster 1966). The soils within an area are mapped and classified without regard for existing or expected land ownership boundaries, or types of uses. Each delineated soil is defined so that the information is available for planning different kinds of land use.

Each kind of soil has its own peculiar set of characteristics and qualities which are described in terms that can be observed (Montgomery and Edminster 1966). These include soil texture; colour; structure; consistence; depth (to rock, hardpan, water table, etc.); kind and amount of coarse fragments; kind, thickness and sequence of soil layers; organic matter content; reaction; and slope. When accurately defined a specific soil can be distinguished from all other kinds of soil.

Most soils can be used for recreational activities of some kind. Some have no limitations for specific kinds of recreational uses; others have moderate to severe limitations for certain uses. Many soil properties affect the use limitations of soils for recreation, and the effects of a given soil property often vary with different uses (Brocke 1970).

The soil properties affecting most recreational uses include susceptibility to flooding, wetness, slope, and surface stoniness (Brocke 1970). Other soil properties also having an effect include: depth to sand and gravel, an impeding horizon and surface soil texture, as they affect permeability and erodibility; texture and plasticity, as they affect shrink-swell potential, and susceptibility to frost heaving; soluble sulphate content, as it affects concrete corrosion hazard; and salinity of the topsoil.

Soils that are subject to flooding during the season of use are considered to have severe limitations for recreation facilities such as camping areas, building sites, and roads. Such areas require permanent design considerations. These soils should not be developed for campsites or building sites unless they are protected by dikes, levees or other flood prevention structures, which may be uneconomical. These soils may be better suited for hiking or nature study areas, or for greenbelt open space, if the flooding is not too frequent. Montgomery and Edminster (1966) suggest one or two floodings during the season of use constitutes only a moderate limitation for picnic areas, playground areas and hiking trails. These are the less permanent facilities that can be moved with relative ease. Thus, the soils can be managed to a high level without maintenance costs rising beyond the financial capacity of the administration.

Soils that are wet all year, even if not flooded, have severe limitations for campsites, roads, hiking trails, playgrounds and picnic areas. The economic feasibility of installing subsurface drainage in these soils is questionable. Soils that are wet only part of the year or those with a water table that fluctuates without actually reaching the surface are not easily detected. These soils are considered to have moderate to severe limitations for most recreational uses, and if possible should be avoided for the more permanent facilities such as camping areas and building sites. With careful

planning, design, and management, however, these soils can be used for most recreational facilities. Soils that dry out slowly after rains also present problems where intensive use is contemplated. The soils that are dry during the season of use and have a water table greater than 3 feet from the surface are considered to have slight to no limitations for most recreational uses.

Droughty or very rapidly drained soils also have limitations for many recreational uses. On such sites grass cover needed for playing fields is difficult to establish and maintain. Access roads may be excessively dusty. Vehicles are easily mired down in sandy soils and soil blowing is common. Knowledge of these soil problems enables planners to use corrective conservation practices, such as irrigation, or to choose alternative locations.

The ability of a soil to support a load is important in many kinds of recreational activities. Some soils when wet fail to support structures such as access roads, trails and buildings.

Slope affects the use of soils for recreation. Generally, slopes of less than 2% offer no limitations for use as playgrounds, campsites, sites for recreation buildings, roads and trails. Slopes greater than 9% constitute a severe limitation for playground areas, since levelling costs would become prohibitive. Slopes of more than 15% constitute a severe limitation for camping areas, picnic areas and some building sites for the same reason. The smaller areas required for these facilities as compared to playground areas, account for the greater tolerance. On the other hand, steeply sloping soils are essential for ski runs and are desirable for hiking areas and scenic values. Hiking trails are not limited unless slopes are greater than 30%. Of course, steep, gently sloping and moderately sloping soils can be levelled for campsites, playgrounds and building costs, where the cost is justified. Where this is done it is especially urgent that effective soil conservation practices be applied and maintained, based on the specific conditions at hand.

Permeability is an important property affecting the recreational use of soils.

Since no permeability measurements were made, it has been estimated from a consideration

of texture, structure and depth to an impeding horizon in the profile (O'Neal, 1952). Soils with very rapid to moderately rapid permeability have no limitations, and soils with slow and very slow permeability have severe limitations. The same classes apply to suitability for road subgrade material but are reversed when considering suitability for reservoir sites. Soils are rated for this purpose on their capacity to hold water without allowing seepage. It should be noted that the degree of limitation due to permeability will vary with climate. In high rainfall areas permeability is much more important than in low rainfall areas.

Surface stoniness limits the use of some soils for recreational facilities. Generally the non-stony (class 0) to slightly stony (class 1) land offers no limitation for recreational facilities. Very stony (class 3) to excessively stony (class 5) land offers severe limitations for camping areas, playground areas and building sites. The expense of removing the stone hazard is considered prohibitive. The very stony (class 3) land is considered to constitute only a moderate limitation for picnic areas and hiking trails because of the lesser areal intensity of use associated with these facilities. In some instances, it is feasible to remove the stones, thus eliminating the hazard. Rounded gravels and stones present hazards on steeply sloping soils used for foot trails.

Surface texture is an important soil property to consider. High clay or sand content in the surface horizon constitutes a severe limitation for playgrounds, campsites or other uses that involve heavy foot traffic by people or horses. Soils high in clay become sticky and slippery when wet and dry out slowly after rains. On the other hand, loose sandy soils are undesirable as they are unstable when dry, making it difficult to establish sod grasses capable of withstanding concentrated foot traffic. Generally, sandy loam and loam surface soil textures are the most desirable for recreational uses involving heavy use by people.

Soil depth affects many uses. Soils underlain by bedrock or sand and gravel at shallow depths cannot be levelled for playgrounds except at high cost. Roads, trails, basements and reservoirs are very difficult to construct on soils with shallow bedrock, and soils with shallow sand and gravel are undesirable sites for reservoirs.

It is difficult to establish vegetation on shallow soils overlying impervious soil layers, rock or sand and gravel, thus making them poor locations for playing fields and other intensive use areas.

Sewage disposal is also an important consideration in designing recreation areas. Some soils absorb septic effluent rapidly and other soils absorb it very slowly. Soils that are slowly or rapidly permeable, poorly drained, subject to flooding, shallow to rock, or steeply sloping all have severe limitations for septic tank filter fields. These include soils of high clay content, sandy soils and Gleysolic soils. The most desirable soils for sewage disposal have a moderate permeability, are well drained and are situated in nearly level areas. The most desirable soils for sewage disposal are also the most desirable soils for sanitary land fills. In some cases where soils cannot handle the volume of waste involved, sewage lagoons can be used. These also are feasible only in soils that meet the special requirements for sewage lagoons.

Shrink-swell potential is inferred from Atterberg limits. Soils with low to medium shrink-swell potential are considered to have no to slight limitations for recreational facilities. Soils with a very high shrink-swell potential are considered to constitute severe limitations for building sites and road subgrade materials, as these soils tend to be unstable with changing moisture conditions. Soils with a high shrink-swell potential offer moderate limitations for use and thus should be avoided if possible.

The suitability of the underlying soil material for road subgrade depends upon the additional property of susceptibility to frost action. Generally soils high in silt content are highly susceptible to frost action. Other factors, such as the availability of water, also affect this parameter. The availability of water is dependent upon climatic conditions and depth to water table. Thus, soils high in silt content may not necessarily undergo appreciable frost heaving unless they are imperfectly or poorly drained, or subject to high rainfall shortly before freezing. Frost heaving is not generally considered to be a serious problem for roads in Alberta except in poorly drained locations where the water table is near the soil surface. A frost design soil classification is shown in Table 3.

1. Personal Communication, Mr. H. H. Rix, Highways Division, Alberta Research Council.

Table 3.		Frost Desig	Frost Design Soil Classification		
Frost Group	Ki	nd of Soil	% Finer than 0.02 mm by weight	Typical Soil Types Under Unified Soil Classification System	
FI	Gr	avelly soils	3 to 10	GW, GP, GW-GM, GP-GM	
F0	(a)	Gravelly soils	10 to 20	GM, GW-GM, GP-GM	
F2	(b)	Sands	3 to 15	SW, SP, SM, SW-SM, SP-SM	
	(a)	Gravelly soils	over 20	GM, GC	
F3	(b)	Sands, except very fine silty sands	over 15	SM, SC	
	(c)	Clays, PI > 12	-	CL, CH	
	(a)	All silts	N.	ML, MH	
	(b)	Very fine silty sands	over 15	SM ·	
F4	(c)	Clays, PI < 12		CL, CL-ML	
	(d)	Varved clays and other fine-grained, banded sediments	-	CL and ML; CL, ML, and SM; CL, CH, and ML; CL, CH, ML, and SM	

From United States Army Corps of Engineers 1962.

The soluble sulphate content of the underlying soil material is an important factor for buildings with concrete foundations, as well as for underground conduits. The U.S. Bureau of Reclamation (1966) has established classes for sulphate attack on concrete. Soils with 0 to 0.1% soluble sulphate content are considered to have no limitations for standard concrete foundations, and soils with 0.1 to 0.2% are considered to have slight limitations. Soils with 0.2 to 0.5% soluble sulphate content are considered to have moderate limitations, and foundations may require sulphate resistant concrete. Soils with greater than 0.5% soluble sulphate are considered to have severe limitations and should be avoided.

Salinity and depth of topsoil affect soil suitabilities for lawns and landscaping, and sources of topsoil. An electrical conductivity of less than 1 (mmhos/cm) and a depth of topsoil of more than 6 inches offer no limitations. An electrical conductivity of more than 3 (mmhos/cm) and a depth of topsoil of less than 3 inches render severe limitations.

Productive capacity of soils for vegetation of different kinds is closely related to the feasibility of many recreational enterprises. The ability of soils to grow sods than can take concentrated human traffic has already been noted as a factor in such areas as playgrounds and campsites. The development of such vegetative conservation practices as shade tree plantings, living fences, plant screens and barriers to trespass is guided by soil conditions. The capacity of an area to produce economically harvestable crops of game is dependent in part upon the productive ability of its soils.

Thus we find that basic soil qualities and characteristics are closely associated with the various types of outdoor recreational activities. By knowing the characteristics and qualities of the different kinds of soils and their behaviours, and with the aid of a soil map, soil scientists and other specialists can develop soil interpretations for recreational uses. Interpretations for recreation can best be made locally by those familiar with the soils and conditions in the area.

## EXPLANATION OF SOIL INTERPRETATIONS

Soil limitation or suitability ratings are for evaluating each soil for a particular use (Olsen et al. 1971). Interpretations are based on evaluation of the soil to a depth of about 40 inches; however, some interpretations can be made below the 5 foot depth. These interpretations are made largely from soil descriptions and field observations made during the soil mapping program. Only surface and shallow subsurface soil samples were collected for routine chemical analyses, while only limited numbers of deeper subsurface samples were collected for engineering tests. Engineering properties of some map units sampled were extrapolated to other map units not sampled, where soils of the different map units were developed on like or very similar parent materials.

It is important that the proper perspective be placed on the use of soil interpretations in recreation planning (Montgomery and Edminster 1966). The interpretations are for soils in the natural state only and not for disturbed areas. Nor do they include other factors, such as location, aesthetic values, and nearness to population centres. A soil survey properly interpreted is a useful guide for general recreation planning and in site selection; however, all soil differences which occur in the field cannot be shown on the soils map. Thus for design and construction of specific recreational facilities, an "on-site" investigation is often needed.

The soils are grouped into three categories according to their limitations or suitabilities for specific uses. They are evaluated by considering the interaction of the various properties to give an overall degree of limitation or suitability to each soil area. The three categories of limitations are as follows:

- (1) S None to slight limitations Soils relatively free of limitations that affect the intended use, or the limitations are easy to overcome.
- (2) M Moderate limitations Soils having limitations that need to be recognized but can be overcome with correct planning, careful design and good management.
- (3) V Severe limitations Soils with limitations severe enough to make the proposed use questionable. It does not mean the soil cannot be used for

a specific use, but it does mean that careful planning and design, and very good management are needed. This often includes major soil reclamation work. In many cases the limitations will not be economically feasible to correct.

The soils are simply rated as good (G), fair (F), poor (P) or unsuitable (U) as sources of topsoil, or sand and gravel.

Interpretations are not included for wildlife use. However, it is recognized that all soils are suited for some form of wildlife and that this is an important use which is compatible with certain other uses.

# DEFINITION OF SELECTED USES AND GUIDES FOR DEVELOPING SOIL INTERPRETATIONS

The guides set forth in Tables 4 through 15 are suggested for use in developing soil interpretations for camp areas, picnic areas, intensive play areas, paths and trails, lawns and landscaping, permanent buildings, septic tank absorption fields, sanitary landfills – trench type, reservoir sites, road location and sources of roadfill, sources of topsoil, and sources of sand or gravel, respectively. The information in these tables presents the nature and degree of limitations or suitabilities for selected park uses.

These guides are useful in evaluating each kind of soil to be grouped into limitation and suitability classes for different recreational and other uses. It is recognized that interactions among some soil and other properties listed in these guides may be great enough to change the limitation or suitability rating by one class. If a moderate or severe limitation occurs in a given map unit, lesser limitations are usually not specified. Limitations due to slope are not subdivided once the limitation becomes severe for the specified use. It follows, however, that the steeper the slope, the more severe the limitation, and this fact should be considered in using the soil interpretation tables.

It is not anticipated that all of these interpretations will be needed in all areas; however, they should all be useful in some areas.

# Table 4. Guides for Developing Soil Interpretations for Camp Areas.

This guide applies to soils to be used intensively for tents and small camp trailers, and the accompanying activities of outdoor living (Olsen et al. 1971.)

It is assumed that little site preparation will be done other than shaping and levelling for tent areas and gravelling for parking areas. The soil should be suitable for heavy foot traffic by humans, and for limited vehicular traffic (see Table 13, ratings for road location and sources of roadfill). Soil suitability for growing and maintaining vegetation is not a part of this guide, but is an important item to consider in the final evaluation of a site (see Table 8, ratings for lawns and landscaping).

Table. 4.

Properties Affecting	Degree of Limitation			
Use	None to Slight Mcderate		Severe	
Flooding	None .	None during season of use.	Subject to flooding during season of use	
Watness (soil drainage)	Very rapidly, rapidly, well and moderately well drained soils with no ponding. Water table below 30 inches during season of use	Moderately well and imperfectly drained soils with no ponding. Water table below 20 inches during season of use	Imperfectly drained soils with occasional panding of short duration, poorly and very poorly drained soils. Water table above 20 inches during season of use	
Slope	0 to 9% (aA - dD)	9+ to 15% (eE)	Greater than 15% (fF - hH)	
Permeability <sup>2</sup>	Moderate to very rapid (more than 0.6 inches/ hour)	Moderately slow (0.2 to 0.6 inches/hour)	Slow and very slow (less than 0.2 inches/ hour)	
Surface stoniness <sup>3</sup>	0 to 1	2	3, 4 and 5	
Rockiness <sup>4</sup>	No rock exposures	Rock exposures greater than 30 feet (10 m) apart and cover less than 25% of the surface	Rock exposures less than 30 feet (10 m) apart and cover greater than 25% of the surface	
Surface soil texture <sup>5</sup>	SL, FSL,VFSL,L and LS with textural B horizon. Not subject to soil blowing	CL, SCL, SiCL, SiL, LS and sand other than loose sand	SC, SiC, C, loose sand and soils subject to severe blowing. Organic soils.	

- 1. See definitions of soil drainage classes in Glossary.
- 2. In low rainfall areas, soils may be rated one class better. See definitions of soil permeability classes in Glossary.
- 3. See definitions of surface stoniness in section entitled "General Discussion of Soil Map".
- 4. Very shallow soils are rated as having a severe limitation for rockiness. See definitions of rockiness in The System of Soil Classification for Canada (CDA 1974).
- 5. See definitions of soil textural classes in Glossary.

## Table 5. Guides for Developing Soil Interpretations for Picnic Areas.

This guide applies to soils considered for intensive use as park type picnic areas, and are subject to heavy foot traffic by humans (Olsen et al. 1971). It is assumed that most vehicular traffic will be confined to access roads and parking areas (see Table 13, ratings for road location and sources of roadfill). Soil suitability for growing and maintaining vegetation is not a part of this guide, but is an important item to consider in the evaluation of a site (see Table 8, ratings for lawns and landscaping).

Table 5.

Properties	Degree of Limitation			
Affecting Use	None to Slight	Moderate	Severe	
Flooding	None during season of use	May flood 1 or 2 times for short periods during season of use	Floods more than 2 times during season of use	
1 Weiness (soil drainage)	Very rapidly, rapidly, well and moderately well drained soils. Water table below 20 inches during season of use.	Moderately well drained soils subject to occasional ponding. Imperfectly drained soils not subject to ponding. Water table above 20 inches for short periods during season of use.	Poorly and very poorly drained soils. Imperfectly drained soils subject to ponding. Water table above 20 inches and often near the surface for a month or more during season of use	
Slope	0 to 9% (aA - dD)	9+ to 15% (eE)	Greater than 15% (fF – hH)	
Permeability <sup>2</sup>	Moderately slow to very rapid (more than 0.2 inches/hour)	Slow (0.06 to 0.2 inches/hour)	Very slow (less than 0.06 inches/hour)	
Surface stoniness <sup>3</sup>	0 to 2	3	4 and 5	
Rockiness 4	Rock exposures roughly 100 to 300 or more feet (30 – 100 m) apart and cover less than 10% of the surface	Rock exposures 30 to 1C0 feet (10 – 30 m) apart and cover about 10 to 25% of the surface	Rock exposures less than 30 faet (10 m) apart and cover greater than 25% of the surface	
Surface soil texture <sup>5</sup>	SL,FSL, VFSL, L and LS with textural B horizon. Not subject to soil blowing	CL,SCL, SiCL, SiL, LS and sand other than loose sand	SC, SiC, C, sand and soils subject to severe blowing. Organic soils	

- 1. See definitions of soil drainage classes in Glossary.
- 2. In low rainfall areas, soils may be rated one class better. See definitions of soil permeability classes in Glossary.
- 3. See definitions of surface stoniness in section entitled "General Discussion of Soil Map".
- 4. See definitions of rockiness in The System of Soil Classification for Canada (CDA 1974).
- 5. See definitions of soil textural classes in Glossary.

## Table 6. Guides for Developing Soil Interpretations for Playing Fields.

This guide applies to soils that are to be used intensively for organized games, such as fastball, baseball, football, volleyball, badminton, and others (Olsen et al. 1971). These areas are subject to heavy foot traffic by humans. A level surface, good drainage, and a surface soil texture and consistence that provide a firm surface which is not slippery and sticky when wet are generally required. The most desirable soils are free of rock outcrops and surface stones. Soil suitability for growing and maintaining vegetation is not a part of this guide, but is an important item to consider in the final evaluation of a site (see Table 8, ratings for lawns and landscaping).

Table 6.

Proportion	7				
Properties Affecting	Degree of Limitation				
Use	None to Slight	Moderate	. Severe		
Flooding	None during season of use	May flood once in 3 years during season of use	May flood more than once in 3 years during season of use		
Wetness (soil drainage)	Very rapidly, rapidly, well and moderately well drained soils with no ponding or seepage. Water table below 30 inches during season of use	Moderately well drained soils subject to occasional ponding or seepage of short duration. Imperfectly drained soils. Water table below 20 inches during season of use	Imperfectly drained soils subject to ponding, poorly and very poorly drained soils. Water table above 20 inches and too wet for use for 1 to 5 weeks during season of use		
Slope	0 to 2% (aA - bB)	2+ to 5% (cC)	Greater than 5% (dD - hH)		
Permeability <sup>2</sup>	Moderate to very rapid (more than 0.6 inches/hour)	Moderately slow (0.2 to 0.6 inches/hr)	Slow and very slow (less than 0.2 inches/ hour)		
Surface stoniness 3	0 to 1	2	3, 4 and 5		
Rockiness <sup>4</sup>	Rock exposures more than 300 feet (100 m) apart and cover less than 2% of the surface	Rock exposures 100 to 300 feet (30 – 100 m) apart and cover about 2 to 10% of the surface	Rock exposures less than 100 feet (30 m) apart and cover more than 10% of the surface		
Depth to bedrock	More than 40 inches	20 to 40 inches <sup>5</sup>	Less than 20 inches		
Depth to sand or gravel	More than 40 inches	20 to 40 inches <sup>5</sup>	Less than 20 inches		
Surface soil <sup>6</sup> texture	SL,FSL,VFSL,L and LS with textural B horizon. Not subject to soil blowing	CL,SCL,SiCL, SiL, LS and sand other than loose sand	SC, SiC, sand and LS subject to soil blowing. Organic soils		

- 1. See definitions of soil drainage classes in Glossary.
- 2. In arid regions, soils may be rated one class better. See definitions of soil permeability classes in Glossary.
- 3. See definitions of surface stoniness in section entitled "General Discussion of Soil Map".
- 4. See definitions of rockiness in The System of Soil Classification for Canada (CDA 1974).
- 5. These soils have severe limitations if slopes are greater than 2%.
- 6. See definitions of soil textural classes in Glossary.

### Table 7. Guides for Developing Soil Interpretations for Paths and Trails.

This guide applies to soils to be used for local and cross country fcotpaths, and trails for bridle paths. It is assumed that these areas will be used as they occur in nature and that little or no soil will be moved (excavated or filled) (Olsen et al. 1971). The steeper the slope upon which a trail is to be built, the more soil that will have to be moved to obtain a level tread, and the more miles of trail needed to cover a given horizontal distance (Coen and Holland 1976). Soil features, such as surface texture and structure, that affect trafficability, dust, and design and maintenance of trafficways, should be given special emphasis.

Table 7.

Properties	Degree of Limitation				
Affecting Use	None to Slight	Moderate	Severe		
Flooding	Not subject to flooding during season of use	May flood 1 or 2 times during season of use	Subject to flooding more than 2 times during season of use		
Wetness (soil drainage)	Very rapidly, rapidly, well and moderately well drained soils. Water table below 20 inches during season of use	Moderately well drained soils subject to occasional seepage or ponding, and imperfectly drained soils. Water table may be above 20 inches for short periods during season of use	Poorly and very poorly drained soils. Water table above 20 inches and often near the surface for a month or more during season of use		
Slope <sup>2</sup>	0 to 15% (aA - eE)	15+ to 30% (fF)	Greater ihan 30% (gG – hH)		
Surface staniness 3	0 to 2	3	4 and 5		
Rockiness	Rock exposures roughly 100 feet (30 m) apart and cover less than 10% of the surface	Rock exposures 30 to 100 feet (10 – 30 m) apart and cover 10 to 25% of the surface	Rock exposures less than 30 feet (10 m) apart and cover more than 25% of the surface		
Surface soil <sup>5</sup> texture	SL, FSL, VFSL and I.	SiL, SiCL, SCL,CL and LS	SC, SiC, C, sand and soils subject to severe blowing. All very gravelly, very cherty, very cobbly and very channery soils.  Organic soils		

- 1. See definitions of soil drainage classes in Glossary.
- 2. Slope in this context refers to the slope of the ground surface, not the slope of the tread of the trail. Soil erodibility is an important item to consider in rating this limitation. Some adjustments in slope range may be needed in different climatic zones.
- 3. See definitions of surface stoniness in section entitled "General Discussion of Soil Map".
- 4. See definitions of rockiness in The System of Soil Classification for Canada (CDA 1974).
- 5. In regions of arid or subhumid climate, some of the finer textured soils may be rated one class better. See definitions of soil textural classes in Glossary.

Table 8. Guides for Developing Soil Interpretations for Lawns and Landscaping.

This guide applies to soils to be used for lawn turf, shrubs and trees. It is assumed that the addition of topsoil will not be needed for good establishment, and that irrigation will be provided (Olsen et al. 1971).

Table 8.

Properties	De	egree of Limitation	_
Affecting Use	None to Slight	Moderate	Severe
Flooding	None during growing season	May flood 1 or 2 times for short periods during growing season	Subject to flooding more than 2 times during growing season
Wetness (soil drainage)			Poorly and very poorly drained soils. Imperfectly drained soils subject to ponding for periods of more than 4 weeks during growing season
Slope	0 to 9% (aA to dD) 9+ to 15% (eE)		Greater than 15% (fF to hH)
Surface staniness <sup>2</sup>	0 to 1	2	<b>3,</b> 4 and 5
Rockiness 3	Rock exposures more than 300 feet (100 m) apart and cover less than 2% of the surface	Rock exposures 100 to 300 feet (30 – 100 m) apart and cover about 2 to 10% of the surface	Rock exposures less than 100 feet (30 m) apart and cover more than 10% of the surface
Surface soil 4 texture	SL,FSL,VFSL,L,SiL and LS with textural B horizon. Not subject to soil blowing	CL,SCL, SiCL, LS and sand other than loose sand.	SC,SiC, C, sand and LS subject to soil blowing. Organic soils
Depth of Ah <sup>5</sup> horizon	Greater than 3 inches	0 to 3 inches	Lack of Ah horizon not a severe limitation by itself
Salinity of topsoil	E.C. 0 to 1	E.C. 1+ to 3	E.C. greater than 3
Depth to bedrock	More than 40 inches	20 to 40 inches	Less than 20 inches
Depth to sand or gravel	More than 40 inches	20 to 40 inches	Less than 20 inches
Permeability <sup>8</sup>	Moderately slow to moderately rapid (0.2 to 6.0 inches/hour)	Slow (0.05 to 0.2 inches/hour)	Rapid and very rapid (more than 6.0 inches/ hour, and very slow (lest than 0.06 inches/hour)

- 1. See definitions of soil drainage classes in Glossary.
- 2. See definitions of surface stoniness in section entitled "General Discussion of Soil Map".
- See definitions of rockiness in The System of Soil Classification for Canada (CDA 1974).
- 4. See definitions of soil textural classes in Glossary.
- 5. See definition of Ah horizon in Glossary.
- 6. E.C. means "electrical conductivity". See explanation in Appendix.
- 7. May be rated "none to slight" on 0 to 2% slopes.
- 8. In low rainfall areas, soils may be rated one class better. See definitions of soil permeability classes in Glossary.

#### Table 9. Guides for Developing Soil Interpretations for Permanent Buildings

This guide provides ratings for undisturbed soils that are evaluated for single story buildings and other structures with similar foundation requirements. The emphasis in rating soils for buildings is on foundations; but slope, susceptibility to flooding, and seasonal wetness, that have effects beyond those related exclusively to foundations, are also considered (U.S.D.A. 1971). The properties affecting foundation support are those that affect bearing capacity and settlement under load, and those that affect excavation and construction costs. The properties affecting bearing strength and settlement of the natural soil are density, wetness, plasticity, texture, and shrink-swell behaviour.

Shrink-swell potential and plasticity (Atterberg limits) are inferred from the Unified Soil Classification. Properties influencing the ease and amount of excavation are wetness, slope, depth to bedrock and sand or gravel, stoniness and rockiness. These properties also affect the ease of installing underground utilities. Excluded are limitations for septic tank absorption fields (see Table 10), and lawns and landscaping (see Table 8).

On-site investigations are needed for specific placement of buildings and utility lines, and for detailed design of foundations. All ratings are based on undisturbed soils to a depth of 4 to 6 feet.

Table 9.

Properties Affecting	D	egree of Limitation			
Use	None to Slight	Moderate	Severe		
Flooding	None	None	Subject to flooding		
1 Wetness (soil drainage)	WITH BASEMENTS: Very rapidly, rapidly and well drained soils. Water table below 60 inches	WITH BASEMENTS: Moderately well drained soils. Water table below 30 inches	WITH BASEMENTS: Imperfectly, poorly and very poorly drained soils. Water table above 30 inches one month or more during year		
	WITHOUT BASEMENTS: Very rapidly, rapidly, well and moderately well drained soils. Water table below 30 inches	WITHOUT BASEMENTS Imperfectly drained soils. Water table below 20 inches	WITHOUT BASEMENTS Poorly and very poorly drained soils. Water table above 20 inches one month or more during year.		
Slope  O to 9% (aA to dD)  Low - Unified Groups GW, GP, SW, SP, GM, GC, SM, SC, and CL with P.1.2 less than 15  Potential frost 4 action  Low (F1, F2)		9+ to 15% (eE)  Greater than 15% (fF to hH)			
		Moderate-Unified <sup>3</sup> Groups ML, and CL with P.I. > or equal to 15	High - Unified Groups CH, MH, OL,OH and Pt		
		Moderate (F3) <sup>3</sup>	High (F4)		
Depth to bedrock <sup>5</sup>	WITH BASEMENTS: More than 60 inches WITHOUT BASEMENTS: More than 40 inches	WITH BASEMENTS: 40 to 60 inches WITHOUT BASEMENTS: 20 to 40 inches	WITH BASEMENTS: Less than 40 inches WITHOUTBASEMENTS Less than 20 inches		
Potential sulphate attack on concrete 0 to 1000 p.p.m. <sup>6</sup>		1000 to 2000 p.p.m. <sup>3</sup>	Greater than 2000		
Surface stoniness	0 to 1	2	3, 4 and 5		
Rockiness 300 feet (100 m) apart and cover less than 2% of 1		Rock exposures 100 to 300 feet apart (30 – 100 m) and cover 2 to 10% of the surface	Rock exposures less than 100 feet (30 m) apart and cover more than 10% of the surface		

- 1. See definitions of soil drainage classes in Glossary.
- 2. P.I. means Plasticity Index. See definition in Appendix.
- 3. These factors are limitations only where basements and underground utilities are planned.
- 4. The "potential frost action" classes are outlined in Table 3.
- 5. If bedrock is soft enough so that it can be dug out with light power equipment, such as backhoes, the soils can be rated one class better.
- 6. p.p.m. means parts per million.
- 7. See definitions of surface stoniness in section entitled "General Discussion of Soil Map".
- 8. See definitions of rockiness in The System of Soil Classification for Canada (CDA 1974).

# Table 10. Guides for Developing Soil Interpretations for Septic Tank Absorption Fields.

The septic tank absorption field is a subsurface tile system laid out in such a way that effluent from the septic tank is distributed with reasonable uniformity into the natural soil (USDA 1971). When the effluent is percolated into the ground, the contained impurities are attacked by myriad biological organisms, naturally present in the soil (Plumbing Inspection Branch 1972).

Absorption fields are influenced by the ease of downward movement of effluent through the soil (Olsen et al. 1971). This guide provides ratings for undisturbed soils that are evaluated on their ability to absorb and filter the liquid or effluent passed through the tile field. Soils with slow permeability are rated severe. Clean sands and gravels with rapid permeability may constitute a hazard for groundwater contamination.

Table 10.

Properties	De	egree of Limitation		
Affecting Use	None to Slight	Moderate	Severe	
Flooding	Not subject to flooding	Not subject to flooding	Subject to flooding	
Wetness (soil drainage)	I drained soils not subject		Imperfectly drained soils subject to ponding. Poorly and very peorly drained soils. Very rapidly and rapidly drained soils if groundwater contamination hazard. Water table less than 48 inches	
- Slope	0 to 9% (aA - dD)	9+ to 15% (eE)	Greater than 15% (fF to hH)	
Formeability <sup>2</sup> Moderate to very rapid (more than 0.6 inches/hour)		Moderately slow (0.2 to 0.6 inches/ hour)	Slow and very slow (less than 0.2 inches/hour). Rapid and very rapid if groundwater contamination hazard (more than 6.0 inches/hour)	
Depth to bedrock <sup>3</sup>	More than 72 inches	48 to 72 inches	Less than 48 inches	
Depth to 3 sand or gravel  More than 72 inches		If less than 72 inches and a groundwater contamination hazard exists, limitation is severe	Less than 72 inches if groundwater contamination hazard exists	

- 1. Water table depth is based on the assumption that the tile depth is 2 feet in the soil. Also, see definitions of soil drainage classes in Glossary.
- 2. The limitation ratings should be related to the permeability of soil layers at and below the depth of the tile line. Also, see definitions of soil permeability classes in Glossary,
- 3. Based on the assumption that the tile depth is 2 feet in the soil.

Table 11. Guides for Developing Soil Interpretations for Sanitary Landfills - Trench Type.

The trench type sanitary landfill is a dug trench in which refuse is buried (USDA 1971). The refuse is covered with at least a 6 inch layer of compacted soil material daily, or more frequently if necessary. Soil material excavated when digging the trench is used for this purpose. A final cover of soil material at least 2 feet thick is placed on the landfill when the trench is full.

This guide provides ratings for evaluating undisturbed soils on their suitability as sites for good sanitary landfills that should be usable all year, and should operate without contaminating water supplies or causing a health hazard (Olsen et al. 1971). Because routine soil investigations are normally confined to depths of about 5 or 6 feet and many landfill operations use trenches as deep as 15 feet or more, there is need for a geological investigation of the area to determine the potential for pollution of groundwater, as well as to obtain the design of the sanitary landfill (USDA 1971). The presence of hard nonrippable bedrock, creviced bedrock, sandy or gravelly strata within or immediately underlying the proposed trench bottom is undesirable from the standpoint of excavation, and from the standpoint of the potential for pollution of groundwater.

Table 11.

Properties Affecting	D	egree of Limitation			
Use None to Slight		Moderate	Severe		
Flooding	Not subject to flooding	Not subject to floodin	Subject to flooding		
Wetness 2 (soil drainage)	Very rapidly, rapidly, well and moderately well drained soils. Water table more than 72 inches		Poorly and very poorly drained soils. Water table less than 72 inches		
Slope	0 to 15% (aA - eE)	15+ to 30% (fF)	Greater than 30% (gG – hH)		
Permeability <sup>3</sup>	Permeability  Moderate to very slow (less than 2.0 inches/hour)		Moderately rapid to very rapid (more than 2.0 inches/hour)		
Soil texture (dominant to a depth of 60 inches)	1 04/104/1104, 4/014,		SiC, C, S, gravel, peat, muck		
Depth to bedrock	More than 72 inches	More than 72 inches	Less than 72 inches		
Depth to sand or gravel  More than 72 inches		More than 72 inches	Less than 72 inches if groundwater confam- ination hazard		
Surface stoniness 5	0 to 1	2 .	3, 4 and 5		
Rock exposures more than 300 feet (100 m) apart and cover less than 2% of the area		Rock exposures more than 300 feet (100 m) apart and cover less than 2% of the area	Rock exposures less than 300 feet '100 m') apart and cover more than 2% of the area		

- Based on a soil depth (5 to 6 feet) commonly investigated in making soil surveys. If it is probable that the soil material to a depth of 10 to 15 feet will not alter a rating of slight or moderate, indicate that by an appropriate footnote such as "Probably slight to 12 feet", or "Probably moderate to 12 feet".
- 2. See definitions of soil drainage classes in Glossary.
- 3. Reflects ability of soil to retard movement of landfill leachate. May not be a factor in arid and semiarid areas. Also, see definitions of soil permeability classes in Glossary.
- 4. Reflects the ease of digging and moving soil material (workability) and trafficability in the immediate area of the trench that may not have surfaced roads. Also, see definitions of soil textural classes in Glossary.
- 5. See definitions of surface stoniness in section entitled "General Discussion of Soil Map".
- 6. See definitions of rockiness in The System of Soil Classification for Canada (CDA 1974).

## Table 12. Guides for Developing Soil Interpretations for Reservoir Sites.

This guide provides ratings for evaluating those features and qualities of undisturbed soils that affect their suitability for water impoundments (USDA 1971). Reservoirs must be capable of holding water while allowing only a minimum amount of seepage. Another factor to consider is the suitability of the soil material for dam construction, or earth fill (see Table 13, ratings for road location and sources of roadfill). The material should be free of coarse fragments (over 10 inches in diameter) that interfere with compaction.

Table 12.

Properties	Degree of Limitation						
Affecting Use	None to Slight	Moderately rapid to very rapid (more than 2.0 inches/nour)					
Permeability    Moderately slow to very slow (less than 0.6 inches /hour)    Slope   O to 2% (aA - bB)				Moderate (0.6 to 2.0 inches/hour)			
		2+ to 9% (cC - dD)	Greater than 9% (eE – hH)				
Unified soil group	Jnified soil group GC,SC,CL and CH		GP,GW,SW,SP,OL, OH and Pt				
Depth to bedrock	More than 72 inches	60 to 72 inches	Less than 60 inches  Less than 60 inches				
Depth to sand or gravel	More than 72 inches	60 to 72 inches					
Coarse fragments under 10 inches in diameter by percant volume.	Less than 20	20 to 50	More than 50				
Depth to water table	More than 72 inches	60 to 72 inches	Less than 60 inches one month or more during year				
Flooding	Not subject to flooding	Not subject to flooding	Subject to flooding				

- 1. See definitions of soil permeability classes in Glossary.
- 2. Depth to water table affects the ease of excavation.

Table 13. Guides for Developing Soil Interpretations for Road Location and Sources of Roadfill.

This guide applies to soils evaluated for construction and maintenance of local roads, streets and parking areas; as well as to the suitability of soils as a source of roadfill. These are improved roads and streets having some kind of all weather surfacing, commonly asphalt or gravel, and are expected to carry automobile traffice all year (USDA 1971). They consist of: (1) underlying local soil material (either cut or fill) called the subgrade; (2) the base material of gravel, crushed rock or soil cement – stabilized soil called the subbase; and (3) the actual road surface or pavement, either flexible or rigid. They also are graded to shed water, and have ordinary provisions for drainage. With the probable exception of the hardened surface layers, the roads and streets are built mainly from the soil at hand, and cuts and fills are limited, usually less than 6 feet. Excluded from consideration in this guide are highways designed for fast moving, heavy trucks.

Properties that affect design and construction of roads and streets are: (1) those that affect the load supporting capacity and stability of the subgrade, and (2) those that affect the workability and amount of cut and fill (USDA 1971). The AASHO and Unified Classifications, and the shrink-swell potential give an indication of the traffic supporting capacity. Wetness and flooding affect stability. Slope, depth to bedrock, stoniness, rockiness, and wetness affect the ease of excavation and the amount of cut and fill required to reach an even grade.

Table 13.

Properties	De	gree of Limitation		
Affecting Use	None to Slight	Moderate	. Severe	
Flooding	None	Once in 5 years	More than once in 5 years	
2 Wetness (soil drainage)	Very rapidly, rapidly, well and moderately well drained	Imperfectly drained	Poorly and very poorly drained	
Slope	0 to 9% (aA - dD)	9+ to 15% (e.F.)	Greater than 15% (fF – hH)	
Shrink – swell potential	Low - Unified groups GW, GP, SW, SP, GM GC, 3 SM, SC3	Moderate – Unified 4 groups CL with P.1. less than 15. ML	High - CL with P.I. 15 or more. CH, MH, OH, OL, Pt  More than 8  High (F4)  Less than 20 inches	
AASHO group index	0 to 4	5 to 8		
Potential frost action	Low (F1, F2)	Moderate (F3)		
Depth to hedrock	More than 40 inches	20 to 40 inches		
Surface stoniness 0 to 2		3	4 and 5 ·	
Rockiness 8	Rock exposures greater than 300 feet (100 m) apart and cover less than 2% of the surface		Rock exposures less than 100 feet (30 m) apart and cover more than 10% of the surface	

- 1. Applies to road location.
- 2. See definitions of soil drainage classes in Glossary.
- 3. Downgrade to moderate if content of fines is greater than about 30 percent.
- 4. P.I. means Plasticity Index. See definition in Appendix.
- 5. Frost heave is important where frost penetrates below the paved or hardened surface layer, and moisture transportable by capillary movement is sufficient to form ice lenses at the freezing point. The "potential frost action" classes are outlined in Table 3.
- 6. If bedrock is soft enough so that it can be dug with light power equipment and is rippable by machinery, reduce moderate and severe limitations by one class.
- 7. See definitions of surface stoniness in section entitled "General Discussion of Soil Map".
- 8. See definitions of rockiness in The System of Soil Classification for Canada (CDA 1974).

Table 14. Guides for Developing Suitability Ratings of Soils as Sources of Topsoil.

The purpose of this interpretation is to provide information for use by engineers, landscapers, nurserymen, planners and others who make decisions about selection, stockpiling, and the use of topsoil (USDA 1971).

Topsoil has several meanings, but in soil survey interpretations it refers essentially to the Ah horizon<sup>2</sup>. It means soil material to spread over barren surfaces, usually made barren by construction, so as to improve soil conditions for re-establishment and maintenance of adapted vegetation; and to improve soil conditions on lawns, gardens, and flower beds where vegetation may already exist. In some cases, soil material from the B and C horizons can be used for top dressing of disturbed lands.

Good topsoil has physical, chemical and biological characteristics favourable for the establishment and growth of adapted plants. It is friable, and easy to handle and spread. While a high content of plant nutrients in good balance is desirable, it is perhaps less important than responsiveness to fertilization; and to liming if pH adjustments are necessary.

A rating of "good" means that the soil provides a good source of topsoil for removal and transfer to another place, or it can be used in place. Also, after topsoil has been stripped off, the remaining soil should be reclaimable. These ratings are based on quality of the topsoil and ease of excavation. In addition to the ratings of "good, fair and poor", a rating of "unsuitable" is used.

Table 14.

Properties	Degree of Suitability				
Affecting Use	Good	Poor			
Moist consistence 3	Moist consistence Very friable, friable		Very firm		
4 Texture	SL, FSL, VFSL, L and SiL	CL, SCL and SiCL	LS,S,SC,SiC and C. Organic soils		
Thickness of Ah Horizon	More than 6 inches	3 to 6 inches	Less than 3 inches		
Coarse fragments (percent by volume)			More than 15		
Salinity of topsoil <sup>5</sup>	E.C. 0 to 1	E.C. 1+ to 3	E.C. more than 3		
Surface stoniness	0 to 1	2	3,4 and 5		
7 Slope 0 to 9%(aA-dD)		9+ to 15% (eE)	More than 15% (fF-hH)		
Wetness <sup>8</sup> (soil drainage)	Drainage class not deter than poorly dra		Peorly and very poorly drained		
Flooding None		May flood occasionally for short periods	frequent flooding, or constantly flooded		

- 1. See definition of topsoil in Glossary.
- 2. See definition of Ah horizon in Glossary.
- 3. See Glossary for descriptions of terms used to define soil consistence.
- 4. See definitions of soil textural classes in Glossary.
- 5. E.C. means the electrical conductivity of a saturation extract expressed in mmhos/cm. These limits are suggested by the Alberta Soil and Feed Testing Laboratory, as indicators of soluble salt concentrations that adversely affect lawn growth.
- 6. See definitions of surface stoniness in section entitled "General Discussion of Soil Map".
- 7. Influences ease of excavation, and susceptibility to soil erosion after topsoil has been removed.
- 8. Affects accessibility, and ease of excavation. See definitions of sail drainage classes in Glossary.

Table 15. Guides for Developing Suitability Ratings of Soils as Sources of Sand and Gravel.

The principal purpose of this interpretation is to provide guidance about where to look for sand and gravel.

Ratings are based on the probability that soils contain sizeable quantities of sand or gravel, excluding soft materials such as shale or siltstone. To qualify as either a good or fair probable source, the layer should be at least about 3 feet thick (USDA 1971). All of this however, need not be in the top 5 or 6 feet. If the approximate lowest 6 inches of this section is sand or gravel, and from observations made in deep cuts and other evidence, including geological, the sand or gravel reached at the bottom of this section is known to extend downward for several feet, the thickness requirement is satisfied.

Only the suitability as a <u>source</u> of sand or gravel is rated. No attempt is made to rate the quality of the sand or gravel for specific uses, such as road base, concrete, etc. The general relative quality for many uses in terms of grain size is indicated in Table 17. However, quality determinations should be made at the site of the source, since both grain sizes and shapes of sand and gravel determine the suitability for specific uses (Olsen et al. 1971).

A particular area outlined on the soil map can be identified as predominantly sand or predominantly gravel, by consulting the soil report for a description of the Map Unit under consideration. In addition to the ratings of "good, fair and poor", a rating of "unsuitable" is used.

Table 15.

Properties	Degree of Suitability			
Affecting Use	Good	Fair	Poor	
Unified soil group	SW,SP,GW,GP	SW-SM,SP-SM, GW-GM,GP-GM	SM, SW- SC, SP-SC, GM, GW-GC, GP-GC (all other groups unsuitable)	
Thickness of Less than 2 fee		2 to 5 feet	More than 5 feet	
Wetness (soil drainage)		determining if better rly drained	Poorly and very poorly drained	
Flooding None		May flood occasionally for short periods	Frequent flooding or constantly flooded .	

<sup>1.</sup> Affects accessibility, and ease of excavation. See definitions of soil drainage classes in Glossary, page

#### REFERENCES

- Acton, D. F. 1975. A Landform Mapping System for Canadian Soil Surveys. Saskatchewan Inst. of Pedology Publ. M 26. Saskatoon. 19 pp.
- American Society for Testing and Materials. 1970. Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Part II. Philadelphia. 982 pp. (ASTM 1970).
- Brocke, L. K. 1970. Soil Survey Interpretations for Recreation Site Planning in two Alberta Provincial Parks. Unpubl. M.Sc. Thesis, Univ. of Alberta, Edmonton.
- Canada Department of Agriculture. 1972. Glossary of Terms in Soil Science. Publication 1459. Canada Dept. of Agric., Ottawa, 66 pp.
- Canada Department of Agriculture. 1974. The System of Soil Classification for Canada. Queen's Printer, Ottawa. 255 pp. (CDA 1974).
- Canada Soil Survey Committee. 1976. The Canadian System of Soil Classification (Draft Copy). Agriculture Canada, Ottawa. 171 pp. (CSSC 1976).
- Carson, J. A., Crepin, J. M. and Nemunis-Siugzdinis, P. 1972. A Sulphate-Sulphur Method Used to Delineate the Sulphur Status of Soils. Can. J. of Soil Sci. 52:278-281.
- Coen, G. M. and Holland, W. D. 1976. Soils of Waterton Lakes National Park, Alberta. Alberta Inst. of Pedology S -73-33. Information Rep. NOR-X-65. Edmonton, Canada. 116 pp.
- Committee on Classification of Materials for Subgrades and Granular Type Roads. 1945. Classification of highway subgrade materials. Highway Research Board, Proc. 25th annual meeting, pp. 375–392.
- Dickman, S.R. and Bray, R. H. 1940. Colorimetric Determination of Phosphate. Ind. Eng. Chem. Anal. Ed. 12:665–668.
- Greenlee, G.M. 1971. Detailed Soil Survey and Interpretation for Recreational Use at Miquelon Lake, Alberta. Alberta Institute of Pedology, Number M-71-4, U of A, Edmonton. 8 pp.
- Hoyt, P. B. and Nyborg, M. 1971. Toxic Metals in Acid Soils: 1. Estimation of Plant-Available Aluminum. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 35: 236-240.

- Hoyt, P.B. and Nyborg, M. 1971. Toxic Metals in Acid Soils: II. Estimation of Plant-Available Manganese. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 35: 241–244.
- Jackson, M. L. 1962. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice-Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J. 498 pp.
- Means, R. E. and Parcher, J.V. 1964. Physical Properties of Soils. Charles E. Merrill, Columbus, Ohio. 464 pp.
- Montgomery, P. H. and Edminster, F.C. 1966. The Use of Soil Surveys in Planning and Recreation. In: Soil Surveys and Land Use Planning, Bartelli et al. (ed)
  Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. and Amer. Soc. Agron., Madison, Wisconsin. pp. 104–112.
- Olsen, J. A., Leeson, B. F., and Nielson, G.A. 1971. Soil Interpretations for Land Use Planning and Development in the Gallatin Canyon Area, Montana. Misc. Rep. No. 10, Montana Agric. Exp. Sta., Montana State U., Bozeman, Montana. 23 pp.
- O'Neal, A. M. 1952. A Key for Evaluating Soil Permeability by Means of Certain Field Clues. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 16: 312-315.
- Portland Cement Association. 1962. PCA Soil Primer. Portland Cement Ass'n., Chicago. 52 pp. (PCA 1962).
- Soil Science Society of America. 1970. Glossary of Soil Science Terms. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer., Madison, Wisconsin. 27 pp.
- Swenson, E. G. 1971. Concrete in Sulphate Environments. CBD 136. Can. Building Digest, NRC, Ottawa. 4 pp.
- United States Army Corps of Engineers. 1962. Pavement Design for Frost Conditions. Eng. Manual 1110–1–306, pp. 5–8.
- United States Army Corps of Engineers. 1961. Procedures for Foundation Design of Buildings and Other Structures (except hydraulic structures). Eng. Manual 1110-345-147. pp. 21-26.
- United States Army Corps of Engineers. 1961. Trafficability of Soils, Soil Classification. Tech. Memorandum No. 3-240, 16th supplement. Waterways Exp. Sta., Mississippi. 10 pp.
- United States Army Corps of Engineers. 1957. The Unified Soil Classification System. Tech. Memorandum No. 3-357. Appendix B. Waterways Exp. Sta., Mississippi. pp. B2-B6.

- United States Department of Agriculture. 1971. Guide for Interpreting Engineering Uses of Soils. Unedited Revised Draft for Interim Use. 78 pp. (USDA 1971).
- United States Salinity Laboratory Staff. 1954. Diagnosis and Improvement of Saline and Alkali Soils. Hdbk. 60, U.S. Dept. Agric., Washington. 160 pp.
- USDI Bureau of Reclamation. 1966. Concrete Manual. 7th ed. U.S. Gov't Printing Office, Washington. 642 pp.

#### **GLOSSARY**

Atterberg limits - Various moisture contents of a soil at which it changes from one major physical condition to another. The Atterberg limits which are most useful for engineering purposes are liquid limit and plastic limit.

The liquid limit is the moisture content at which a soil passes from a plastic to a liquid state.

The plastic limit is the moisture content at which a soil changes from a semi-solid to a plastic state.

Plasticity index (P.1.) is defined as the numerical difference between liquid limit and plastic limit.

bedrock - The solid rock underlying the regolith in depths ranging from zero (where exposed by erosion) to several hundred feet.

bulk density, soil - The mass of dry soil per unit bulk volume.

coarse fragments - Rock or mineral particles greater than 2.0 mm. in diameter.

consistence - (a) The resistance of a material to deformation or rupture. (b) The degree of cohesion or adhesion of the soil mass.

droughty soil - Sandy or very rapidly drained soil.

electrical conductivity, soil - Measurement on a saturated soil paste or a water extract of the soil, made to estimate the salt content of the soil.

engineering tests - Laboratory tests made to determine the physical properties of soils that affect their uses for various types of engineering construction.

erodibility - Susceptibility to erosion.

erosion - The wearing away of the land surface by running water, wind, ice or other geological agents, including such processes as gravitational creep.

fertile soil - A soil with an abundant supply of available elements necessary for plant growth.

fertilizer - Any organic or inorganic material of natural or synthetic origin that is added to a soil to supply certain elements essential to the growth of plants.

- field capacity (field moisture capacity) The percentage of water remaining in a soil 2 or 3 days after having been saturated and after free drainage has practically ceased.
- frost heave, in soil The raising of a surface caused by ice formation in the underlying soil.
- Gleysolic soil soil developed under wet conditions resulting in reduction of iron and other elements and in gray colors and mottles.
- grain size The effective diameter of a particle measured by sedimentation, sieving, or micrometric methods.
- groundwater That portion of the total precipitation which at any particular time is either passing through or standing in the soil and the underlying strata and is free to move under the influence of gravity.
- hardpan A hardened soil layer, in the lower A or in the B horizon, caused by cementation of soil particles with organic matter or with materials such as silica, sesquioxides, or calcium carbonate.
- impeding horizon A horizon which hinders the movement of water through soils under the influence of gravity.
- irrigation The artificial application of water to the soil for the benefit of growing crops.
- landform Any physical recognizable form or feature of the earth's surface, having a characteristic shape, and produced by natural causes.
- parent material The unconsolidated and more or less chemically weathered mineral or organic matter from which the solum of a soil has developed by pedogenic processes.
- pedogenic Pertaining to the origin, morphology, genesis, distribution, and classification of soils.
- permeability, soil The ease with which gases, liquids, or plant roots penetrate or pass through a bulk mass of soil or a layer of soil. The classes of soil permeability are rated as follows:

Permeability Class	Rate of Permeability
Very slow	Less than 0.06 inches/hour
Slow	0.06 to 0.2 inches/hour
Moderately slow	0.2 to 0.6 inches/hour
Moderate	0.6 to 2.0 inches/hour
Moderately rapid	2.0 to 6.0 inches/hour
Rapid	6.0 to 20.0 inches/hour
Very rapid	Over 20.0 inches/hour

- productive capacity, soil The capacity of a soil, in its normal environment, for producing a specified plant or sequence of plants under a specified system of management. The "specified" limitations are necessary since no soil can produce all crops with equal success nor can a single system of management produce the same effect on all soils.
- regolith The unconsolidated mantle of weathered rock and soil material overlying solid rock.
- seepage, soil (a) The escape of water downward and laterally through the soil.

  (b) The emergence of water from the soil along an extensive line of surface in contrast to a spring where the water emerges from a local spot.
- shrink-swell potential Tendency of soils to undergo volume changes with changes in water content.
- soil blowing Soil erosion by wind.
- soil conservation (a) Protection of the soil against physical loss by erosion or against chemical deterioration; that is, excessive loss of fertility by either natural or artificial means. (b) A combination of all management and land use methods which safeguard the soil against depletion or deterioration by natural or by man-induced factors.
- soil drainage classes The soil drainage classes are defined in terms of (a) actual moisture content in excess of field moisture capacity, and (b) the extent of the period during which such excess water is present in the plant-root zone. The soil drainage classes are defined as follows:
  - 1. Rapidly drained The soil moisture content seldom exceeds field capacity in any horizon except immediately after water additions.

- 2. Well drained The soil moisture content does not normally exceed field capacity in any horizon (except possibly the C) for a significant part of the year.
- 3. Moderately well drained The soil moisture in excess of field capacity remains for a small but significant period of the year.
- 4. Imperfectly drained The soil moisture in excess of field capacity remains in subsurface horizons for moderately long periods during the year.
- 5. Poorly drained The soil moisture in excess of field capacity remains in all horizons for a large part of the year.
- 6. Very poorly drained Free water remains at or within 12 inches of the surface most of the year.
- soil horizon A layer of soil or soil material approximately parallel to the land surface; it differs from adjacent genetically related layers in properties such as colour, structure, texture, consistence, and chemical, biological and mineralogical composition.
- soil organic matter The organic fraction of the soil; includes plant and animal residues at various stages of decomposition, cells and tissues of soil organisms, and substances synthesized by the soil population.
- soil reaction The degree of acidity or alkalinity of a soil, usually expressed as a pH value. Descriptive terms commonly associated with certain ranges in pH are: extremely acid, < 4.5; very strongly acid, 4.5 5.0; strongly acid, 5.1 5.5, moderately acid, 5.6 6.0; slightly acid, 6.1 6.5; neutral, 6.6 3; slightly alkaline, 7.4 7.8; moderately alkaline, 7.9 8.4; str dy alkaline, 8.5 9.0; and very strongly alkaline > 9.0.
- soil salinity The amount of soluble salts in a soil, expressed in terms of percentage, parts per million, or other convenient units.
- soil structure The combination or arrangement of primary soil particles into secondary particles, units, or peds. The secondary units are characterized and classified on the basis of size, shape, and degree of distinctness into classes, types, and grades.

- soil texture The relative proportions of the various soil separates (sand, silt and clay) in a soil as described by textural classes. The textural classes may be modified by adding suitable adjectives when coarse fragments are present in substantial amounts; for example, "stony silt loam", or "silt loam, stony phase". The sand, loamy sand, and sandy loam classes are further subdivided on the basis of the porportions of the various sand separates present (fine, medium, coarse). The various classes and subclasses and abbreviations are listed in order from coarse to fine as follows: coarse sand (CS), sand (S), fine sand (FS), very fine sand (VFS), loamy coarse sand (LCS), loamy sand (LS), loamy fine sand (LFS), loamy very fine sand (LVFS), coarse sandy loam (CSL), sandy loam (SL), fine sandy loam (FSL), very fine sandy loam (VFSL), loam (L), silt loam (SiL), silt (Si), sandy clay loam (SCL), clay loam (CL), silty clay loam (SiCL), sandy clay (SC), silty clay (SiC), clay (C), heavy clay (HC). The textural classes can also be grouped as follows:
  - (a) Coarse-textured group
    - 1. Very coarse textured: CS, S, FS, VFS, LCS, LS, LFS, LVFS.
    - 2. Moderately coarse textured: CSL, SL, FSL, VFSL.
  - (b) Medium-textured group
    - 1. Medium textured: L, SiL, Si.
    - 2. Moderately fine textured: SCL, CL, SiCL.
  - (c) Fine-textured group
    - 1. Fine textured: SC, SiC, C.
    - 2. Very fine textured: HC (more than 60% clay).
- soluble sulphate Water-soluble sulphate found in soil.
- solum The upper horizons of a soil in which the parent material has been modified and in which most plant roots are contained. It usually consists of A and B horizons.
- subsurface drainage Removal by artificial means of excess water below the soil surface.
- topsoil (i) The layer of soil moved in cultivation. (ii) The A-horizon. (iii) The Ah-horizon. (iv) Presumably fertile soil material used to topdress roadbanks, gardens, and lawns.
- trafficability The capacity of a soil to withstand traffic by people, horses or vehicles.
- watershed A drainage area containing a few thousand acres, from which water drains toward a single channel.
- water table The upper surface of groundwater or that level below which the soil is saturated with water.

## SOIL REPORT

## CONTENTS

		Page
Location o	and Size	61
Physiograp	phy and Surficial Deposits	61
Climate		62
Vegetation	า	63
Soils		64
Ma Ma Ma Ma Ma Ma Ma	up Unit 1 up Unit 2 up Unit 3 up Unit 4 up Unit 5 up Unit 6 up Unit 7 up Unit 8 up Unit 9 up Unit 10 up Unit 11 up Unit 1M up Unit M	67 68 69 70 72 73 74 76 76 78 80 81 82
Miscellane	ous Land Types	83
Soil Interpr	retations	83
References		88
Appendix		88
Che	emical Analyses of the Soils	88
Engi	ineering Properties of the Soils	94
Glossary		99
	LIST OF TABLES	į.
Table 16 Table 17 Table 18 Table 19	Key to the Soils Limitations & Suitabilities for Selected Uses Chemical Analyses of Selected Map Units Physical Analyses of Selected Map Units	65 86 89 95
SOILS MAP	OF HILLIARD'S BAY AREA (insert)	
ANDFORM	MAP OF HILLIARD'S BAY AREA (insert)	

## SIZE AND LOCATION

The mapped area is located along the northwestern shore of Lesser Slave Lake about 7 km (4 mi) east of Grouard and some 35 km (22 mi) northeast of High Prairie. It is about 2,600 hectares (6,400 acres) in size. Its legal location is as follows: in Township 75, Range 14, West of the Fifth, it includes all of sections 13, 23 and 24 and those portions of sections 11, 12 and 14 not occupied by Lesser Slave Lake; and in Township 75, Range 13, West of the Fifth, it includes all of section 19 and those portions of sections 7, 18, 17, 20, 21, 22 and 25 which lie north of the lakeshore.

## PHYSIOGRAPHY AND SURFICIAL DEPOSITS

The majority of the surveyed area consists of a relatively thin blanket of water sorted till overlying soft, poorly indurated shale. In the western half of the area the land rises gently from the lake, but slopes become steeper to the east where the underlying shales exert an increasing control on surface topography. The surveyed area ranges from 580 to 640 meters (1900 - 2100 ft) in elevation, with the highest point (640 meters) and maximum slope gradient (92 meters over 550 meters) occurring in the extreme eastern portion. Drainage within the western portion is primarily by surface runoff and subsurface infiltration either directly into Lesser Slave Lake or into a large bog in the centre of the area. One fairly large stream also flows into the bog from the north. This organic area is drained by a stream which initially flows eastward, then doubles back and flows to the southwest. It parallels the shoreline for nearly a mile, apparently confined behind recent beach deposits. In the eastern portion, several large streams, and many smaller ones, have incised prominent valleys into the underlying shale and flow nearly straight south into Lesser Slave Lake. Severe slumping has occurred in the most easterly portion of the area, disrupting the normal streamflow and resulting in linear drainage patterns between the parallel ridges.

The predominant surficial material within the surveyed area consists of a fine textured lacustrine veneer overlying a slightly to moderately stony, finetextured, water sorted till. Normally about 2 meters (6.5 feet) of this material rests on 1 to 2 meters (3 - 6.5 feet) of weathered broken shale, which in turn is underlain by stratified, more strongly indurated shale. As the till is derived mainly from the underlying shales, it has a very high content of clay and siltsized material. Also, there is considerable evidence to suggest that it has been water sorted and is overlain by fine textured lacustrine sediments so similar in appearance and texture as to make separation of the two materials virtually impossible. The widespread presence of lacustrine sand either as a veneer or in the form of linear raised beaches (strand lines) up to the 640 meter (21,00 feet) maximum elevation confirms that the entire area has been subject to lacustrine sorting and deposition. Gravelly layers were frequently encountered in the till between 40 and 60 cm (16 and 24 in) below the surface, suggesting that water sorting or lacustrine deposition occurred at least to that depth. The presence of iron stains, coal flocks and stones suggests that this material is till but its modification by lake waters should be noted. A sand spit extends out into the lake in the southwest portion of the area and has as its core an accumulation of coarse gravelly outwash material. Lacustrine sands have been deposited over the core and subsequently tossed up by wind and wave action to form this long thin projection. In the easternmost portion of the surveyed area, weathered and reworked residual material forms the main surficial deposit. It too has been sorted and redeposited under lacustrine conditions. In addition, extensive slumping has occurred, so that gravitational mixing, as well as water sorting, has been active. Due to the complicated and diverse factors influencing this area it has been delineated as undifferentiated veneer overlying ridged bedrock.

#### **CLIMATE**

The climate in the vicinity of Hilliard's Bay is characterized by moderately warm summer and relatively cold winter temperatures (Odynsky 1952). Weather

records kept at High Prairie about 35 km (22 miles) southwest of the area over the ten year period from 1966 - 1975 show the following values (Environment Canada 1966-75): A mean annual temperature of 0.2° C (32.4° F), mean annual precipitation of 46.7 cm (18.4 inches) with 64% falling as rain, and an average frostfree period of 88 days. January is the coldest month of the year with a mean temperature of  $-21.2^{\circ}$  C ( $-6.2^{\circ}$  F), while July is the warmest month with a mean temperature of 15.1° C (59.1° F). Data reported by Odynsky (1952) for High Prairie and Grouard over the periods 1931 - 1944 and 1925 - 1944 respectively reveal that the last ten years (1966-75) have been slightly cooler and moister than the periods he reported. Recent and short term climatic trends are therefore revealed by calculating means based on only the last 10 years. The Grouard station, which is closer to the mapped area, is no longer reporting but the close correspondence between the High Prairie and Grouard data reported by Odynsky indicate that the High Prairie values provide a reliable indication of the climate at Grouard. It should be noted that while the overall precipitation received by the area is low, 47% or nearly half the yearly total, occurs in the four month period between May 1st and September 1st which corresponds roughly to the tourist season.

#### VEGETATION

According to Rowe (1972) the surveyed area falls mainly within a small outlying portion of the aspen grove section of the boreal forest region. It is surrounded by and intergrades into the mixed wood section of the same region. The Parks Planning Branch of Alberta, Recreation, Parks and Wildlife currently conducts biological studies of Provincial Parks and proposed park areas. For this reason, information on the vegetation is not dealt with extensively herein; however a few of the more common plant species observed growing on different soils are listed in the Map Unit descriptions. The common and scientific names of these species follows: aspen (Populus tremuloides), white spruce (Picea glauca), balsam poplar (Populus balsamifera), white birch (Betula papyrifera), black spruce (Picea mariana),

larch (Larix laricina), swamp birch (Betula pumila var.glandulifera), alder (Alnus spp.), willow (Salix spp.), dogwood (Cornus stolonifera), rose (Rosa spp.), wild red raspberry (Rubus stigosus), lowbush cranberry (Viburnum edule), chokecherry (Prunus virginiana), saskatoon berry (Amelanchier alnifolia), beaked hazelnut (Corylus cornuta) pin cherry (Prunus pensylvanica), bearberry (Arctostaphylos uva-ursi), bog cranberry (Vaccinium vitis-idaea), blueberry (Vaccinium myrtilloides), bunchberry (Cornus canadensis), Labrador tea (Ledum groenlandicum), horsetail (Equisetum arvense), sphagnum moss (Sphagnum spp.) and feathermoss (Hypnum spp.).

#### SOILS

Thirteen Map Units were recognized in the area. Soils of the Brunisolic, Gleysolic and Organic orders in the Canadian System of Soil Classification (CDA 1974) were each dominant in two Map Units. Three were composed of soils belonging to the Luvisolic Order and four were predominantly Regosolic. Pertinent features of these Map Units are summarized in Table 16.

Only slight differences are observed among some Map Units, but these are generally important enough, with respect to some recreational and/or engineering use, to justify their separation. The wide variations in horizon thicknesses reported in the following Map Unit descriptions demonstrate the extreme variability commonly found in soils. Variations in thickness of as much as 10 to 40 percent from the norm can be found in comparative horizons of the same soil series found at different points in the landscape.

Common names are employed to list the dominant plant species. These are very general, and are not attempts at complete or exhaustive species lists.

TABLE 16. KEY TO THE SOILS

Map Unit	Classification	Parent Material	Surface Texture	Slope (class & gradient)	Surface Stoniness	Drainage	Comments & Limitations
1	Gleyed Gray Luvisol	fine textured lacustrine overlying fine textured washed till	L	ა (0.5+ to 2%)	1	imperfectly drained	wet when surveyed. high clay content. Moderate to severe limitations - seasonally high ground-water table, moderate to high shrink-swell potential, high clay content, susceptibility to frost heave.
	Gleyed Gray Luvisol 60% Gleyed Eutric Brunisol 30% Rego Gleysol 10%	very coarse textured lacustrine overlying fine textured washed till	S	b,c (0.5+ to 5%)	0	imperfectly to poorly drained	very wet, lateral flow in sond, severe limitations for all uses except buildings without basements – seasonally high groundwater table, sandy surface layer, moderate to high shrink-swell potential, susceptibility to frost heave.
3	Orthic Gleysol – peaty phase 60% Gleyed Gray Luvisol– peaty phase 40%	fine textured lacustrine overlying fine textured washed till	0	b (0.5+ to 2%)	0	poorly drained	very wet, severe limitations for all uses – seasonally high groundwater table, organic surface horizon, slow permeability, high shrink-swell potential, high clay content, susceptibility to frost heave.
4 /	Orthic Gleysol – peaty phase 70% Orthic Gleysol 30%	coarse textured lacustrine sand overlying fine textured washed till	O CS-LS	b (0.5+ to 2%)	0	poorly drained	water table within 15 to 30 cm (6-12" of surface. Broken bits of shell indicate lacustrine genesis. Severe limitations for all uses - high groundwater table, organic surface layer, sandy surface layer, high clay content, slow permeability, moderate to high shrink-swell potential, susceptibility to frost heave.
5	Gleyed Regosol 80% Orthic Regosol 20%	very coarse textured Iacustrine beach sands	\$	b,c (0.5+ to 5%)	0	imperfectly to well drained	recent beach deposits, severe limit— ations except for roads and buildings, sandy surface texture, seasonally high groundwater table, rapid permeabil— ity, groundwater contamination hazard
6.	Gleyed Regosol 50% Gleyed Eluviated Melanic Brunisol 30% Orthic Regosol 20%	coarse textured lacustrine sands overlying glaciofluvial gravels	cs	b (0.5+ to 2%)	0-3	imperfectly to rapidly drained	where sand is thin or absent surface stoniness is 2–3, otherwise stoniness is 0. Severe limitations for all uses exceproads and buildings – sandy surface texture, seasonally high groundwater table, rapid permeability, groundwater contamination hazard, surface stoniness shallow depth to sand or grayel.

TABLE 16. KEY TO THE SOILS (cont.)

Map Unit	Classification	Parent Material	Surface Texture	opo	Surface Stoniness	Drainage	Comments & Limitations
7	Orthic Regosol 50% Orthic Eutric Brunisol 30% Gleyed Gray Luvisol 20%	medium textured alluvial sediments overlying coarse textured lacustrine sands	L-CL	b,c (0.5+ to 5%)	0 :	well to imperfectly drained	subject to occasional flooding – high water table at time of observation – moderate to severe limitations, flooding hazard, seasonally high groundwater table, shallow depth to sand, thin Ah, groundwater contamination hazard, rapid permeability.
8	Orthic Regosol	very coarse textured lacustrine sand	S	c,d (2+ to 9%)	0	well to very rapidly drained	actively forming sand spit, severe limitations except for roads and buildings – sandy surface texture, shallow depth to sand, rapid permeability, groundwater contomination hazard.
9	Orthic Gray Luvisol 90% Gleyed Gray Luvisol 10%	coarse textured lacustrine sand to sandy loam over-lying fine textured till 60% fine textured lacustrine overlying fine textured washed till 40%	L-SL	b,e (0.5+ to 15%)	0-1	well to imperfectly drained	long uniform slopes. Slight to severe limitations – excessive slope, high clay content, moderate permeability, moderate to high shrink-swell potential, susceptibility to frost heave, thin Ah.
0	Eluviated Eutric Brunisol 60% Orthic Gray Luvisol 40%	very coarse and coarse textured lacustrine sand	S	E (94 to 15%)	0	well to rapidly drained	raised beach, severe limitations except for roads and buildings – sandy surface texture, excessive slope, shallow depth
1	Orthic Eutric Brunisol 70% Orthic Gray Luvisol 30%	mostly fine and some coarse textured undifferentiated material overlying weathered residual shale	SiCL to S	d to g(5+ to 60%)	0	well drained	large scale slumping characterizes this unit. Moderate to severe limitations exist - excessive slope, high clay content, moderate to slow permeability high shrink-swell potential, susceptibility to frost heave, shallow depth to
M	Terric Mesisol 80% Orthic Gleysol peaty phase 20%	intermediately decomposed peat overlying undiff- erentiated mineral material	Organic	a (0 to 0.5%)	0	very poorly drained	bedrock, thin Ah, slippery when wet.  thin peat deposits, severe limitations - organic soil, seasonally high ground- water table, high shrink-swell poten- tial, lack of Ah.
	Typic Mesisol 90% Terric Mesisol 10%	intermediately decomposed peat	Organic	A (0 to 0.5%)	0	very poorly drained	severe limitations – same as for T.M.

#### Map Unit 1

Classification:

Gleyed Gr

uvisol

Parent Material:

fine texture

acustrine sediments overlying fine textured

washed till

Landform:

lacustrine veneer overlying level morainal - Lv/MI

Slope:

gently undulating (0.5+ to 2%)

Surface stoniness:

slightly stony (1)

Drainage:

imperfectly drained

Vegetation:

aspen, white spruce, balsam poplar, white birch, rose,

dogwood, lowbush cranberry.

### Profile Description:

Horizon	Thi	ckness	Texture	C1	
110112011	cm	in	rextore	Structure Consistence	
L-H	15-20	6-8	leaf litter		
Ahe	0-5	0-2	loam	amorphous	very friable, moist
Aegi	5-10	2-4	loam	amorphous	friable, moist
Btg	25-30	10-12	clay to clay loam	subangular blocky	firm, moist
IIBCg	to 100	to 40	clay to clay loam	amorphous	firm, moist

Comnents:

Most of the soils examined in this unit were saturated nearly to the surface as a result of abnormally heavy rains prior to the survey. These wet conditions often made texture and structure differences within profiles difficult to determine. There appeared to be a change from an underlying base of fine textured till to an overlay of similarly textured lacustrine but no distinctive boundary was observed. These deposits form the underlying material for Map Units 2, 3, 4 and 9 as well as Map Unit 1.

Limitations:

Severe for buildings with basements, sanitary landfills, septic tank absorption fields, reservoir sites, and road locations or roadfill, moderate for other uses. As a reservoir site it is limited only by high water levels which impede digging, otherwise it provides a favourable medium. Specific limitations are seasonally high groundwater table, moderate to high shrink-swell potential, high clay content, susceptibility to frost heave and thin Ah horizon.

## Map Unit 2

Classification:

Gleyed Gray Luvisol

60%

Gleyed Eutric Brunisol

30%

Rego Gleysol

10%

Parent Material:

very coarse textured lacustrine overlying fine textured

washed till

Landform:

lacustrine veneer overlying level morainal - Lv/MI

lacustrine veneer overlying undulating morainal - Lv/Mu

Slope:

gently undulating to undulating (0.5+ to 5%).

Surface stoniness:

stone free (0)

Drainage:

imperfectly drained - Luvisolic, Brunisolic soils

poorly drained - Gleysolic soils

Vegetation:

aspen, white spruce, balsam poplar, white birch, alder, willow,

rose, dogwood, lowbush cranberry.

#### Profile Description:

#### Luvisol

Horizon	Thick cm	in	Texture	Structure	Consistence
L-H Aegi	5-8 30-40	2-3 12-16	leaf litter	single grain	loose,moist
IIBtg	25-30	10-12	clay to clay loam clay to clay	subangular blocky	firm, moist
IIBCg	to 100	to 4'	loam (occass- ional sand pocket)	amorphous	very firm, moist
L-H Bmg	Brunisol 5-8 100	2-3 40	leaf litter sand clay to	single grain	loose, moist
IIBCg	at 100	at 40	clay loam	amorphous	very firm, moist

Gleysol

<u> </u>	Thic	kness	Texture	Structure	Consistence
Horizon	cm	in		311001016	Consistence
Oh	15-20	6-8	humic peat		
Ccag	50	20	loam to clay (3" thick sandy gravelly lenses		very friable to firm, moist
IICcag	at 50	at 20	clay	'amorphous	firm, moist

Comments:

Water is found within six inches of the surface in the gleysolic soils of this Map Unit. Considerable lateral flow occurs within the sandy overlay throughout the unit as downward percolation is restricted by the slowly permeable fine textured till.

Limitations:

Severe for all uses except for buildings without basements, which have moderate limitations. Specific limitations are seasonally high groundwater table, sandy surface layer, moderate to high shrink-swell potential, susceptibility to frost heave, thin Ah and rapidly permeable sand over slowly permeable clay.

Map Unit 3

Classification:

Orthic Gleysol/peaty phase 60% Gleyed Gray Luvisol/peaty phase 40%

Parent Material:

fine textured lacustrine sediments overlying fine textured

washed till

Landform:

lacustrine veneer overlying level morainal - Lv/MI

Slope:

gently undulating (0.5+ to 2%)

Surface stoniness:

stone free (0)

Drainage:

imperfectly drained - Luvisolic soils poorly drained - Gleysolic soils

Vegetation:

dominantly white spruce with scattered balsam poplar and a

dense ground mat of feathermoss and bunchberry.

#### Profile Description:

#### Gleysol

Horizon	Thickness		Texture	Structure	Consistence
	cm	in	rextore	Shociole	Consistence
Om	30-35	12-14	mesic peat		
Bg	25-30	10-12	loam to clay loam	amorphous	slightly sticky, wet
IICcag	at 30	at 12	clay	amorphous	very firm, moist
	Luvisol				2
Om	30-35	12-14	mesic peat		
Aegi	8-12	3-4	loam	amorphous	slightly sticky, wet
Btg	20-25	8-10	clay loam to	subangular blocky	firm, moist
IIBCg	to 100 cm	to 40	clay	amorphous	firm, moist

Comments:

At the time of survey, the water table was generally encountered at a depth of 20-25 cm. The relatively thick accumulation of peat throughout the map unit suggest that poor drainage is the norm. As with Map Unit 1 the wetness of the soil made it difficult to determine if in fact there was a change from till to lacustrine material but such a condition has been inferred for this unit.

Limitations:

Severe for all uses. The overriding limitation is seasonally high groundwater table. Further limitations are organic surface horizon, slow permeability, high shrink-swell pot ntial, high clay content, and susceptibility to frost heave.

## Map Unit 4

Classification:

Orthic Gleysol/peaty phase 70%

Orthic Gleysol 30%

Parent Material:

coarse textured lacustrine sands overlying fine textured

washed till.

Landform:

lacustrine veneer overlying level morainal - Lv/MI

Slope:

gently undulating (0.5+ to 2%)

Surface stoniness:

stone free (0)

Drainage:

poorly drained

Vegetation:

Dominantly alder and willow with white birch, balsam poplar and aspen; and an understory of lowbush cranberry, dogwood, rose

and horsetail.

#### Profile Description:

### peaty Gleysol

Horizon	Thickness		Texture	Structure	Consistence
	cm	in			
Om	20-30	8-12	mesic peat		
Ah	8-10	3-4	coarse sand	single grain	nonsticky, wet
Bg	40	16	coarse sand	single grain	nonsticky, wet
IIBCg	to 100	to 40	clay to sandy clay loam	amorphous	very firm, moist
	Gleysol	*			
L-H	5-12	2-5	leaf litter		
Ah	0-5	0-2	loamy sand	single grain	loose, moist
Bg	35-40	14-16	sand	single grain	loose, moist
IIBCg	45	18	sandy clay loam to clay	amorphous	firm to very firm, moist
IICcag	at 90	at 36	`clay	amorphous	very firm, moist

Comments:

During mapping the water table was observed at between 20-30 cm throughout this Map Unit. As in Map Unit 2, considerable lateral flow occurs within the sandy overlay, as downward movement of water is retarded by the slowly permeable clay. Broken bits of shell found in the sands confirm that their mode of deposition was lacustrine.

Limitations:

Severe for all uses due mainly to a high groundwater table. Also limiting its usefulness are an organic surface layer, sandy surface texture and rapid permeability of the overlay; and high clay content, slow permeability, moderate to high shrink-swell potential and susceptibility to frost heave in the underlying fine textured material.

#### Map Unit 5

Classification:

Gleyed Regosol 80%

Orthic Regosol 20%

Parent Material:

very coarse textured lacustrine (beach) sands

Landform:

undulating lacustrine - Lu

Slope:

gently undulating to undulating (0.5+ to 5%)

Surface stoniness:

stone free (0)

Drainage:

imperfectly to well drained

Vegetation:

white spruce, with some aspen and white birch; and an

understory of rose, blueberry and feathermoss.

#### Profile Description:

#### Gleyed Regosol

Li-ni-n	Thic	kness	Texture	Structure	Consistence	
Horizon	cm	in	Texture			
L-H	10-12	4-5	leaf litter			
C	60	24	coarse sand	single grain	loose, moist	
Cg	at 60	at 24	coarse sand	single grain	loose, moist	

Orthic Regosol - the description of this soil is essentially the same as for the Gleyed Regosol above except that the gleying begins deeper in the soil profile as indicated below.

Cg at 90

at 36

coarse sand

single grain

loose, moist

Comments:

For the most part the soils of this Unit are developed on relatively recently deposited beach sands. They display gley colors within the lower portions of their profiles. These colors indicate a relatively high groundwater level responsible for maintaining reducing conditions within the profile depth.

Limitations:

Slight for road location, source of roadfill, and buildings without basements. Moderate for buildings with basements and severe for all other uses. Specific limitations are sandy surface texture, (wind erosion hazard), seasonally high groundwater table, rapid permeability, groundwater contamination hazard, and lack of Ah horizon.

# Map Unit 6

Classification:

Gleyed Regosol 50%

Gleyed Eluviated Melanic Brunisol 30%

Orthic Regosol 20%

Parent Material

coarse textured lacustrine sand overlying glaciofluvial gravel

Landform:

lacustrine veneer overlying level glaciofluvial - Lv/FGI

Slope:

gently undulating (0.5+ to 2%)

Surface stoniness:

stone free to very stony (0-3)

Drainage:

imperfectly to rapidly drained

Vegetation:

aspen, white spruce, white birch, rose, lowbush cranberry,

dogwood, saskatoon, raspberry.

# Profile Description:

# Gleyed Regosol

	<u> </u>				
Horizon	Thic cm	kness in	Texture	Structure	Consistence
L-H Cg IICg	5-8 25-50 to 100	2-3 10-20 to 40	leaf litter coarse sand gravel	single grain single grain	loose, moist nonsticky, wet
	Brunisol				- 12 - 12
L-H	0-10	0-4	leaf litter		
Ah	10-15	4-6	sand	single grain	loose, dry
Aegi .	20-25	8-10	sand	single grain	loose, moist
Bmg	30	12 -	sand	single grain	loose, moist
IIBCg	to 100 to 40		coarse sand & gravel	single grain	loose, moist
	Orthic R	egosol			
L-F	5-8	2-3	leaf litter		
C .	to 100	to 40	gravel	single grain	loose, moist

Comments:

Where the veneer of sand is thin or absent, the soil is moderately to very stony and rapidly drained. Due to their low topographic position, the soils of this Unit often have water tables within 75-100 cm (30-40") of the surface and exhibit gleying, despite their rapid permeability. Several commercial gravel sources are located within this Unit. They have been delineated using the symbol G.P. (gravel pit) as they are no

longer natural bodies of soil.

Limitations:

Slight for road location, source of roadfill, and buildings without basements, moderate for buildings with basements, severe for all other uses. Specific limitations are sandy surface texture (wind erosion hazard), seasonally high groundwater table, shallow depth to sand or gravel, rapid permeability, groundwater contamination hazard, and surface stoniness.

# Map Unit 7

Classification:

Orthic Regosol 50%

Orthic Eutric Brunisol 30% Gleyed Gray Luvisol 20%

Parent Material:

medium textured alluvial sediments overlying coarse textured

sands

Landform:

alluvial veneer overlying undulating lacustrine - Av/Lu

Slope:

gently undulating to undulating (0.5+ to 5%)

Surface stoniness:

stone free (0)

Drainage:

well to imperfectly drained

Vegetation:

aspen, white spruce, white birch, dogwood, rose, lowbush

cranberry.

Profile Description: Regosol

Horizon	Thic	kness	Texture	Structure	C
110112011	cm	in	rexture	Siructure	Consistence
L-H	5-8	2-3	leaf litter		2
			loam to clay		
6	05 50	14.00	loam (mixed		
С	35-50	14-20	layers of sand,	amorphous	friable to firm, moist
			loam & clay loam)		
IĮC	to 100	to 40	sand	single grain	loose, moist
	Brunisol				1/1
L-H	8-10	3-4	leaf litter	*)	
Bm	35	14	clay loam	amorphous	friable to firm, moist
IIBC	to 100	to 40	gravelly sand	amorphous	loose, moist
<del>*************************************</del>	Luvisol				<del></del>
L-H	8-10	3-4	leaf litter		
Aegj	10-15	4-6	loam	platy	slightly sticky, wet
Btg	40	16	clay loam	subangular	sticky, wet
_	FO	15-20	•	blocky	
IIBCg	at <i>5</i> 0	15-20	sand	single grain	non sticky, wet

#### Comments:

At the time of surveying, the water table was within 35 cm (14 in) of the surface in the gleyed soils of this Unit. This Unit is found in only one area where floodwaters from a fairly large stream have deposited alluvial material over the lacustrine sands.

#### Limitations:

Moderate for road location and source of roadfill, lawns and landscaping, paths and trails, picnic areas and camp areas; severe for all other uses. If flooding hazard was not present limitations would be considerably reduced for buildings with or without basements. Specific limitations are flooding hazard, seasonally high groundwater table, shallow depth to sand or gravel, thin Ah, groundwater contamination hazard, and rapid permeability.

#### Map Unit 8

Classification:

Orthic Regosol

Parent Material:

coarse textured lacustrine sands

Landform:

undulating lacustrine - Lu

Slope:

undulating to gently rolling (2+ to 9%)

Surface Stoniness:

stone free (0)

Drainage:

well to very rapidly drained

Vegetation:

white birch, aspen, chokecherry, saskatoon, rose, raspberry.

# Profile Description:

Horizon	Thick	ness	Texture	C	Consistence	
	cm	in	rexture	Structure		
L-H Ah	8-12 0-8	3-5 0-3	leaf litter sand	amorphous,	loose, dry	
С	to 100	to 40	sand	single grain amorphous, single grain	loose, dry	

Comments:

This spit is still actively forming, as evidenced by the sand accumulating at its tip and extending out into the lake. The sand has been pushed up above lake level by the combined action of wind, ice and waves. Vegetation is quite sparse and poorly established.

Limitations:

Slight for buildings with or without basements, road location and source of roadfill, otherwise severe. Specific limitations are sandy surface texture, (wind erosion hazard), shallow depth to sand or gravel, rapid permeability and groundwater contamination hazard.

# Map Unit 9

Classification:

Orthic Gray Luvisol 90% Gleyed Gray Luvisol 10% Parent Material:

coarse textured sand to sandy loam overlying fine

textured washed till - 60%

fine textured lacustrine sediments overlying fine

textured washed till - 40%

Landform:

lacustrine veneer overlying undulating morainal - Lv/Mu lacustrine veneer overlying inclined morainal - Lv/Mi lacustrine veneer overlying ridged morainal - Lv/Mr

Slope:

gently undulating to moderately rolling (0.5+ to 15%)

Surface stoniness:

stone free to slightly stony (0 to 1)

Drainage:

well to imperfectly drained

Vegetation:

dominantly aspen, some white spruce, white birch, willow, and alder; with an understory of beaked hazelnut, lowbush

cranberry, rose and dogwood.

# Profile Description:

Horizon	Th	ickness	Texture	Structure	
	cm	in	1621016	Siructure	Consistence
	Orthic	Gray Luvi:	ol developed f	rom sand overly	ving till
L-H Ael	5-8 8-20	2-3 3-8	leaf litter sandy loam	platy	very friable, moist
Ae2	25-50	10-20	sand	single grain	loose, moist
IIB†1	25-35	10-14	elay – clay loam	subangular blocky	firm, moist
IIBt2	0-15	0-6	silty clay (fragments of shale)	subangular blocky	very firm, moist
IIBC	to 100	to 40	clay to clay loam	amorphous	firm, moist
	Orthic C	Bray Luvis	ol developed fro	om fine texture	d lacustrine overlying till
L-H	5 <b>-</b> 8	2-3	leaf litter		,g
٩h	0~5	0–2	loam	granular	very friable, moist
4e	10-50	4-20	fine sandy Ioam to Ioam	platy	very friable, moist
3†	30-35	12-14	clay to clay loam	subangular blocky	firm, moist
BC Ck	to 100 occasion	to 40 ally encou	clay ntered lime at 1	amorphous	firm, moist

Horizon	Thickr	ess	Texture	Structure	Consistence								
	cm	in			Consistence								
	Gleyed Gray Luvisol developed from fine textured lacustrine over t												
L-H	10-15	4-6	leaf litter										
Aegį	8-15	3-6	loam	platy to amorphous	very friable, moist								
Btg	30-35	12-14	clay	subangular blocky	firm, moist								
BCg	to 100 cm	to 40	clay to clay loam	amorphous	firm, moist								

Comments:

This unit is characterized by gentle to moderate unidirectional slopes. They allow for adequate surface runoff so the soils are generally well drained. The deep stream channels incised into this unit reflect the erodibility of both the fine textured surficial material and the underlying shale.

Limitations:

Slight for camp areas, picnic areas, paths and trails, and buildings without basements on slopes less than 9%, severe for reservoir sites and playing fields on slopes greater than 9%, otherwise moderate. Specific limitations are, excessive slope, high clay content, moderate permeability, moderate to high shrink-swell potential, susceptibility to frost heave, and thin Ah.

# Map Unit 10

Classification:

Eluviated Eutric Brunisol 60% Orthic Gray Luvisol 40%

Parent Material:

very coarse and coarse textured lacustrine sands.

Landform:

inclined lacustrine - Li

Slope:

strongly sloping (9+ to 15%)

Surface stoniness:

stone free (0)

Drainage:

well to rapidly drained

Vegetation:

dominantly aspen with some white spruce, willow and white birch; and an understory of beaked hazelnut, rose and lowbush cranberry.

#### Profile Description:

Horizon	Thic	kness	Texture	Structure	Consistence		
	cm	in			Consistence		
•	Brunisol				-		
L-H	5-8	2-3	leaf litter	*			
Ael	15-20	6-8	sand	single grain	loose, moist		
Ae2	15–20	6-8	sand to loamy sand	single grain	loose, moist		
Bm(Btj)	40-50	15-20	loamy sand	single grain	friable, moist		
BC	to 100 to 40		coarse sand	single grain	loose, moist		
<u></u>	Luvisol						
L-:H	5-8	3-4	leaf litter				
Ael	40	16	sand	single grain	loose, moist		
Btj	10-15	4-6	loam	subangular blocky	friable to firm, moist		
Ae2	15-20	6-8	sand	single grain	loose, moist		
Bt2	30	12	loam	subangular blocky	firm, moist		
BC	to 100 to 40		coarse sand single grain		loose, moist		

#### Comments:

The soils of this unit are developed in relatively thick lacustrine sands which are probably raised beaches. They are rapidly drained and as a result the vegetative cover is somewhat thinner than in adjacent areas. Pedogenic features are more strongly developed in this unit than in the corresponding beach sands of Map Unit 5 due to the longer period that these deposits have been subjected to soil forming processes.

#### Limitations:

slight for road location, source of roadfill, and buildings with or without basements. Moderate for septic tank absorption fields, and severe for all other uses. Specific limitations are: sandy surface texture (wind erosion hazard), excessive slope, shallow depth to sand or gravel, rapid permeability, and thin Ah. It may be possible to install septic tank absorption fields in the soils of this unit without contributing to groundwater or lakewater contamination as they contain some finer material in addition to the sand and are located at some distance from the lake.

# Map Unit 11

Classification:

Orthic Eutric Brunisol 70% Orthic Gray Luvisol 30%

Parent Material:

mostly fine with some coarse textured undifferentiated material

overlying weathered residual shale.

Landform:

undifferentiated veneer overlying ridged rock - Uv/Rr

undifferentiated veneer overlying rolling rock - Uv/Rm

Slope:

gently rolling to hilly (5+ to 60%)

Surface stoniness:

non-stony (0)

Drainage:

well drained

Vegetation:

dominantly aspen with alder, willow, white birch and balsam poplar and an understory of dogwood, rose, lowbush cranberry and beaked hazelnut.

Profile Description:

Horizon	Thic cm	kness in	Texture	Structure	Consistence
	Brunisol				
L-H	5-8	2-3	leaf litter		
Bm	25-60	10-24	silty clay loam to silty clay	amorphous to pseudoblocky	friable, moist
Cca	at 20-60	at 8-24	silty clay(frag- ments broken shale	amorphous to	friable, moist
,	Luvisol				
L-H	5-8	2-3	leaf litter		5
٩e	15-20	6-8	loam-silt loam	weak platy	very friable, moist
Bt	20-25	8-10	silty clay	subangular blocky	firm, moist
вС	40-45	16-18	silty clay loam (fragments of shale)	•	firm, moist
Cca	at 90	at 36	silty clay	amorphous	friable to firm, moist

Comments:

This unit is mapped as having an undifferentiated surface veneer because the processes contributing to the present nature of the surficial material have been so numerous and diverse. Weathered residual shale is exposed at the surface in places. Some stonier materials suggest that the original cover of till may occasionally be preserved in a somewhat modified form. Lacustrine deposition and sorting affected the entire area as the lake fell to its present level. Surface failures, caused by slumping and downslope movement of large masses of material, have further disturbed the original surficial deposits. Active slumping can be observed along the present shoreline where saturation with lake water and undercutting by waves increase the susceptibility of the material to failure. It is likely that a great deal of the observed slumping took place coincident with the dropping lake levels. Smaller slumps have occurred subsequently, due to instability caused by steep slopes of the original slumps. Soil development has been retarded by the disruption and mixing of the surface material during slumping. It was also noted that depth to lime in any soil profile depended upon its slope position. Where the land was steeply sloping, lime accumulation occurred at only 20 cm (8 in), reflecting a dominance of surface runoff over infiltration and therefore shallow profile development.

Limitations:

moderate for lawns and landscaping, moderate for camp areas, picnic areas, paths and trails, buildings without basements, septic tank absorption fields and reservoir sites, on slopes less than 9%; otherwise severe. Specific limitations are: excessive slope, high clay content, moderate to slow permeability, high shrink-swell potential, susceptibility to frost heave, shallow depth to bedrock, thin Ah horizon and slippery or sticky when wet.

# TM (Organic Soil)

Classification:

Terric Mesisol

Parent Material:

intermediately decomposed peat overlying undifferentiated

mineral material.

Landform:

blanket bog overlying level undifferentiated mineral material - Bb/Ul

Slope:

depressional to level (0 to 0.5%)

Surface Stoniness:

stone free (0)

Drainage:

very poorly drained

Vegetation:

black spruce, willow, alder, balsam poplar, labrador tea,

and sphagnum.

# Profile Description:

Horizon	Thic	ckness	Texture	
110112011	cm	in	rextore	
Of	5-20	2-8	fibric peat	
Om	40-60	16-24	mesic peat	
IICg	at 60-75	at 24 <b>-</b> 30	undifferentiated mineral material	

Comments:

This unit occurs at the margins of larger, deeper organic

soil deposits or within small areas of fairly restricted drainage. They are always wet and often flooded.

Limitations:

severe for all uses; specific limitations are: organic soil,

seasonally high groundwater table, high shrink-swell potential, susceptibility to frost heave, and lack of

Ah horizon.

# M (Organic Soil

Classification:

Typic Mesisol 90%

Parent Material:

intermediately decomposed sphagnum and woody peat.

Landform

horizontal bog - Bh

Slope:

depressional to level (0 to 0.5%)

Surface stoniness:

stone free (0)

Drainage:

very poorly drained

Vegetation:

predominantly black spruce with some willow, white birch;

and larch and a thick cover of labrador tea, bog cranberry,

sphagnum and feathermoss.

# Profile Description:

Horizon	Thick	ness	Texture
	cm	in	TEXTOLE
Of Om	15-20 to 180		fibric sphagnum peat woody mesic peat

Comments:

The Terric Mesisol is located along the edges of the bog.

Limitations:

severe for all uses; specific limitations are: organic soil, seasonally high groundwater table, high shrink-swell potential, susceptibility to frost heave, and lack of Ah horizon.

#### MISCELLANEOUS LAND TYPES

- S.R. Surface Removed. The soil solum has been removed by construction activities in these areas, exposing the parent material. The texture, surface stoniness and limitations of these areas are variable, but are generally similar to those of surrounding soils.
- 2) B.P. Borrow Pit. These are excavations made during construction activities.
- 3) G.P. Gravel Pit.
- 4) S.D. Sawdust. This is a heap of sawdust left by a sawmill, formerly located on the site.
- This symbol indicates escarpments. They have severe limitations for all uses because of the extreme slopes.

#### SOIL INTERPRETATIONS

Soil interpretations are predictions of soil performance under different uses, not recommendations for land use. They do not eliminate the need for land use planning; rather they are valuable tools that can be used to assist the planner. They indicate limitations and suitabilities of the various kinds of soil for any particular use. The planner can then predict the type and degree of problem likely to be encountered and plan the kind and amount of on-site investigation needed to determine corrective measures. However the actual number of on-site investigations can be reduced considerably by the use of a detailed soil survey map.

Using the basic soil survey data of an area, it is possible to make soil performance predictions, based on soil morphology and the associated soil physical and chemical properties. Soils in the provincial parks are used mainly for recreational pursuits and as construction materials.

The majority of soils in the mapped area have moderate to severe limitations for recreational development. Most of the fine textured soils are slowly permeable, have high water table levels, high shrink-swell potential, and are susceptible to frost heave due to their high clay content. The sandy soils are fragile and vegetation is rapidly destroyed under heavy traffic. They are susceptible to wind erosion, rapidly permeable, droughty, and may potentially contribute to groundwater contamination. None of the soils possess a thick Ah horizon, so establishment of lawns and other vegetation is difficult. Map Unit 9 soils are generally the most suitable for recreational uses. They are well drained and usually have a suitable surface texture. They have a high clay content in their lower horizons, and therefore may display high shrink-swell and frost heave. However, because they are well drained, these hazards are reduced. The sandy soils of Map Units 5, 6, 8 and 10 are the most favourable for buildings and roads because of their low potential for shrink-swell and frost heave, but possess severe limitations for other uses. Severe limitations don't necessarily prevent the use of certain soils for recreational purposes; however it is important to keep these limitations in mind when planning development, as careful or expensive construction procedures will likely be required to overcome them. Other limitations not mentioned above, but occurring within the mapped area, are organic surface layer, organic soil, flooding hazard, excessive slope, shallow depth to bedrock, shallow depth to sand or gravel, and surface stoniness. Map unit 6 is a good source of sand and gravel and sand may be taken from Map units 5, 8 and 10. None of the Map units are suitable as a source of topsoil.

The limitations and suitabilities of the various soils for selected uses are shown in Table 17. The ratings were determined on the basis of soil morphological, physical and chemical properties, as well as steepness of slope. The principal limiting properties are indicated by numerals which correspond to those listed beneath Table 17. The limiting properties are generally listed in decreasing order of importance.

It is recognized that interactions among some soil and other properties may be great enough to change the limitation ratings by one class. If a moderate or severe limitation occurs in a given map unit, lesser limitations are usually not specified.

Limitations due to slope are not subdivided once the limitation becomes severe for the specified use. It follows however, that the steeper the slope, the more severe the limitation, and this fact should be considered in using the soil interpretation tables. In Table 18 the soil limitations for various uses have been designated as slight (S), moderate (M), and severe (V). As a source of topsoil or as a source of sand or gravel the soils are simply rated as good (G), fair (F), poor (P), and unsuitable (U).

TABLE 17. LIMITATIONS AND SUITABILITIES FOR SELECTED USES

					Lin	itations F	or:					Suitabili	ty as a
Map <sup>2</sup>	Camp	Picnic	Playing	Peths	Lawns &	<del></del>	ldings	Septic Tank Ab-	Sanitary Landfills		bood Location &	Sporce	Sund
Symbol	Areas	Areas	Fields	and Trails	Land- scoping	with basement	without basement	sorption Fields	Trench Type	Reservoir Sites	Source of Roadfill	Topsoil	or Grovel
नि	M2,7,	M2,11	M2,11	M2	M2,18,	V2,22,	M2,22	V2,10	. V2,7	V2	V7,13,14	P18	U
<u>2</u> Ь0	V2,11 15	V2,5	V2,5	V2,5	V5,2,18	V2,22,	M2	V2,12, 5	V2,7	V2,5,9	V2,7,13, 14	P18,5	F24
2 0	V2,11, 5	V2,5	V2,5	V2,5	V5,2,18	V2,22, 14	M2	V2,12, 5	V2,7, 12	V2,5,9	V2,7,13, 14	P18,5	F24
3 50	V20,2	V2,20, 11	V2,20, 11	V2,20,	V2, 20,	V2,22, 14	V2,22, 14	V2,12	V2,7	V2,20	V13,14, 20,2	U	U
4 b0	V2,20,	V2,20,	V2,20, 5	V2,20, 5,11	V2,20, 15,11	V2,22, 14	V2,22, 14	V2,12	V2 <b>,</b> 7	V2,5	V2,20	U	P2,1
5 c0	V5 <b>,2</b>	V5,2	V5	V5	V5,18,9	M2	s	V12,9,8	V12,9,	V9,8	s	υ	G
6 b1	∨5,4, 8,2	V5,4,	V5 <b>,</b> 8	V5,8,	V5,8,2	M2,4, 8	S	V12,9,8	V12,9,	V9,8	s	U	G
c0	M1,2	M2,1	V8,1,2	M2	M8,18	V1 **	VI	V2,1,8, 9,12	V2,1, 8,9,12	V9,8	M2,1,22	υ	F21
8 c0	V5	<b>V</b> 5	V5	V5	<b>V</b> 5	S	S	V12,8,	V12,9, 8	V9,8	S	U	G
9 cl	s	S	M3,10	S	M18	M7,22, 2,14	S	M10	M7	МЗ	M13,14,24	P18	U
9 c0	S	S	.M3,10	S	M18	M7,22, 2,14	S	M10	M7	W3	M13,14,24	P18	U
<u>8</u>	S	S	V3,25	S	M18	M7,22, 2,14	s	M10	M7	мз	M13,14,24	P18	υ
	M3,11, 2	м3,2	V3,25	S	M18,3	M22,2, 14,3	M22,3	M10,	.47	V3	M3,13	P18	U
10 E0,	V3,5	V5,3	V3,5,25	V5	M5,18,3	МЗ	МЗ	M3,8,	V8,9	V3,8,9	5	U	G

Legend: S - none to slight, M - moderate, V - severe, G - good, F - fair, P - poor, U - unsuitable

2 Example: Map unit ——— 6 topography —— d 3 —— surface stoniness

Note: for definitions, see section entitled "General Discussion of Soil Map"

#### LIMITING SOIL PROPERTIES AND HAZARDS

- 1. Flooding hazord (overflow)
- 2. Seasonally High Groundwater Table or Surface Ponding
- 3. Excessive slope
- 4. Surface Stoniness
- 5. Sandy Surface Texture
- 6. Slippery or Sticky When Wet
- 7. High Clay Content
- 8. Shallow Depth to Sand or Gravel
- 9. Rapid Permeability (Droughtiness)
- 10. Moderate Permeability
- 11. Slow Permeability
- 12. Groundwater Contamination Hazard
- 13. High Shrink-Swell Patential
- 14. Susceptibility to Frost Heave

- 15. Surface Soil Salinity
- 16. High Lime Content (Soil Nutrient Imbalance)
- 17. Shallow Depth to Bedrock
- 18. Thin Ah Horizon
- 19. Organic Soil
- 20. Organic Surface Layer More Than 6 Inches Thick
- 21. Thick Overburden above Gravel or Sand
- 22. Moderate Shrink-Swell Potential
- 23. Possible Concrete Corrosion Hazard (Soluble Sulphote)
- 24. Thin Deposit of Sand or Gravel
- 25. Erosion Hazard
- 26. Salonetzic Sail
- 27. Excessive Coarse Fragments

Topsoil being considered here is Ah horizon or its equivalent (see Glossary)

					Lim	itations Fo	r:					Svitabilit	
Mop <sup>2</sup> Symbol	Comp Areas	Picnic Areas	Playing Fields	Paths and Trails	Lowns & Land- scoping	Build with basement	dings without basement	Septic Tank Ab- sorption Fields	Sanitary Landfills- Trench Type	Reservoir Sites	Road Location & Source of Roadfill	Source	Sand or Gravel
11 d0	M10,7,	M7,6, 10	V3,7,6, 10	м7,6, 10	M7,18,	V13,14, 3	V13,14,	M10,17	V7,17	M7,3	V13,14,7	U	U
11 f0	V3,7,	V3,7,6, 10	V3,7,6, 10	V3,7, 6,10	M3,7,18,	V3,14, 13	V3,13, 14	V3,11, 17	∨7,17, 3	V3 =	V3,13,14, 7	U	U
TM a0	V19,2	V19,2,	V19,2,1	V19,2,	V19,2,1	V19,2,1	V19,2,1,	V2,12, 19	∨19,2, 12	V19,2	V19,13, 14,2	υ	U
<u>M</u>	V19,1,	V19,2,	V19,2,1	V19,2,	V19,2,1	V19,2,1, 13	V19,2,1,	V2,12, 19	V19,2, 12	V19,2	V19,13, 14,2	υ	U
						•							
													14
	Ę.		er.										
1 <sup>1</sup> 4		100 m						26					
											(30.)		
		tí											
		-											

Legend: S - none to slight, M - moderate, V - severe, G - good, F - fair, P - poor, U - unsuitable

Note: for definitions, see section entitled "General Discussion of Sail Map"

#### LIMITING SOIL PROPERTIES AND HAZARDS

- 1. Flooding hazard (overflow)
- 2. Seasonally High Groundwater Table or Surface Ponding
- 3. Excessive slope
- 4. Surface Stoniness
- 5. Sandy Surface Texture
- 6. Slippery or Sticky When Wet
- 7. High Clay Content
- 8. Shallow Depth to Sand or Gravel
- 9. Rapid Permeability (Droughtiness)
- 10. Moderate Permeability
- 11. Slow Permeability
- 12. Groundwater Contamination Hazard
- 13. High Shrink-Swell Potential
- 14. Susceptibility to Frost Heave

- 15. Surface Soil Salinity
- 16. High Lime Content (Soil Nutrient Imbalance)
- 17. Shallow Depth to Bedrock
- 18. Thin Ah Horizon
- 19. Organic Soil
- 20. Organic Surface Layer More Than 6 Inches Thick
- 21. Thick Overburden above Gravel or Sand
- 22. Moderate Shrink-Swell Potential
- 23. Possible Concrete Corrosion Hazard (Soluble Sulphate)
- 24. Thin Deposit of Sand or Gravel
- 25. Erosion Hazard
- 26. Solonetzic Soil
- 27. Excessive Coarse Fragments

Topsoil being considered here is Ah horizon or its equivalent (see Glossary)

<sup>2</sup> Example: Map unit → 6 topography → d 3 ← surface stoniness

#### REFERENCES

- Brady, N. C. 1974. The Nature and Properties of Soils. 8th ed. Macmillan Publishing Co. Ltd., New York. 639 pp.
- Environment Canada. Monthly Meteorological Observations in Canada, 1966 to 1975. Information Canada, Ottawa.
- Gary, M. R., McAffee Jr. and C. L. Wolf (Editors). 1972 Glossary of Geology. Amer. Geol. Inst., Washington D.C., 805 pp.
- Greenlee, G. M. 1976. Soil Survey of Kananaskis Lakes Area and Interpretations for Recreational Use. Alberta Institute of Pedology Number M-76-1. Alberta Research Council, Edmonton. 63 pp.
- Moss, E. H. 1959. Flora of Alberta. Univ. of Toronto Press. 546 pp.
- Odynsky, W., Wynnyk, A., Newton, J.D. 1952. Soil Survey of the High Prairie and McLennan Sheets, Report Number 17. Alberta Research Council, Edmonton, 112 pp.
- Torzaghi, K. and R. B. Peck. 1967. Soil Mechanics in Engineering Practice. 2nd ed. John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York. 729 pp.
- United States Department of Agriculture, 1957. The Yearbook of Agriculture. USDA, Washington, D.C., 78 pp. (USDA, 1957).
- Williams, H.E., I. S. Allison, C. R. Stauffer, and G. A. Thiel. 1960. Geology Principles and Processes, 5th ed. McGraw-Hill, New York, Toronto, London. 491 pp.

#### **APPENDIX**

# Chemical Analyses of the Soils

The chemical analyses carried out on representative soil samples are presented in Table 18. The samples analyzed are surface and subsoil samples, taken of the map units at representative sites. Surface samples are taken from the zero to six inch depth, and the subsoil samples from the six to twelve inch depth. Each surface sample consists of five separate samples taken at random locations and bunched together into one composite sample. A brief explanation of the significance of each chemical analysis follows.

TABLE 18. CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF SELECTED MAP UNITS \*

Мар	Sample		Pounds per A	Acre	**	<u> </u>	Soil	Cond.	_				
Unit	Depth (in.)	Nitrogen (N)	Phosphorus (P)	Potassium (K)	Sodium	Sulphut	Reaction (pH)	4	Organic Matter**	REMARKS			
1	0-6	0	62	501	L	L	5.5	0.2	L	aspen, w. spruce, balsam poplar,			
1	6-12	0	6	450	L+	. <b>L-</b>	5.5	0.2	L+	w. birch, rose, dogwood, lowbush cranberry.			
2	0-6	0	188	377	L	M-	5.8.	0.3	L-	aspen, w. spruce, balsam poplar,			
2	6-12	]	200+	308	L-	L-	6.5	0.2	L-	w. birch, alder, willow, rose, dogwood, low bush cranberry.			
3	0-6	1	32	397	L+	H+	7.7	0,6	L+	w. spruce, scattered balsam			
3	·6-12	1	52	278	L .	H+	7.8	0.4	L+	poplar – feathermoss, bunch- berry. Noticeable free lime.			
5	0-6	1	200+	222	L	L+	6.7	0.2	L- ,	w. spruce, some aspen,			
5	6-12	» O	200	88	L	L-	5.2	0.2	L-	w. birch, rose, blueberry, feathermoss.			
6	0-6	0	134	149	L	L+	5.3	0.3	L	aspen, w. spruce, w. birch,			
6	6-12	0	200+	88	L	L+	5.8	0.2	L-	rose, lowbush cranberry, dogwood, saskatoon, raspberry.			
7	0-6	1	150	461	L	M-	6.0	0.3	L	aspen, w.spruce, w. birch,rose,			
7	6-12	0	65	306	L	L	6.0	0.2	L-	dogwood, lowbush cranberry.			
8	0-6	]	60	101	L	L+	4.7	0.2	L-	w. birch, aspen, chokecherry,			
8	6-12	0 = .	140	36	L-		5.1	0.2	L-	saskatoon, rose, raspberry.			

<sup>\*</sup> Chemical Analyses done by Alberta Soil and Feed Testing Laboratory.

<sup>\*\*</sup> These tests are rated into four categories: high (H), medium (M), low (L), and none (-). The degree within each category is indicated by a plus or minus sign. The tests for organic matter are estimates only.

TABLE 18. CHEMICAL ANALYSES OF SELECTED MAP UNITS \*

Map Unit 9 9 9 10	Sample		Pounds per A	cre	**	·	Soil	Cond.	Organic	REMARKS			
	Depth (in.)	Nitrogen (N)	Phosphorus (P)	Potassium (K)	Sodium	Sulphur	Reaction (pH)	(mmhos/ cm •)	Matter**				
9	0-6	1	200+	355	L	L	6.6	0.3	L	aspen, some w. spruce, w.birch,			
9	6-12	3	126	-180	L	L-	7.0	0.2	L	willow, alder, beaked hazelnut, lowbush cranberry,			
9	12-18	1	26	497	L-	ti)	7.0	0.2	M-	rose, dogwood.			
10	0-6	1 .	200+	443	L	<u>l.</u> +	6.8	0.4	L-	aspen, w.spruce, willow,			
10	6-12	1	200+	359	L	-	6.5	0.2	Ĺ-	w. birch, heaked hazelnut, rose, lowbush cranberry.			
11	0-6	1	140	825	L	L+	6.3	0.2	M	aspen, alder, willow, w.birch,			
11	6-12	1	12	763	L	L+	6.7	0.3	L+	balsam poplar, dogwood, rcse, lowbush cranberry, beaked hazelnut.			
				*									
						1 19							
		_		<u>@</u> c									
										u u			
<u> </u>	_1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>						

<sup>\*</sup> Chemical Analyses done by Alberta Soil and Feed Testing Laboratory.

<sup>\*\*</sup> These tests are rated into four categories: high (H), medium (M), low (L), and none (-). The degree within each category is indicated by a plus or minus sign. The tests for organic matter are estimates only.

# 1. Nitrogen

Plant growth in regions where rainfall is adequate is determined more by soil nitrogen than by any other mineral element supplied by the soil (USDA, 1957).

Nitrogen is of special importance because plants need it in rather large amounts and it is easily last from the soil.

Soil nitrogen supply can be markedly affected by climatic conditions, native vegetation, and soil texture.

In humid areas, where forests predominate, the higher rainfall causes muchleaching and the removal of most soil nitrogen from upper horizons. In contrast, in areas of somewhat limited rainfall where grass predominates, much more nitrogen remains near the soil surface.

A clay or clay loam soil commonly contains two to three times as much nitrogen as does a very sandy soil under the same type of climatic conditions. Poorer aeration and less leaching favour the retention of nitrogen in the finer textured soils.

In general, low soil nitrogen levels will likely occur in virgin soils, in soils low in organic matter, and in soils that are cold or poorly drained.

General soil test ratings for supplies of available nitrogen, expressed in pounds per acre, are: low, zero to 20; medium, 21 to 50; and high 51 or more.

The primary natural source of soil nitrogen is air. Important artificial sources are fertilizers, animal manures, green manures, and various crop residues.

# 2. Phosphorus

Phosphorus is present in all living tissue. It is particularly concentrated in the younger parts of the plant, and in the flowers and seeds (USDA, 1957). As phosphorus does not move appreciably in the soil, accumulations are found primarily in the first foot of soil.

Mos of the total phosphorus supply is tied up chemically in a form that is not usable by plants; it is not available to the growing plant. The available soil phosphorus

Alberta Soil and Feed Testing Laboratory

originates from the breakdown of soil minerals and soil organic matter or from the addition of phosphate fertilizer. The available soil phosphorus is usually only about 1% of the total soil phosphorus.

Soil tests show that a majority of Alberta soils are low in available phosphorus. Plants respond markedly to phosphate fertilizer on deficient soils.

General soil test ratings for supplies of available phosphorus, expressed in pounds per acre, are: low, zero to 30; medium, 31 to 70; and high, 71 or more.

#### 3. Potassium

Plants need large amounts of potassium, one of the three major plant nutrients (USDA, 1957). It is supplied to roots by soil minerals, artificial fertilizers, manures and crop residues.

Most Alberta soils contain adequate amounts of potassium. Deficiencies occur most frequently on peat soils or poorly drained soils.

General soil test ratings for supplies of available potassium, expressed in pounds per acre, are: low, zero to 150; medium, 151 to 300; and high, 301 or more.

# 4. Sulphur

Sulphur is essential to life (USDA, 1957). Many plants use about as much sulphur as they do phosphorus. Plants obtain sulphur from the soil, rain and irrigation water, artificial fertilizers, and the atmosphere.

General soil test ratings for supplies of available sulphur are: low (L), medium (M), high (H), and none (nil). The degree within each category is indicated by a + or a - sign l.

The soil test determines whether adequate amounts of sulphur are available for normal plant growth. Where the sulphur test is low, a sulphur containing fertilizer should be applied; where it is medium, a field test using sulphur and non-sulphur fertilizers should be conducted. Plant responses to sulphur fertilizer can vary considerably within very small areas.

Alberta Soil and Feed Testing Laboratory

# Soil Reaction (pH)

This test measures soil acidity or alkalinity. Acid soils have pH values of less than 6.6; decreasing pH values indicate increasing soil acidity. Neutral soils have pH values of 6.6 to 7.3; alkaline soils have pH values of more than 7.3. Increasing pH values indicate increasing soil alkalinity.

The best pH range for most crops in Alberta is 5.5 to 7.5.

# 6. Soil Salinity and Conductivity Test

Conductivity is a measure of the total soluble salt concentration in a soil. Soluble salts are present in soils at all times; however, when the salt concentration is high, plant growth is reduced and the soil is considered "saline". Sulphates and sodium are determined to identify specific salts commonly causing salinity.

In general, lawn growth is affected on soils having conductivity readings as follows:

0 to 1 - negligible salt effects

1.1 to 3 - lawn growth noticeably restricted

3.1 or more - lawn growth considerably restricted.

The sulphate and sodium tests are rated in four categories; high (H), medium (M), low (L), and none (nil). The degree within each category is indicated by a + or a - sign.

A high sodium test may indicate a solonetzic soil which is characterized by poor physical structure and requires special management. A high sulphate test may indicate a hazard of sulphate attack on concrete, indicating a need for sulphate resistant concrete to be used in constructing foundations and underground conduits.

# Organic Matter and Free Lime

These tests are estimates of the amounts contained in the soil. Results are rated into four categories: high (H), medium (M), low (L), and none (nil). The degree within each category is indicated by a + or a - sign.

Alberta Soil and Feed Testing Laboratory

Organic matter influences physical and chemical properties of soils far out of proportion to the small quantities contained therein (Brady, 1974). It commonly accounts for at least half the cation exchange capacity of soils and is responsible, perhaps more than any other single factor, for the stability of soil aggregates. Furthermore it supplies energy and body building constituents for the soil microorganisms.

Free lime is present in some soils and may reduce nutrient availability to plants in the following ways:

- a) Deficiencies of available iron, manganese, copper or zinc may be induced.
- b) Phosphate availability may decrease due to the formation of complex and insoluble calcium phosphates.
- c) The uptake and utilization of boron may be hindered.
- d) The high pH, in itself, may be detrimental.

Free lime cannot be readily removed from the soil. The only practical way to counteract its effect is to increase soil organic matter content.

# Engineering Properties of the Soils

Engineering test data determined on representative soil samples are presented in Table 19. The samples analyzed were taken from the subsoils of Map units 1 and 11 at representative sites. A brief description of the significance of each analytical parameter follows.

# 1. Field Moisture Percentage.

This is a determination of the natural moisture content of the soil as it occurs in the field.

For any potential borrow material, it is essential to know in advance of construction whether, for the compaction procedure likely to be specified, the moisture content in the field is excessive or deficient with respect to the optimum value for that procedure (Terzaghi and Peck, 1967).

7

TABLE 19. PHYSICAL ANALYSES OF SELECTED MAP UNITS

Nap Jnit		Field				8 .	Macha	A 1 - X						<del></del>	,	77 (		<del></del>		
Лар				Mechanical Analysis Percentage Passing Sieve Percentage Smaller than												Opt-	Max-			. •
Лар		Mois-	ļ <u>'</u>	ercent	rage Pa	ssing 5		#40	#200	Percei	ntage S	malier	than		DI.	ŀ	imum	Cla	ssificat	tion
	Depth		1	3/4	5/8	1					0.005	0.002	0.001	Liquid		Moist- ure	Dry Density	ÂA	Un-	
Jnit	(feet)	%	inch		inch	mm.)		mm.)				mm .		Limit	Index	% **	Density lb/ft**	SHO	ified	USD/
1*	3-5	18	100	100	100	100	100	97	76	75	52	45	37	44	23	22	100	A7-6 (14)	CL	С
11	2-5	33	100	100	100	100	100	100	97	92	61	48	37	60	23	-	-	A7-5 (18)	МН	SiC
			8							(8)									,	
																		9		
		,						r												
																	111			6
		7.		,			*													
												v								
		-									75									
															8 801					
							ü	9												

Map Units developed on similar parent material: 1, 2, 3, 4, 9.

<sup>\*</sup> These values are obtained from charts worked out by the Highways Testing Laboratory, Alberta Department of Highways.

# 2. Particle Size Analysis.

The particle size distribution within a soil is determined by laboratory tests, usually referred to as the particle size analysis of the soil (PCA 1962). The amounts of the gravel and sand fractions are determined by sieving, while the silt and clay contents are determined by sedimentation techniques. The amount of each soil separate contained in a soil determines its texture.

Where soil texture is known, approximations and estimates can be made of soil properties, such as permeability, water holding capacity, shrink-swell potential, bearing value, susceptibility to frost heave, adaptability to soil cement construction, etc.

#### 3. Plasticity.

In sail mechanics, plasticity is defined as that property of a material which allows it to be deformed rapidly, without rupture, without elastic rebound, and without volume change (Means and Parcher 1964).

Tests have been devised to determine the moisture content of a soil at which it changes from one major physical condition to another (PCA 1962). These tests, conducted on the material passing the number 40 sieve (0.42 mm), have been used as key factors in classifying soils for structural purposes.

The tests used for estimating plasticity are plastic limit, liquid limit, and plasticity index. The plastic limit is the moisture content at which the soil passes from a semisolid to a plastic state. The liquid limit is the moisture content at which the soil passes from a plastic to a liquid state. The plasticity index is the numerical difference between the liquid and plastic limits. This parameter gives the range in moisture content at which a soil is in a plastic condition. A small plasticity index, such as five, indicates that a small change in moisture content will change the soil from a semisolid to a liquid condition. A large plasticity index, such as 20, shows that a considerable amount of water can be added before a soil changes to a liquid condition.

# 4. Moisture-Density Relationships.

The purpose of every laboratory compaction test is to determine a moisture density curve comparable to that for the same material when compacted in the field by means of the equipment and procedures likely to be used (Terzaghi and Peck 1967). Most of the current methods are derived from the procedure known as the "Standard Proctor Test". The "optimum moisture content", according to the Standard Proctor Test, is the water content at which the dry density is a maximum ("maximum dry density").

#### 5. Soi! Classification.

In order that soils may be evaluated, it is necessary to devise systems or methods for identifying soils with similar properties and then to follow this identification with a grouping or classification of soils that perform in a similar manner when their densities, moisture contents, textures, etc. are similar (PCA 1962). A brief description of three widely used soil classification systems follows.

# (a) AASHO Classification System.

The American Association of State Highway Officials system is an engineering property classification based on field performance of highways. In the AASHO system, soil material is classified into seven basic groups with each group having about the same general load carrying capacity and service. The groups are designated A-1 to A-7; the best soils for road subgrades are classified as A-1, the next best A-2, etc., with the poorest soils being classified as A-7.

These seven basic groups are further divided into subgroups with a group index that was devised to approximate within group evaluations. Group indexes range from zero for the best subgrades to 20 for the poorest.

# (b) Unified Soil Classification System.

In this system, the soils are identified according to their textures and plasticities, and are grouped according to their performance as engineering construction materials.

Soil materials are divided into coarse grained soils, fine grained soils, and highly organic

soils. The coarse grained soils are subdivided into eight classes; the fine grained soils into six classes; and there is one class of highly organic soils.

Coarse grained soils are those that have 50% or less of material passing the number 200 sieve; fine grained soils have more than 50% of material passing the number 200 sieve. The letters G, S, C, M, and O stand for gravel, sand, clay, silt, and organic materials respectively. The highly organic soils are designated by the symbol "pt". Additional letters used in the secondary divisions of the fine grained soils are L and H, meaning relatively low liquid limit and relatively high liquid limit, respectively.

The designation CL for example, indicates inorganic clays of low to medium plasticity; SW indicates well graded sands; and SC indicates clayey sands and sand-clay mixtures.

(c) United States Department of Agriculture Soil Classification System.

The system of textural soil classification, used by Canadian soil scientists, is known as the USDA system. It is defined under 'soil texture' in the glossary. There is some variation in the particle size limits between the USDA system and the two engineering systems just described, but the differences are not great. A comparison of the different systems is given in the PCA Soil Primer (1962).

#### **GLOSSARY**

- adsorption complex The group of substances in the soil capable of adsorbing water and nutrients.
- aeration, soil The process by which air in the soil is replaced by air from the atmosphere.
- amorphous structure A coherent soil mass showing no evidence of any distince arrangement of soil particles.
- available nutrient The portion of any element or compound in the soil that can be readily adsorbed and assimilated by growing plants.
- base saturation percentage The extent to which the adsorption complex of a soil is saturated with exchangeable cations other than hydrogen and aluminum.
- blocky structure Soil particles arranged around a point and bounded by rectangular and flattened surfaces, vertices sharply angular.
- Brunisolic An order of soils whose horizons are developed sufficiently to exclude the soils from the Regosolic Order, but that lack the degrees or kinds of horizon development specified for soils of the other orders; also a subgroup of soils in the Luvisolic Order which has in the upper solum either a Bm horizon at least 5 cm (2 in) thick, or a Bf horizon less than 5 cm (2 in) thick, or both a Bm and Bf. An Ae may or may not be present, and when present it may occur above or below the Bf or Bm.
- eluvial horizon A soil horizon that has been formed by the process of eluviation.
- eluviation The transportation of soil material in suspension or in solution within the soil by the downward or lateral movement of water.
- Eutric Brunisol A great group of soils in the Brunisolic Order. The soils may have Ah horizons less than 5 cm (2 in) thick, and they have Bm horizons in which the base saturation is 100%.
- exchangeable cation A cation that is held by the adsorption complex of the soil and is easily exchanged with other cations of neutral salt solutions.
- fibric Composed of organic soil material containing large amounts of weakly decomposed fiber whose botanical origin is readily identifiable.

- firm consistence The consistence at which a moist soil offers distinctly noticeable resistance to crushing, but can be crushed with moderate pressure between the thumb and forefinger.
- forb A broadleaf seed producing plant, other than grass, that does not develop persistent woody tissue, but dies down at the end of a growing season.
- friable consistence Consistence at which a moist soil crushes easily under gentle to moderate pressure between the thumb and forefinger, and coheres when pressed together.
- Gleysolic An order of soils that are saturated with water and are under reducing conditions continuously or during some period of the year, unless they are artificially drained. They have developed under hydrophytic vegetation and may be expected to support hydrophytic vegetation if left undisturbed.
- granular structure A coherent mass of soil particles arranged around a point in a spheroidal shape, and characterized by rounded vertices.
- gravel Rounded and subrounded rock or mineral fragments greater than 2 mm and up to 7.6 cm (3 inches) in diameter.
- Gray Luvisol A Luvisolic soil in which the Ah horizon, if present, is less than 5 cm (2 inches) thick.
- great group The fifth category in the Canadian system of soil classification. It is a taxonomic group of soils having certain morphological features in common, and a similar pedogenic environment.
- green manure Plant material incorporated with the soil, while the plant material is still green. The purpose is to improve the soil.
- hard consistence Consistence at which a dry soil mass is moderately resistant to pressure; it can be broken in the hands without difficulty, but is rarely breakable between the thumb and forefinger.
- humic Composed of highly decomposed organic soil material containing little fiber.
- Humisol A great group of soils in the Organic order. The diagnostic layer is composed dominantly of humic material.

- humus (1) The fraction of the soil organic matter that remains after most of the added plant and animal residues have been decomposed. It is usually dark colored. (2) Humus is also used in a broader sense to designate the humus forms referred to as forest humus. (3) All the dead organic material on and in the soil that undergoes a continuous breakdown, change and synthesis.
- illuvial horizon A soil horizon in which material carried from an overlying layer has been precipitated from solution or deposited from suspension as a layer of accumulation.
- leaching The removal from the soil of materials in solution.
- lime (in soil) A soil constituent consisting principally of calcium carbonate, and including magnesium carbonate and perhaps other materials.
- lithic Having bedrock within the control section of the soil. The control section is a vertical section through a mineral or organic soil upon which soil classification is based.
- loose consistence Consistence at which a moist or dry soil is noncoherent.
- Luvisolic An order of soils that have developed under climatic conditions ranging from mild humid to very cold humid. The soils have developed under deciduous, mixed deciduous-coniferous, or boreal forests, or under mixed forest in the forest-grassland transitional zones. These soils have eluviated light coloured surface (Ae) horizons, brownish illuvial B (Bt) horizons in which silicate clay is the main accumulation product, and parent materials that are generally neutral to alkaline in reaction.
- mesic Composed of organic soil material at a stage of decomposition between that of fibric and humic materials.
- order, soil The highest category in the Canadian system of soil classification. All the soils within an order have one or more characteristics in common.
- Organic An order of soils that have developed dominantly from organic deposits that are saturated for most of the year, or are artificially drained, and contain 30% or more organic matter to certain specified depths.
- orthic Refers to the modal or central concept in the definition of a soil order.
- particle size distribution The amount of the various soil separates in a soil sample, usually expressed as weight percentages.

- peat Unconsolidated soil material consisting largely of undecomposed, or only slightly decomposed organic matter.
- peaty phase (of soil) Soil having 6 to 16 inches (15 to 40 cm) of mixed peat or 6 to 24 inches (15 to 60 cm) of fibric moss peat on the surface.
- platy structure Soil particles arranged around more or less developed horizontal planes, and generally bounded by relatively flat horizontal surfaces.
- Regosolic An order of soils having no horizon development, or development of the A and B horizons insufficient to meet the requirements of the other orders.
- residual material Unconsolidated or partly weathered parent material of a soil, presumed to have developed in place (by weathering) from the consolidated rock on which it lies.
- sediment Deposition by such agents as running water, wind, and glacial ice, of material resulting from the decomposition and disintegration of solid rocks under the combined effects of atmospheric agents and processes.
- sedimentary rock Rock derived from the waste products of older rocks.
- shale A sedimentary rock in which the particles are predominantly of clay size.
- single grain structure Loose, incoherent mass of individual particles as in sands.
- slump (mass move) A landslide characterized by a shearing and rotary movement of a generally independent mass of rock or earth along a curved slip surface (concave upward) and about an axis parallel to the slope from which it descends, and by backward tilting of the mass with respect to that slope so that the slump surface often exhibits a reversed slope facing uphill.

  Syn: slumping.
- soft consistence Consistence at which a dry soil mass is weakly coherent and fragile, and breaks to a powder or individual grains under very slight pressure.
- soil aggregate A group of soil particles cohering so as to behave mechanically as a unit.

- soil horizon A layer of soil or soil material approximately parallel to the land surface; it differs from adjacent genetically related layers in physical properties such as colour, structure, texture and consistence; and chemical, biological, and mineralogical composition. Soil horizons are designated by letters according to the following definitions:
  - 1) Cirganic Layers These contain more than 30% organic matter. Two groups are recognized:
  - O An organic layer developed under poorly drained conditions, or under conditions of being saturated most of the year, or on wet soils that have been artificially drained.
  - Of The least decomposed organic layer, containing large amounts of well preserved fiber whose botanical origin is readily identifiable, and called the fibric layer.
  - Om An intermediately decomposed organic layer containing less fiber than an Of layer, and called the mesic layer.
  - Oh The most decomposed organic layer, containing only small amounts of raw fiber, and called the humic layer.
  - L-F-H Organic layers developed under imperfectly to well drained conditions, often forest litter (commonly abbreviated to L-H). They are defined as follows:
  - L The original structures of the organic material are easily recognized.
  - F The accumulated organic material is partly decomposed.
  - H The original structures of the organic material are unrecognizable.
  - 2) <u>Master Mineral Horizons</u> These are mineral soil layers containing less than 30% organic matter. They are defined as follows:
  - A A mineral horizon formed at or near the surface in the zone of removal of materials in solution and suspension or maximum in situ accumulations of organic matter, or both.
    - B A mineral horizon characterized by one or more of the following:
    - a) An enrichment in silicate clay, iron, aluminum, or humus.
    - b) A prismatic or columnar structure that exhibits pronounced coatings or staining associated with significant amount of exchangeable sodium.
  - c) An alteration by hydrolysis, reduction, or oxidation to give a change in colour or structure from horizons above or helow, or both.

- C A mineral horizon comparatively unaffected by the pedogenic processes operative in A and B, except gleying, and the accumulation of carbonates and more soluble salts.
- 3) Lowercase Suffixes These indicate a secondary or subordinate feature or features, in addition to those characteristic of the defined master horizon. They are defined as follows:
- ca A horizon of secondary carbonate enrichment where the concentration of lime exceeds that in the unenriched parental material.
- e A horizon characterized by removal of clay, iron, aluminum, or organic matter, alone or in combination, and lighter coloured when dry than an underlying B horizon.
- f A horizon enriched with hydrated iron.
- h A horizon enriched with organic matter. Ah An A horizon of organic matter accumulation. It contains less than 30% organic matter. It is darker than the layer immediately below, or has at least 1% more organic matter than the 1C or both. Ahe This horizon has been degraded, as evidenced by streeks and splotches of light and dark material and often by platy structure.
- k Denotes the presence of carbonate, as indicated by visible effervescence when dilute HCl is added. Most often it is used with B and m (Bmk) or C (Ck), and occasionally with Ah (Ahk).
- m A horizon slightly altered by hydrolysis, oxidation, or solution, or all three to give a change in colour, structure or both. This suffix can be used as Bm, Bmgj, Bmk, and Bms.
- t A horizon enriched with silicate clay as indicated by a higher clay content (by specified amounts) than the overlying eluvial horizon, a thickness of at leasr 2 inches (5 cm), and usually a higher ration of fine (less than 0.2 micron) to total clay than the IC horizon.
- soil morphology The color, structural, and textural characteristics of the soil or any of its parts.
- soil ped A unit of soil structure such as a prism, block or granule, which is formed by natural processes.

- soil profile A vertical section of the soil through all its horizons and extending into the parent material.
- soil separate Mineral particles, less than 2.0 mm in equivalent diameter, ranging between specified size limits. The names and size limits of seaprates recognized in Canada and the United States are very coarse sand, 2.0 to 1.0 mm; coarse sand, 1.0 to 0.5 mm; medium sand, 0.5 to 0.25 mm; fine sand, 0.25 to 0.10 mm; very fine sand, 0.10 to 0.05 mm; silt, 0.05 to 0.002 mm; and clay, less than 0.002 mm.
- strand line (a) The ephemeral line or level at which a body of standing water, as the sea, meets the land; The shoreline esp. a former shoreline now elevated above the present water level. (b) A beach, esp. one raised above the present sea level.
- subangular blocky structure Soil particles arranged around a point and bounded by subrectangular surfaces, vertices mostly oblique, or subrounded.
- terric layer An unconsolidated mineral substratum underlying organic soil material.
- till Unstratified glacial drift deposited directly by the ice and consisting of clay, sand, gravel and boulders intermingled in any proportion.
- undurated said of a compact rock or soil hardened by the action of pressure, cementation and esp. heat.
- very firm consistence Consistence at which moist soil material crushes under strong pressure, and is barely crushable between the thumb and forefinger.
- very friable consistence Consistence at which moist soil material crushed under very gentle pressure, but coheres when pressed together.
- washed Modification of a deposit or feature by wave action in a body of standing water, resulting in lag deposits, beaches of lag materials and wave cut platforms.
- water table The upper surface of the zone of saturation.

# SOILS MAP OF HILLIARD'S BAY AREA (EAST PART) Tp. 75, R. 13-14, W 5 Slave 1977-11

# LANDFORM MAP OF HILLIARD'S BAY AREA (EAST PART) Tp. 75, R. 13-14, W 5 Lake Slave Lesser