

Published 2003
Copies of this map may be obtained from:
Information Sales
Alberta Geological Survey
Telephone: (780) 422-3767
Web site: www.ags.ab.ca

Geo-Note 2003-12, Figure 9


RADARSAT-1 Standard Beam 1 Ascending Image for Waterways, Alberta (NTS 74D)

Compilation by S. Mei, 2003

Scale 1:250 000



Projection: Universal Transverse Mercator, Zone 12
Datum: North American Datum, 1983



Introduction

The RADARSAT-1 satellite, launched by Canada in 1995, is an active, microwave-based sensor that sends its own microwave signals down to the Earth and processes the signals that it receives back. It differs from optical sensors, such as LANDSAT, SPOT and IRS, which collect data at visible and infrared frequencies and rely on reflected sunlight from the Earth. In addition, RADARSAT-1 employs variable beam modes (i.e., differing incidence angles, scene coverage and resolutions) and look directions (i.e., ascending or east looking and descending or west looking), hence the opportunity exists for acquiring a number of separate radar signals, which then can either be evaluated individually or combined statistically in various ways to produce additional information. The quality of the radar backscatter signal is directly related to ground topography, dielectric properties and surface roughness of the terrain being imaged. As a result, RADARSAT-1 images are well suited for mapping geological structure, geomorphology and the moisture content of vegetation or sediment surface materials to a very shallow depth.


As part of their regional mapping strategy, the Alberta Geological Survey acquired RADARSAT-1 images over northern Alberta (north of 55 degrees north latitude) with the following four beam positions: Standard Beam 1 (S1) ascending (71 scenes), S1 descending (70 scenes), Standard Beam 7 (S7) ascending (65 scenes) and S7 descending (68 scenes). The resolution of each of these datasets is about 25 m (that is, the resulting radar responses reflect or encompass a square cell that is areally about 25 m on each side). The strategy of acquiring S1 and S7 imagery was done to contrast the radar responses based on two incidence angles and two look directions. The images were obtained in a dry autumn (September to December 1999) and, thus, provided ideal conditions of no to little deciduous foliage or snow. The acquired scene images were individually orthorectified and then tiled into 25, 1,250,000 scale NTS map areas that cover all of northern Alberta north of latitude 55°N. This results in four RADARSAT-1 images from the four beam positions for each NTS map area (Figures 9 to 12). As well, the four Radarsat image datasets (i.e., S1A, S1D, S7A and S7D) for each NTS map area were processed using Principal Component Analysis (PCA). PCA is a statistical method that evaluates correlation among the signals from the S1A, S1D, S7A and S7D image data, and generates resultant principal component images for each NTS map area. The first four principal components for each NTS map area were then used to produce four simple PCA maps (Figures 13 to 16).

As noted above, radar backscatter is affected by vegetation type, moisture and surface roughness. It is also dependent on the incidence angle and look direction of the radar beam. With respect to vegetation, much of northern Alberta is covered by boreal forest, but there also exist farm lands, wetlands and some other settings with differing vegetation types. With respect to moisture, the response differs markedly for lakes versus land, but the radar moisture signal on land is complex because it reflects varying moisture content in both the vegetation and surface soils. With respect to surface roughness, this also is a complex response, but refers to 'roughness' at the centimetre scale, and results from a combination of both the roughness of the vegetation canopy and of the underlying ground surface terrain (i.e., 'averaged' across the about 25 m² field). As a result, surface roughness is related to the nature of the underlying geomorphology, the surficial geology and soil type, and the vegetation type, extent of vegetative coverage and canopy configuration. In turn, these factors also influence the amount of moisture in the soil, and the type of vegetation that is typically associated with the soil. As well, Principal Component Analysis of the RADARSAT-1 imagery acts to add more complexity to the interpretation process. Finally, because each tiled 1:250,000 scale map area image is a composite, usually of a few individual orthorectified RADARSAT-1 images, there can be in places a seemingly abrupt change in tone or texture; these normally occur across a linear or curvilinear boundary that reflects the join of the images. Therefore, because of these complicated interactions between the radar energy and the vegetation, moisture and surface roughness, it is difficult to provide unique interpretation methods for the eight 'simple maps' of RADARSAT-1 imagery (Figures 9 to 16) or PCA imagery (Figures 13 to 16).

Some general tips for interpreting the Figures 9 to 12 images are provided below, but these are generalizations and intended only for assisting less experienced users to browse the image or evaluate variations on the printed map.

1. Standing water, when not disturbed by a strong wind, reflects almost all the incident microwave radiation away from the sensor, resulting in a black or dark tone. In contrast, a strong wind would cause patches of lighter tone on the normally dark response from standing water.
2. Standing water under vegetation, such as some wetlands, particularly those covered by grass, moss and relatively few trees, tends to result in a light tone.
3. Slopes facing toward the sensor are usually lighter than slopes facing away from the sensor.
4. Moist soils are usually brighter than dry soils.
5. Forest canopies generally show up with a more coarse texture than grasslands, which reflects their greater variability in surface roughness response. As well, wetlands with areas of grass or moss interspersed with trees (e.g., black spruce) can also show up as a mottled or 'salt-and-pepper' texture.
6. Canopies with higher moisture content reflect radar energy better than those with low water content; hence they appear in a lighter tone.
7. Conifer versus deciduous trees without leaves show different texture and tones under certain combination of beam mode and look direction.
8. Urban buildings, cars, fences, bridges, etc., tend to result in bright signatures.
9. In general, Standard Beam 1 images are more sensitive to soil and vegetation moisture than Standard Beam 7 images. As a result, Standard Beam 1 images tend to show more variation of tones.
10. The same terrain may appear different in tone when imaged at different incident angles and in different look directions, hence the same area may have a differing response depending on the simple map or figure evaluated.


Elevation Map for NTS 74D



Elevation above Sea Level



Look Directions and Incident Angles of RADARSAT-1 S1 and S7 Ascending/Descending Beam Modes



Acknowledgements:

Digital cartography is made by N.L. Blundon and S. Mei. The RADARSAT-1 principal component images are processed by RGI Resources GIS and Imaging (now renamed as PhotoSat). Additional image processing is made by S. Mei, Reg Olson and Rick Richardson are thanked for beneficial and constructive review.

Disclaimer:

The Alberta Geological Survey and its employees and contractors make no warranty, guarantee or representation, express or implied, or assume any legal liability regarding the correctness, accuracy, completeness, or reliability of this publication. When using information from this publication in other publications or presentations, due acknowledgement should be given to the Alberta Energy and Utilities Board/Alberta Geological Survey.